

Stress path during pressuremeter test and link between shear modulus and Menard pressuremeter modulus in unsaturated fine soils

Vincent Savatier¹, Maxime Savatier²

¹EGIS Geotechnique 33-43 Avenue G. Pompidou BP 13115-31131 Balma Cedex France

² 1 rue des remparts 31450 Montgiscard

Abstract: This paper presents the stress path before and during a pressuremeter test using a Modified Cam-Clay model. This model have been used for unsaturated clay with continuous water phase (D2 domain according to Boutonnier 2007) and for silty to clayey soils with discontinuous water phase (D1 domain – ibid.). After reminding the stress distribution around a pressuremeter cell and the meaning of the Menard pressuremeter modulus which is linked to the soil shear modulus, we explain the stress state during pressuremeter modulus measurement. Then, we show that this stress path diagram can be used to explain classical observations made using Menard pressuremeter tests in continental area and typically:

- Measurement of high ratio “Menard pressuremeter modulus / pressuremeter limit pressure” in clay subjected to drought.
- Limit pressure and Ménard pressuremeter modulus variation with respect to drought in silty clay situated in water-table fluctuation area.

Finally, we will try to show the consequences of these stress paths for performing and interpreting pressuremeter test in unsaturated and collapsible soils.

1. Stress state around pressiometer cell in Cambriges axes

In the Cambridge axe p is the average soil stress $p = \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3}{3}$ and $q = \sigma_1 - \sigma_3$, the stress deviator.

The stress state around a pressuremeter test look like the stress state around an expending cylindrical cavity. Therefore in elastic state expansion the stress path is a pure shear (isovolumetric). In figure 1, z is vertical axe; K_0 the “at rest earth pressure coefficient”, h is test depth; r_f the cavity radius; r_0 the cavity radius at the pressuremeter cell introduction.; p_{c0} soil pressure at the borehole limit.




Figure 1. Stress definition around pressuremeter cell

In elastic state the stresses equations around a cylindrical hole with radius r_0 are:

$$\sigma_r = \sigma_0 - (\sigma_0 - p_{c0}) \frac{r_f^2}{r^2} \quad (1)$$

$$\sigma_\theta = \sigma_0 + (\sigma_0 - p_{c0}) \frac{r_f^2}{r^2} \quad (1')$$

$$\sigma_z = \sigma_v; \text{ avec } \sigma_\theta = K_0 \cdot \sigma_v \quad (1'')$$

- Before drilling : $\sigma_z = \gamma \cdot h$; $\sigma_\theta = \sigma_r = K_0 \cdot \gamma \cdot h$; therefore:

$$p_i = \gamma h (1 + 2K_0) / 3 ; q_i = \gamma h \cdot (1 - K_0) \quad (2)$$

- During drilling if remaining in elastic state (this is the most frequent situation for unsaturated soil) the stress equations at the hole limit are :

$$\sigma_z = \gamma \cdot h$$

$$\sigma_r = p_{c0};$$

$$p = (1/3)(\sigma_z + \sigma_r + \sigma_\theta) = \gamma h (1 + 2K_0) / 3$$

Therefore :

$$p = (1/3)(\gamma \cdot h + p_{c0} + \sigma_\theta) = \gamma h (1 + 2K_0) / 3 \quad (2')$$

Thus $\sigma_\theta = \gamma h (2K_0) - p_{c0}$; $q = \max(\sigma_z - \sigma_r; \sigma_r - \sigma_\theta)$.

For an empty hole that gives:

$$q = \max(\gamma \cdot h ; 2(\gamma h (K_0)) ; p = \gamma h (1 + 2K_0) / 3 \quad (3)$$

