Study on Human-structure Dynamic Interaction in Civil Engineering
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Abstract: The research of human-structure dynamic interaction are reviewed. Firstly, the influence of the crowd load on structural dynamic characteristics is introduced and the advantages and disadvantages of different crowd load models are analyzed. Then, discussing the influence of structural vibration on the human-induced load, especially the influence of different stiffness structures on the crowd load. Finally, questions about human-structure interaction that require further study are presented.

1 Introduction

In recent years, with the use of structural design, high-strength lightweight materials and construction technology, the structure of the building is gradually developing towards soft, long-span and low damping. The bearing capacity and deformation of the static performance can generally meet the requirement in the traditional design, but its dynamic performance, especially the excessive vibration of crowd excitation, may become a key problem restricting its application and development. The vibration caused by human activities is low frequency vibration, when the vertical natural frequency of this kind of structure is close to the frequency of the human activity, it is easy to cause resonance. This kind of vibration may exceed the tolerance limit of human comfort, cause panic in the psychology and seriously affect the performance of the structure. In the field of civil engineering, the perception of the human-structure interaction (HSI) was first derived from some phenomena found in some structural modal tests. Many studies show that people stay in long-span structure will affect the dynamic characteristics of the structure, and the vibration of long-span structure will have an impact on the excitation of crowd load, human-structure interaction is a new interdisciplinary scientific problem.

2 The influence of crowd load on structural dynamic characteristics

The crowd that stay in the building structure not only cause structural vibration, but also may change the modal characteristics. Therefore, how to consider the crowd stay in the structure is an urgent problem. Early on, Ebrahimpour regarded the crowd that stay in the structure as additional quality to consider its influence on the dynamic characteristics [1]. ATC design guide also uses a similar idea considering the influence on structural modal characteristics [2]. Obviously, the result of this treatment leads to a decrease in the natural frequency of the structure. However, Pimentel’s research shows that presence of the crowd in remarkably crease structural damping [3]. Moreover, Brownjohn found that the single person that stay in concrete hollowed slab absorb more energy than structure itself [4]. Canada design code also consider the potential beneficial effects of crowd load on structural vibration response, it is proposed to increase the viscous damping ratio of the structure to 12% in the condition that crowd will stay in the structure [2]. The presence of people only regarded as the additional mass obviously cannot explain the research results given above.

In order to solve this problem, Rainer and Pernica pointed out the damped dynamic model of the crowd, this model can explain the phenomena that human occupants significantly increase the damping of the structure [5]. However, the additional mass model is widely accepted in a period, researchers believe that it can accurately predict the influence that human occupants change structural vibration frequency. Lenzing found that keep the single person weight over wood slab weight of more than 2 times, the frequency of the structure is not reduced, but a slight increase [6]. On 1997, Ellis and Ji carried out field dynamic characteristic test under two condition, the first was no load, the second considered the human occupants [7]. The second condition found a new mode of the stadium stands, and assume that the crowd as powered system with mass
spring damper can better explain test result[7]. In 2002, Sachse et al. pointed out mathematical model of human occupants confirmed this assumption [8]. In short, human occupants can increase structural damping, reduce fundamental frequency and add new modal mode. To accurately predict the effects of human occupants is of great significance for the economic design and safety evaluating of long-span structure. Therefore, a reasonable crowd load dynamic model must be established. In 1998, Griffin et al. used electro-hydraulic servo vibration table to test the contact force and displacement between the human body and the table of standing posture and sitting posture random vibration excitation, then obtained the basic frequency and damping ratio of the 60 tested human body[9]. In 2006, Liang et al. analyzed and compared the different degrees of freedom model based on the experimental data of vertical vibration of European and American sitting posture [10]. In 2011, Gao et al obtained the basic parameters of human vibration based on sitting posture of few Chinese people [11]. The above results are the basic human body parameters biomechanics field, mainly used in automobile, machinery and other fields of medicine, cannot directly apply to the civil engineering structure model of the human body, but useful research ideas for other researchers.

