Carbon Dioxide Capture by Deep Eutectic Solvent Impregnated Sea Mango Activated Carbon
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Abstract. The increment amount of the CO₂ emission by years has become a major concern worldwide due to the global warming issue. However, the influence modification of activated carbon (AC) has given a huge revolution in CO₂ adsorption capture compare to the unmodified AC. In the present study, the Deep Eutectic Solvent (DES) modified surface AC was used for Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) capture in the fixed-bed column. The AC underwent pre-carbonization and carbonization processes at 519.8 °C, respectively, with flowing of CO₂ gas and then followed by impregnation with 53.75% phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄) at 1:2 precursor-to-activant ratios. The prepared AC known as sea mango activated carbon (SMAC) was impregnated with DES at 1:2 solid-to-liquid ratio. The DES is composing of choline chloride and urea with ratio 1:2 choline chloride to urea. The optimum adsorption capacity of SMAC was 33.46 mgCO₂/gₚ and 39.40 mgCO₂/gₚ for DES modified AC (DESAC).

1 Introduction

The rising of greenhouse gases emission into the atmosphere has become a great concern among the public as it is the main contributor to temperature elevation that ultimately causes global warming and climate change. Among the greenhouse gases, carbon dioxide (CO₂) is regarded as the principal greenhouse gas that approximately contributes more than 60% to global warming [1]. The CO₂ emission is mainly from the anthropogenic sources such as deforestation, transportation, and combustion of carbon emitting fuel by industrial sector and power station.

The continuous increasing of global atmospheric CO₂ concentration will not only bring negatives effect on the environment but also living organisms. According to Hileman [2], the atmospheric CO₂ concentration was estimated to reach about 550 ppm by the year 2035 and leads to a temperature rise of 2°C, which will become a threatened extinction of 15 to 40 species. However, the International Panel on Climate Change (IPPC) had estimated that the global atmospheric CO₂ concentration may increase to 570 ppm by the year 2100 that
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will lead to the average global temperature rise of around 1.9°C and the average sea level rise of 3.8 m. Therefore, immediate and sustained effort should be executed to control and reduce the CO2 level in the atmosphere.

In order to lessen the CO2 emission to the atmosphere, several convincing methods of Carbon Capture & Storage (CCS) had been recognized such as cryogenic separation, chemical separation, membrane separation and gas adsorption method. According to Lee [3], adsorption is known as a promising method for CO2 removal as it is cost effective. Adsorption processes are normally conducted using activated carbon (AC) [4], activated alumina [5], zeolite [6] and polymeric adsorbent [7]. Based on research carried out by Duan [8] Lee [3] and El-Shafey [9], AC has the advantage to capture CO2 due to its large surface area per unit volume and submicroscopic pores. Besides, AC is also very stable in acid and basic condition and suitable for organic compound removal. In addition, it is also able to regenerate, thus its make AC is cost-effective adsorbent.

In terms of cost-effectiveness in the production of AC, researchers are looking at different precursor from abundant and waste materials such as palm shell, sea mango, cocoa pods shell and rice husks. Research conducted by Siti Noraishah [10] successfully produced rice husks based AC and being utilized to remove CO2 generated from industrial activities.

Recently, the integration of chemicals into the AC has shown a convincing performance of CO2 uptakes, thus reducing the amount of CO2 released into the atmosphere compared to the typical AC without addition of any chemicals. As been measured by Saad [11] and Karousos [12], the impregnation of activated carbon with amine and ionic liquid (IL) has resulted in higher amount CO2 capture. Although the immobilization of this chemical in the AC assists CO2 capture, however, this sort of compound is difficult to prepare, toxic and quite expensive thus has caused it rarely used for the commercial usage [13].

Hence, in this research AC prepared from the sea mango will be chemically impregnated with a low-cost green solvent Deep Eutectic Solvent (DES) in order to improve the CO2 adsorption capacity and on the same time reduce the production cost of AC compare to sample impregnated with amine and IL for CO2 capture.