- During test while staying in elastic state stress equation are the same that equations (2) replacing p_{c0} with p_c pressure at the hole limit:

$$q = \max(\gamma \cdot h - p_c ; 2(\gamma h (K_0) - p_c)) \quad (4)$$

In the following we will consider the most frequent case where $\gamma \cdot h - p_c < 2(\gamma h (K_0) - p_c)$ (always observed when $K_0 > 0,5$). Thus we have:

$$q = 2(\gamma h (K_0) - p_c) ; p = \gamma h (1 + 2K_0) / 3 \quad (5)$$

The deformation of the radius r follows in elastic state follows (G is the shear modulus):

$$du = dp_c \cdot \frac{r_0 + u}{G}$$

This could be written; (V_0 is initial cell volume at the contact with hole limit and V is cell volume during the test): $dV = 2 dp_c \frac{V_0 + V}{G}$

that gives: $G = 2(V_0 + V) \frac{dp}{dV}$

Or with Menard pressuremeter Modulus:

$$E = 2(1 + \nu) \cdot (V_0 + V) \frac{dp}{dV} \quad (6)$$

For over-consolidated soil for each average stress p noted σ'_m ; the oedometric modulus can be defined with the following formula:

^aVincent.savatier@egis.fr

$$E_{oed} = \frac{2,3(1+e_i)\sigma'_1}{C_s} = \frac{4,6(1+e_i)\sigma'_m}{3.C_s} \quad (6')$$

C_s is the LOG_{10} swelling index; σ'_m the average stress which value during an oedometric test is $\sigma'_m = 2/3 \sigma'_1$. σ'_1 is the principal major stress.

Using the relationship between oedometric and shear modulus, the following relation between G and σ'_m is obtained:

$$G = \frac{(1-2\nu)}{(1-\nu)} \cdot \frac{4,6(1+e_i)\sigma'_m}{3.C_s} \quad (7)$$

Thus shear modulus is proportional to average stress.

- During test when plastic state is reached




Figure 2. Plastic stress definition around pressuremeter cell

It appears around the cell a soil crown in which limits the values of p and q , can be found with:

- The equation of the limit line for normally consolidated soil with hydric state that allows consolidation:

$$q = (\sigma'_{rp} - \sigma'_{\theta p}) = M \sigma'_m = M.p' \quad (8)$$

- The equation of the yield flow surface for over-consolidated clay that do not allows consolidation:

$$q'^2 - M^2 p' (\sigma'_{mpo} - p) = 0 \quad (9)$$

with $M = \frac{6 \sin \phi'}{3 - \sin \phi'}$. Therefore:

$$(\sigma'_{rp} - \sigma'_{\theta p})^2 - M^2 \sigma'_m (\sigma'_{mpo} - \sigma'_m) = 0 \quad (10)$$

- σ'_{mpo} is the isotropic pre-consolidation stress
- ϕ' soil effective angle of internal friction

According to Wood (1990) ref [1], when consolidation yield flow surface (equation (9)) is reached; the effective stress follows the equation:

$$\frac{p'i}{p'} = \left\{ \frac{M^2 + \left(\frac{q}{p'}\right)^2}{M^2 + \left(\frac{qi}{p'i}\right)^2} \right\}^\Lambda \quad (11)$$

with M as above ; $\Lambda = \frac{\lambda - \kappa}{\lambda} = \frac{C_c - C_s}{C_s}$;

C_c is the LOG_{10} compression index; λ the compression index; κ the swelling index; pi and qi are the initial values of p et q before putting the cell in the borehole.

We will call:

$$STi = \left[M^2 + \left(\frac{qi}{p'i}\right)^2 \right] p_i^{\frac{1}{\Lambda}} = \left[M^2 + \left(\frac{3(1-Ko)}{1+2Ko}\right)^2 \right] p_i^{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}$$

By deriving equation (11) it can be shown that:

$$dp' = \left\{ \frac{2.q.dq}{STi \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda - 2\kappa}{\lambda - \kappa}\right) \left(\frac{1}{p'}\right)^{\frac{3\kappa}{\lambda - \kappa}} - 2M^2 p'} \right\} \quad (12)$$

At the outside limit of this crown, the soil follows equation [1].