In the field of civil engineering, the simplified model of human body mainly consists of model with damping and without damping, and the model without damping is divided into discrete model and continuous model [12] (shown in Figure 1). Lenzing and Hothan studied the human single degree of freedom discrete model without damping, the lumped mass $m_H$ of the simplified human model was assumed to be equal to the total mass $m_T$ of the human body, and given the values of the stiffness and the natural frequency parameters of the human body model [13]. Later, Ji assumed that the upper and lower parts of the human body had different stiffness and mass, Falati assumed the stiffness and mass of the human body along the height distribution and established a continuous model without damping [14]. Foschi first studies the single degree of freedom damping model (Figure 2), obtained dynamic response based on the measured foot displacement time history curve and the calculation of single degree of freedom human body impact floor considering the human-structure interaction, the stiffness $k_H$ of the simplified kinetic model of human body is 40kN/m and the viscous damping $c_H$ is 1.25kNs/m, but the premise of the study is that the lumped mass $m_H$ is equal to the total mass $m_T$[15].The values of the natural frequencies ($f_H$) and the damping ratio ($\zeta_H$) of the human body in the model are different from different scholars, and specific results are presented in table1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>The natural frequency of human body ($f_H$) (Hz)</th>
<th>The damping ratio of human body ($\zeta_H$)</th>
<th>Lumped mass value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Falati et al.</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>45%-55%</td>
<td>$m_H = m_T/3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zheng and Brownjohn</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>$m_H = m_T$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After the human body model is determined, the combined dynamic system of human-structure interaction can be analyzed. Sachse has established the system of two degrees of freedom dynamic human-structure coupling model (Figure 3a), and the natural frequencies, mode shapes, modal mass and damping ratio of the coupled system are analyzed in detail, the results of analysis showed that damped discrete model of the human-structure system with two degrees of freedom can better explain changes across the dynamic characteristics of structures with human occupants [16]. Zhou et al. uses a continuous model without damping to analyze the dynamic characteristics of a coupling system of human-structure interaction [17] (shown in Fig. 3b).
Human dynamic characteristics of a coupled system are analyzed in detail, the results of mode shapes, modal mass and damping ratio of the coupling model (Figure 3) can be analyzed. Sachse has established the system of combined dynamic system of human and automobile, machinery and other fields of medicine, parameter of the natural frequency parameters of the human body model. 

Falati assumed the stiffness and mass of the human body model. Later, Ji assumed that the upper and lower structure interaction is more focused on the vertical vibration of structures, and the horizontal vibration of structures should be included in further study. 

Sachse assumed that the upper and lower structure interaction is more focused on the vertical vibration of structures, and the horizontal vibration of structures should be included in further study. 

The stiffness and mass of the human body model and the natural frequency parameters of the human body model are different from different scholars, such as the lumped mass, the natural frequency of the body, the damping ratio of the human body are corrected and the crowd load model is refined. 

In 2002, Yao et al. pointed out that the dynamic load of jumping and running on the long-span floor is smaller than the rigid ground [20]. All the above studies show that the vibration of structure affects human-induced load, but there is some difference between the human activities in experiment and the actual structure, and the influence of individuals among the crowd is not considered. Therefore, it is of great significance to study the influence of long-span structure vibration with synchronous excitation on the human-induced load.

In recent years, the study of dynamic load of crowd excitation has attracted much attention. Research shows that the dynamic load generated by crowd excitation is much larger than that of single excitation, but the number of people and human-induced load is not a simple linear relationship. In some cases, people on the structure will do conscious or unconsciously synchronous activities. For example, the crowd in a rock ballroom will consciously synchronize with musical rhythm, which will have a greater impact on structural vibration. The involuntary, synchronous activity of the crowd may cause a violent vibration, which makes the structure uncomfortable and even insecure. It is an important research direction of the human-structure interaction that structural vibration is caused by the involuntary synchronization of the crowd. When the vibration of long-span structure reaches a certain extent, the crowd will adjust the walking pace and keep pace with the structural vibration. Grundmann et al. studied the synchronous modulation probability of vertical vibration between the crowd and structure, and proposed a method for calculating the synchronous modulation probability and vertical vibration acceleration [21]. So far, fewer research about synchronous modulation probability can be retrieved, it has important practical significance to deeply study synchronous modulation probability in the actual civil engineering structure.

### 4 Conclusion and Prospect

By reviewing the research of human-structure interaction, the following suggestions are put forward for the study of structural dynamic characteristics:

1. Carrying out structural dynamic measurement. According to the experimental data, the parameters such as the lumped mass, the natural frequency of the body and the damping ratio of the human body are corrected and the crowd load model is refined.

2. Considering the influence between individuals, developing the method for calculating vertical acceleration of structures based on synchronous modulation probability.

3. At present, the study of human-structure interaction is more focused on the vertical vibration of structures, and the horizontal vibration of structures should be included in further study.
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