2 Procedures

2.1 Preparation of the Sea Mango Activated Carbon

Sea mango (Cerbera odollam) was collected around Perlis, Malaysia. In order to obtain fibrous shell, the skin and seeds were removed from the raw material. The samples were then being washed and dried in an oven for 24 h at 105 °C in order to remove the moisture content. Afterward, the samples were crushed and sieved to obtain fine particles measuring 1 mm to 2 mm. The raw material was then subjected to semi-carbonization in a tube furnace for 30 min at 200 °C by flowing of nitrogen gas. Char was subsequently impregnated with 50 wt% H3PO4 at 1:2 precursor-to-activant ratio for 4 h and then dehydrated in the oven at 110 °C until dryness.

The char was weighed and placed in a tube furnace until the desired temperature of 519.8 °C was reached. Activation was conducted for 2.28 h. The samples were cooled to room temperature (25°C) and washed few times with hot distilled water to remove excess H3PO4.

Sea Mango AC was then filtered and dried in the oven until it is completely dried. The AC samples were denoted as SMAC.
2.2 Preparation of the Deep Eutectic Solvent

Choline chloride and urea (1:2) were added at 80 °C under stirring. The mixture was stirred for approximately 1 h, and the resulting solution was clear and homogeneous.

2.3 Functionalization of Sea Mango Activated Carbon with Deep Eutectic Solvent

The impregnation process of SMAC was conducted with ratio 1:2 AC to DES. The sample was allowed to be impregnated in the vacuum condition for 4 hours with pressure -0.5 Bar. The impregnated sample was allowed to completely dry in an oven at 150°C for 8 hours. The dried DES impregnated SMAC was denoted as DESAC.

2.4 Carbon Dioxide Adsorption

In the adsorption testing, AC was added into the adsorption column. Prior the adsorption process, the sample was subjected to pure nitrogen gas in order to remove CO₂ composition in the sample. This procedure is conducted in order to ensure there is no CO₂ molecule attached to the surface of the sample. 15% of CO₂ gas was subjected to the adsorption column using desired flow rate. The flow rate was controlled by using Aalborg, Stainless Steel Mass Flow Controller. This experiment was conducted at the atmospheric pressure and in the room temperature. The outlet of the column was connected to the Tedlar bag in order to collect exhaust gas for CO₂ measurement. The CO₂ in exhaust gas was measured with Geotech GA 5000 portable gas analyzer. The experimental set-up employed in this study is as shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Experimental setup of continuous CO₂ adsorption system.](image)

2.4.1 Effect of different types of adsorbent on Carbon Dioxide Adsorption

5g of different types of AC was weight and has been subjected to 15% of CO₂ in the adsorption column. The flow rate of the gas was fixed at 10ml/min. The outlet gas was collected using the Tedlar bag and measured using Geotech GA 5000 portable gas analyzer. The CO₂ adsorption capacity of the sample was obtained by using the following equation:
\[(v (mL/min) \times \rho (mg/mL) \times c (\%) \times tb (min)) / (w (g))\]  

\(v = \text{Inlet gas low rate, } \rho = \text{CO}_2 \text{ density at } 25^\circ \text{C, } c = \text{Concentration of inlet CO}_2, \ tb = \text{Breakthrough time, } w = \text{weight of activated carbon.}\)

### 2.4.2 Effect of adsorbent dosage on Carbon Dioxide Adsorption

The different adsorbent dosage of DESAC was varied from 1g to 5g and being subjected to the 15% of CO\(_2\) gas in the adsorption column at fixed flow rate 10ml/min. The outlet sample was collected in the Tedlar bag and being tested by using GA 5000 portable gas analyzer. The CO\(_2\) adsorption capacity of each sample was calculated by using Equation 1.