According to D. Rangeard ref [2] for a clayey saturated soil case (with permeability below 10^{-6} m/s) the pressuremeter test is fast enough to have iso-volumetric plastic deformations. Thus the following stress paths are obtained. They are given considering two cases:

- If $\sigma'_{mpo} > p = \gamma h(1+2K_0)/3$ deformation will be with effective stress increase and negative interstitial water pressure ($U < 0$)
- If $\sigma'_{mpo} < p = \gamma h(1+2K_0)/3$ deformation will be with effective stress decrease and positive interstitial water pressure ($U > 0$)




Figure 3. Stress way in a saturated clay(effective stresses paths are in grey with dotted line and total stresses paths are in black).

2. Pressuremeter behavior of unsaturated soils

2.1. Saturations variation

We will consider two of the unsaturated soil states defined by Boutonnier [2007] ref [3] :

- D1 : for this state the gas phase is continuous (suction is over air-entry suction s_{air} ($s > s_{air}$) and saturation degree is over air entry saturation S_{lair} (usually between 0,7 to 0,8). Additionally suction has no effect on volumetric forces and his variation effect is limited to inter-grain forces.
- D2 : for this state, the gas phase is discontinuous and subdivided in bubbles in contact with several soil grains. Suction is under s_{air} and saturation is S_r with $S_{lair} < S_r < S_{le}$. S_{le} is the shrinkage limit.

The water content is $W = \frac{P_w}{P_s}$; with P_w water weight; P_s solid part of soil weight. Considering a soil change from a state i to a state f , we have the following properties:

$$W_i = \frac{P_{w_i}}{P_s} = \frac{V_{w_i}}{V_s} \cdot \frac{\gamma_w}{\gamma_s} = S_{li} \cdot e_i \cdot \frac{\gamma_w}{\gamma_s}$$

$$W_i = S_{li} \cdot e_i \cdot \frac{\gamma_w}{\gamma_s}$$

V_{w_i} is initial water volume, V_s solid grain volume; e_i et e_f are respectively the initial and final void ratio

During a pressuremeter test, deformation will be with constant water content for both D1 and D2 states: In D1 soil because of the water discontinuity; in D2 soil because of the relative high velocity of the test compared with water pressure dissipation. According

that: $W_i = W_f$ that gives: $S_{li} \cdot e_i \cdot \frac{\gamma_w}{\gamma_s} = S_{lf} \cdot e_f \cdot \frac{\gamma_w}{\gamma_s}$

With S_{li} et S_{lf} initial and final liquid saturation.

Therefore : $S_{li} \cdot e_i = S_{lf} \cdot e_f$

Volumetric deformation between start and end of deformation is :

$$\varepsilon_v = \frac{e_f - e_i}{1 + e_i} = \frac{S_{li} \cdot e_i - e_i}{1 + e_i} = \frac{e_i}{1 + e_i} \left(\frac{S_{li}}{S_{lf}} - 1 \right)$$

thus :

$$S_{lf} = \frac{S_{li}}{1 + \left(\frac{1 + e_i}{e_i} \right) \varepsilon_v} \quad (13)$$

We will consider a deformation that leads from a stress p'_i to a stress p'_f . Beyond this point, we will note them respectively σ'_{mi} et σ'_{mf} to avoid confusion with pressuremeter cavity pressure. During this deformation:

- For over-consolidated soil :

$$\varepsilon = -\kappa \cdot \ln \left(\frac{p'_f}{p'_i} \right) = -\frac{C_s}{(1 + e_i)} \text{Log} \left(\frac{\sigma'_{mf}}{\sigma'_{mi}} \right) \quad (14)$$

From (13) and (14), the evolution of saturation during a pressuremeter deformation is:

$$S_{lf} = \frac{S_{li} \cdot e_i}{e_i + \left(-C_s \cdot \text{Log} \left(\frac{\sigma'_{mf}}{\sigma'_{mi}} \right) \right)} \quad (15)$$

- For normally consolidated soil:

$$\varepsilon = -\lambda \cdot \ln \left(\frac{p'_f}{p'_i} \right) = -\frac{C_c}{(1 + e_i)} \text{Log} \left(\frac{\sigma'_{mf}}{\sigma'_{mi}} \right) \quad (16)$$

From (13) and (16) the evolution of saturation during a pressuremeter deformation is in this case:

$$S_{lf} = \frac{S_{li} \cdot e_i}{e_i + \left(-C_c \cdot \text{Log} \left(\frac{\sigma'_{mf}}{\sigma'_{mi}} \right) \right)} \quad (17)$$

2.2. Relationship between total stress and effective stress for D1 or D2 soil states

According to its definition, the variations of the effective stress follow the relationship:

$$d\sigma'_m = d\sigma_m - (1 - S_l) dP_g - S_l dPl \quad (18)$$

S_l : liquid saturation ; dP_g : gas pressure variation ;
 dPl : liquid pressure variation

2.2.1. D1 soil case

In D1 case the total stress variation will be applied directly on the hydric bridges between the soil grains and gas pressure will not change. Otherwise, liquid pressure variation dPl in hydric bridges, will be proportional to the effective stress variation. The proportionality ratio K_{sg} will depend of grain surface state and will be above or equal to one. Thus we have the following relationship :

$$d\sigma'_m = K_{sg} dPl \quad (19)$$

Equation (18) gives then $d\sigma'_m = -d\sigma_m - K_{sg} S_l d\sigma'_m$ and then:

$$d\sigma_m = (1 + K_{sg} S_l) d\sigma'_m \quad (20)$$

2.2.2. D2 soil case

In D2 case, the gas phase is discontinuous and divided in bubbles in contact with several soil grains. Since gas has a higher deformability than liquid, it will absorb most of the deformation and liquid pressure will stay already constant.

Gas pressure P_g will follows Mariotte et Henry laws. This gives:

$$P_g \cdot V_{g+H(t)} dP_g = P_{g0} V_0 \quad (21)$$

- P_{go} and P_g : gas pressure respectively before and after deformation
- V_g et V_{go} : gas volume before and after deformation
- $H(t)$ Henry constant that gives dissolved gas quantity variation according to gas pressure variation and time.

$$H(t=0)=0 ; H(t=\infty)=0,02(T/T_0) \quad (22)$$

T =temperature in K ; $T_0=293^\circ$ K

As pressuremeter test is fast, dissolved gas concentration has no time to change and (22) can be simplified as :

$$P_g.V_g+P_{go}.V_{go} \quad (23)$$

According to the saturation degree definition (23) :

$$P_g.(1-S_l)=P_{go}.(1-S_{l0})$$

And then $P_g = P_{go} \frac{1-S_{l0}}{1-S_l}$ that gives by derivation:

$$dP_g = -P_{go}(1-S_{l0}). \frac{dS_l}{(1-S_l)^2} \quad (24)$$

As $dP_l=0$ equation (18) gives: $d\sigma'_m = d\sigma_m - (1-S_l) dP_g - S_l$ and then:

$$dP_l = d\sigma_m + P_{go}(1-S_{l0}). (1-S_l) \frac{dS_l}{(1-S_l)^2} \quad (25)$$

$$d\sigma_m = d\sigma'_m - P_{go}(1-S_{l0}). \frac{dS_l}{(1-S_l)} \quad (26)$$

2.3. Plastic stress variation around pressiometer

The following figure provides the stress distribution in a thin crown of soil around a pressiometric cell that has reached the plastic state.

The increase of P_2 the pressure in this cell drives the soil from a state n to a state $n+1$.




Figure 4. Stress distribution around a pressiométrique cell

We will assume that the thickness of the crown is thin enough to have constant shear strength in all its area between P_2 to P_2+dP_2 . In these conditions:

$$\sigma_r - \sigma_\theta = 2(P_2 - P_0) = 2 S_u \quad (27)$$

S_u : undrained shear strength

P_0 : soil pressure before the test.