### 2.5 Characterization of the Activated Carbon

Samples have been characterizing using the standard procedure. In order to determine the total surface area, the BELSORP-Mini machine has been used. Meanwhile, surface morphology and element composition analyses were performed using JEOL JSM-6460LA Analytical Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM).

### 3 Results and discussions

#### 3.1 Characterization Results

##### 3.1.1 BET Surface Area and Pore Characteristics

Table 1 shows the BET surface area and characteristics for all samples. The surface area after the physiochemical treatment showing a convincing result as it is increasing significantly from 0.7820 m\(^2\)/g to 857.93 m\(^2\)/g. The total pore volume of SMAC also higher compares to the raw which is 0.7166 cm\(^3\)/g. This result has proved that physiochemical treatment assists in the pore development of SMAC.

After impregnation with DES was conducted, the total surface area and total pore volume of DESAC was reduced to 581.23 m\(^2\)/g and 0.4977 cm\(^3\)/g respectively. This is due to the deposition of DES, which blocks a few pores on the AC which had been proved in the SEM test. The average pore diameter for SMAC and DESAC was 3.3363 nm and 3.4255nm respectively. It belongs to the mesopore region according to IUPAC classification [14].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(S_{\text{BET}}) (m(^2)/g)</th>
<th>(V_{\text{total}}) (cm(^3)/g)</th>
<th>(V_{\text{meso}}) (cm(^3)/g)</th>
<th>(V_{\text{micro}}) (cm(^3)/g)</th>
<th>(D_p) (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw</td>
<td>0.7920</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAC</td>
<td>857.93</td>
<td>0.7166</td>
<td>0.0937</td>
<td>0.6229</td>
<td>3.3363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESAC</td>
<td>581.23</td>
<td>0.4977</td>
<td>0.0728</td>
<td>0.4249</td>
<td>3.4255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

##### 3.1.2 Surface Morphology

Fig. 2 shows the surface morphology of the raw sample, SMAC, and DESAC respectively with same magnification (1000X and 5000X). From the surface morphology analysis, Fig. 2 (a,b) shows the physical structure of a raw sample. Evidently, the pore volume was very limited and not well developed.
However, after physiochemical activation by using H₃PO₄ and carbonization process at 519.8°C, the honey-comb like pore was well developed (Fig. 2 c,d), as confirmed by BET result (Table 1). The development of pore was due to the breakdown of structure in the raw due to the thermal expansion during carbonization process and the addition of the activating agent also assists in the pore development [18].

After the impregnation of the AC with the DES, a few pores in the sample seems had been clogged by the DES which has been shown in Fig 2 e and f. It is proved that the DES was successfully attached to the sample. Consequently, the attachment of DES on the sample has reduced the pore volume in the sample which had been proving by the BET results.

![SEM micrograph of raw precursor (a) 1000×, (b) 5000×; SMAC (c) 1000×, (d) 5000×; and DESAC (e) 1000×, (f) 5000×.](image)

**3.1.3 Energy-dispersive X-Ray (EDX) analysis**

Elemental analysis revealed that the element Carbon (C), Oxygen (O), Phosphorus (P), Nitrogen (N) and Chlorine (Cl) existed in the Raw, SMAC or DESAC. Based on table 2, the amount of C significantly increased in the SMAC. This finding has proved that the carbonization process assists the C element due to the heat supply during activation which initiates the thermal degradation process. According to the Olugbenga [19], the thermal degradation process will remove the volatile matter in the sample, thus produce a stable carbon element.

The elemental P also had been detected in the SMAC. The elemental P was believed contributed during the impregnation process using H₃PO₄. Meanwhile, the 4.01% of N element detected in the SMAC was believed contributed from the nitrogen purge during physiochemical activation. However, after impregnation process with DES, the amount of N element in the DESAC was drastically increase. It was believed that the N element in the
DESAC was contributed from the urea and choline chloride of the DES. This finding has confirmed that the N element was successfully attached to the surface of DESAC and as a result, it will provide more active sites for CO$_2$ adsorption [20]. The elemental Cl also has been detected in the DESAC, as it was contributed from the chloride chloride of DES during functionalization process.