The notations, for the transformation from n to $n+1$ state, are the following:

state n	gives	state n+1
P_2	gives	$P_2 + dP_2$
$2\tau_n = \sigma_r - \sigma_\theta = 2(P_2 - P_0)$	gives	$2\tau_{n+1} = 2(P_2 - P_0) + 2dP_2$
σ'_m_n	gives	$\sigma'_m_{n+1} = \sigma'_m + d\sigma'_m$
σ_m_n	gives	$\sigma_m_{n+1} = \sigma_m + d\sigma_m$

- The application of equation (8) between n and $n+1$ in a normally consolidated soil (likes collapsible loess) gives:

$$\sigma'_m_{n+1} = \sigma'_m_n + 2MdP_2 \quad (28)$$

- Considering that $q = -\sigma_r - \sigma_\theta = 2(P_2 - P_0)$; $dq = 2dP_2$, and $p' = \sigma'_m_n$, the application of the equation (12) for an over consolidated soil gives:

$$d\sigma'_m = \left\{ \frac{2.q.dq}{STi \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda - 2\kappa}{\lambda - \kappa} \right) \left(\frac{1}{p'} \right)^{\frac{3\kappa}{\lambda - \kappa}} - 2M^2 p'} \right\} \quad (29)$$

Note that all the elementary crowns that are in plastic state will be on the plastic deformation curve and follows from n to $n+1$ states, equation (28) for loessic soil and equation (29) for overconsolidated clay.

Thus, the particular crown situated at the cell contact will follows equation (28) to (29) for each soil type.

3. Stress way of pressuremeter test in unsaturated soil

3.1. Unsaturated clay

The soil will be in D2 state and follows modified cam clay model. Thus on elastic state the crown of soil around the pressuremeter cell will follows an iso-volumetric shear. The effective stress σ'_m will be above total stress, with a constant difference to s_0 the initial suction. $\sigma'_m = p'$ follows the equation:

$$q' = q; \quad p' = p + S_0 = p + (u_a - u_w) \quad (30)$$

with: u_a air pressure ; u_w water pressure .

Saturation degree S_0 will stay constant.

Shear modulus will be proportional to $\sigma'_m = p'$ according to equation (7).

We remind that the pressuremeter modulus will follow:

$$E_m = (1 + \nu) \left[\frac{(1 - 2\nu)}{(1 - \nu)} \frac{4,6(1 + e_i)(\sigma_m + s_0)}{3C_s} \right] \quad (31)$$

Therefore an increase of suction; will increase pressuremeter modulus.

In the plastic state, for each increase of stress path in the crown of soil around the pressuremeter :

- Saturation degree will follows equation (17)
- Effective variation $d\sigma'_m = \sigma'_{m_{n+1}} - \sigma'_m$ will follows equation (29) that will allow effective stress calculation step by step.
- σ_{m_n} calculation from σ'_m will be done by equation (26)

The following figures give the stress path for a less overconsolidated clay with high suction (fig 5); and for a very overconsolidated clay with a low suction (fig 6).




Figure 5. Pressuremeter Stress path in unsaturated clay with low suction compared with σ'_p




Figure 6. Pressuremeter stress path in unsaturated clay with high suction compared with σ'_p

Depending on initial saturation S_0 , initial suction s_0 and soil deformability, the crown at cell contact will reach the critical point (point 1 or 2 on figures) or will stop before (point 1' or 2' on figures).

It results from the figures 4 and 5 that $q = \sigma'_1 - \sigma'_3$ and therefore the limit pressure value will be similar to the limit pressure in a saturated soil with the same σ'_p . Consequently, an increase of suction due to dryness will increase the Em/pl^* ratio proportionally to $\sigma_m + s_0$ and when wet weather decrease suction Em/pl^* ratio will also decrease in the same way. This is an explanation of

the experimental observation of high rapport Em/pl^* in clayey soil between 0 to 3m depth during dry periods.

The existence of different path of stress depending on initial saturation suction and total stress shows that interpretation of pressuremeter test using finite elements calculation based on saturated Cam clay model may fail because of these parameters influence.

3.2. Unsaturated silts collapsible soil

Soil state will be D1 and he follows a pure friction law or a normally consolidated law with consolidation during deformation.

On the elastic crown, as in clayey soil, shear will be iso-volumetric with an effective stress linked with total stress by the same formula: $q' = q$; $p' = p + s_0$. Note that s_0 is here a function of saturation degree S_0 and will stay constant during elastic step in this case too. Therefore, pressuremeter modulus will increase with suction increase in the same way than in clayey soil.