Table 2. EDS elemental analysis of raw precursor, SMAC, and DESAC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Carbon (wt. %)</th>
<th>Oxygen (wt. %)</th>
<th>Phosphorus (wt. %)</th>
<th>Nitrogen (wt. %)</th>
<th>Chlorine (wt. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw</td>
<td>48.49</td>
<td>51.51</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAC</td>
<td>75.27</td>
<td>14.62</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESAC</td>
<td>48.89</td>
<td>24.45</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>18.39</td>
<td>8.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Carbon Dioxide Adsorption Performance Test

3.2.1 Different Type of Adsorbent

Fig. 3 shows the breakthrough time curves for SMAC and DESAC at 10mL/min and 5g of adsorbent. Both of the breakthrough curves show a rapid CO$_2$ uptake in the initial process and increased gradually over contact time and finally reached constant. This is because, at the initial adsorption process, all the adsorption sites of both AC are available for CO$_2$ capture, however, after a certain time, the available adsorption sites has decreased and finally, all of the adsorption sites are occupied by CO$_2$ molecule.

For SMAC, the adsorption breakthrough occurred at 60 min, meanwhile, the DESAC breakthrough occurred at 75 min. From the finding, it can say that the DESAC has a better adsorption performance compare to the SMAC as the CO$_2$ adsorption capacity showing convincing results as tabulated in table 3. Highest breakthrough time obtain by DESAC was due to the N element in the DES which provides more active site for CO$_2$ capture and consequently accelerated the CO$_2$ adsorption [21].

Table 3. Effect of different types of adsorbent dosage on CO$_2$ adsorption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Breakthrough Time (second)</th>
<th>Adsorption Capacity (mg$<em>{CO2}$/g$</em>{sol}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMAC</td>
<td>3720</td>
<td>33.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESAC</td>
<td>4380</td>
<td>39.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Effect of different type of adsorbent dosage on CO$_2$ adsorption.
3.2.2 Difference Adsorbent Dosage

In this study, five different weight of DESAC has been tested in order to study the effect of adsorbent dosage onto the sample. Based on the table 4, the breakthrough time has increased proportionally with increasing of adsorbent dosage. This result claims that the higher amount of adsorbent dosage will have more available active sites for CO₂ capture. Consequently, the adsorption bed will need a longer time to be saturated.

However, when it’s come to the adsorption capacity, increasing in adsorbent dosage has caused the adsorption capacity decreased as been shown in table 4. According to the Allesina [22], increasing adsorbent dosage by using the same adsorption column especially for the low flow rate (10 ml/min) will contribute to the higher resistance and leading to non-uniform of CO₂ gas onto the sample. Consequently, this phenomenon may create channels in the adsorption bed thus reduce the chance active site being exposed to the CO₂ gas which not around the channels area and reduces the adsorption capacity. This finding is in agreement with Nur Hidayah [23], which using AMP impregnated AC for CO₂ capture.

| Table 4. Effect of different types of adsorbent dosage on CO₂ adsorption. |
|---|---|---|
| Sample (g) | Breakthrough Time (second) | Adsorption Capacity (mgCO₂/gsol) |
| 1 | 1920 | 86.35 |
| 2 | 3000 | 67.46 |
| 3 | 3600 | 53.97 |
| 4 | 4020 | 45.20 |
| 5 | 4380 | 39.40 |

4 Conclusions

DESAC was successfully utilized as a new modification AC. The CO₂ adsorption capacity of DESAC showing convincing results compares to the SMAC. Even though DESAC obtains lower BET surface area compare to SMAC, the breakthrough time of DESAC showing better results. This is due to the chemisorption action in the actives site provides by N element from DES, which helps in promotion new site for more CO₂ capture.
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