On the plastic crown, for each loading path:

- Saturation degree will follows equation (17)
- Effective variation $d\sigma'_m = \sigma'_{m_{n+1}} - \sigma'_m$ will follows equation (28). This will allows the calculation of effective stress step by step.
- σ_{m_n} calculation from σ'_m will be done by using equation (20) as long as saturation will be below a limit saturation S_{lim} , which is linked to a limit suction. Over this value, soil will pass in D2 state and follows equation (26).

The following figures gives the stress path for a collapsible soil with initial saturation $S=50\%$ and initial average stress of 66 kPa with an initial suction of 150 kPa (fig 7) and with a higher initial suction of 350 kPa (fig 8).




Figure 7. Pressuremeter stress path in unsaturated collapsible loess with low suction




Figure 8. Pressuremeter stress path in unsaturated collapsible loess with high suction

It appears that pressuremeter modulus E_m will increase proportionally to the amount of average initial total stress with suction $\sigma_{m_0} + s_0$ while $q = \sigma_1' - \sigma_3'$ will increase proportionally to $M(\sigma_{m_0} + s_0)$. Thus E_m/pf^* ratio (pf^* corresponding to point 3 on the figures) will be constant. For limit pressure (point 1 on the figures 7 and 8) the stress path will depend on initial saturation degree and suction.

For low saturations, soil will stay in D1 state and E_m/pf^* ratio will stay constant with suction variation. The E_m/pl^* ratio will depend, in this case, on compressibility coefficient C_c and on initial saturation S_0 .

For higher saturation, E_m/pl^* variation will depend on initial suction. Over a limit value of initial suction, soil will also stay in D1 state with a constant E_m/pl^* ratio. Below this limit value, a part of the plastic crown will pass in D2 or D3 state. In that case, soil consolidation will not be possible during the test and pl^* increase with suction will not continue to be linear. E_m/pl^* ratio will therefore increase with suction.

This helps to explain the pressuremeter modulus and limit pressure variations in silt in the vadose area. In the case of water table level increase, soil suction will decrease or disappear, leading to a high decrease of pressuremeter modulus and limit pressure (divided by two for the study case of the figures 7 and 8). On the contrary, a water level decrease and a growing of evapotranspiration will increase suction and therefore limit pressure and modulus with a E_m/pl^* ratio constant or slightly growing.

Note also that as seen above, for the clayey soil, the stress paths depend on initial suction and saturation. Therefore, estimation for soil of effective friction ratio or of shear strength using finite elements models based on pure friction soil model may also fail if suction and saturation incidence is not low.

4. Conclusion

The estimation of saturation and suction variations in unsaturated soil around a pressuremeter cell allows drawing qualitatively the stress path during a pressuremeter test in clayey and collapsible loessic

soils. It shows that stresses path are depending on initial saturation degree and suction. This must lead to be very careful when using finite elements calculation with saturated model or pure friction model in order to estimate cam clay soil parameter or modulus, friction angle and dilatancy estimation. It seems necessary to check that the soil is perfectly saturated or perfectly dry to avoid calculation disturbance due to saturation and suction variation.

Onshore, in the very frequent case of unsaturated soil, these methods will need to take into account the effect of initial saturation and suction.

This could be done by using the presented method or similar. For this purpose, it will be necessary to sample soil for each pressuremeter test in order to measure in situ suction and saturation. This may be done by using a core cutter sampler in order to do the test cavity excavation and the soil sampling at the same time.

References

1. Y. Bentaiebi ; thèse Dep. Génie Civil Géol. et Mine Ecole polytechnique de Montréal (2011)
2. D. Rengeard ; thèse école centrale de Nantes ; www.theses.fr/2002NANT2092; 37- 57 (2002)
3. L. Boutonnier ; Comport. hydromécanique des sols fins proche de la saturation thèse de docteur de l'INP de Grenoble 95-100 (2007)
4. V.Savatier ; P. Garnier ; JNGG 2014 Sols effondrables interprétation théorique et amélioration de l'essai double œdomètre Roumain 108 (2014)

^aVincent.savatier@egis.fr