Treatment of dairy wastewater in UASB-UF system
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Abstract. The examinations concerned determination of the treatment efficiency of wastewater from dairy industry using the system which combines methane fermentation with ultrafiltration process. The study attempted to determine the effect of HRT and OLR on the degree of biodegradation of contaminants contained in the sludge examined and on the quality and amount of biogas. The anaerobic process obtained a considerable degree of the removal of organic pollutants from raw wastewater designated as COD (73.8%), BOD (81.2%) and TOC (76%). The concentrations of COD and BOD were 1053 mg/dm³ and 329 mg/dm³, respectively. The value of TOC reached a level of 288 mg/dm³. Generated biogas in the methane fermentation process of wastewater from dairy wastewater was characterized by high methane content (82 % vol.). In the final part of the experiment, the UF process was used in order to posttreating effluent from UASB reactor. During the UF process, COD, BOD and TOC parameters were removed at 72%, 63% and 67%, respectively.

1 Introduction

Dairy processing belongs to major directions of agricultural production in Europe. Due to beneficial natural conditions and long tradition of breeding and rearing of cattle, Poland is numbered among top producers of milk and dairy products. It is estimated that nearly 12 billion of cubic metres of milk is produced in the country every year. Polish dairy industry is currently fourteenth in the world and fourth in the European Union in terms of milk production [1, 2]. Two types of wastewater are generated in dairy factories: industrial and non-industrial wastewater. Industrial wastewater is generated during cleaning the floors and equipment, but it also comes from leakages and milk loss. In some dairy factories, whey is also discharged to the industrial wastewater, substantially increasing the load of contaminants. The non-industrial wastewater (e.g. sanitary wastewater, post-cooling wastewater and stormwater) represents a substantial part of total volume of the wastewater, but it is characterized by a low content of organic matter [1–3].

The amount of industrial wastewater depends on the size of the factory and type of production. A typical Polish dairy plant discharges 450–600 m³/d of wastewater, containing on average 200–700 mg/dm³ BOD (max. 3000–5000 mg/dm³). In general, chemical oxygen
demand (COD) in dairy wastewater ranges from 1150 to 9200 mg/dm$^3$ [1–5]. Dairy wastewater contains dissolved and crystallized fat, carbohydrates (lactose) and protein in its colloidal form and nitrogen and phosphorous compounds e.g. from the washing processes. The quality of wastewater generated in a dairy is determined by the type of production, content of raw material and technological advances of the plant [4–6]. Dairy wastewater temperature is usually 20–30°C and is characterized by weak alkaline reaction (pH 7–8.8). It is easily putrefied due to lactose fermentation. Wastewater with these properties can be successfully treated using biological processes (aerobic and/or anaerobic). In most cases, modern methods of biological treatment of wastewater in anaerobic conditions meet European requirements concerning treatment of industrial wastewater. Anaerobic methods were used for many years only for stabilization of sewage sludge and it was in the beginning of the 70s of the last century when the interest in these processes as effective technologies of industrial wastewater treatment started to be more pronounced [7–9]. Dairy wastewater having a high fat content has the highest methane potential among several types of food wastewater.

During treatment of wastewater in aerobic conditions, 50% of organic compounds expressed as COD is transformed into the sludge, whereas other 50% is converted into heat energy that in practice remains unused. During the anaerobic treatment, only 10% of organic compounds are used to obtain biomass, with 80% turned into methane that can be used for energy purposes. During methane fermentation, around 3 to 20 times more excess sludge is generated compared to aerobic processes, which is connected with low rate of increase of aerobic bacteria. In the case of anaerobic treatment, nearly 1 kg of removed COD generates the energy of 3.4 kWh and it is estimated that 1 kg of decomposed organic matter can be used to obtain 0.35 m$^3$ methane [6–9]. The benefit of methane fermentation is the ability to decompose fats and suspensions contained in the wastewater. Therefore, there is no necessity to build additional installations for removing these contaminants using physical and chemical methods [9]. Technologically, treatment of wastewater from food processing industry is based on the use of UASB bioreactors, designed by Letting and his colleagues in the seventies of the last century in the Netherlands. Biomass used in this bioreactor occurs in the form of granulated sludge, which is characterized by very good sedimentation properties, resistance to fluctuation in the load of contaminants in the wastewater supplied to the bioreactor and low unit increase in the sludge (from 0.11 to 0.22 kgVSS/kgCODrem.) [7–9].

The example of the use of this type of reactors for treatment of industrial dairy wastewater is a Finnish dairy which manufacturers 165 000 m$^3$ of wastewater per year. Reduction in COD ranges from 70% to 90%, whereas daily biogas production with 70% of methane content is 400 m$^3$. Another example is a dairy plant in the UK, where, after installation of an UASB reactor to treat whey and wastewater from the cream production is obtained such biogas amounts of biogas which allow for covering of the total energy demand in the plant [10,11].

2 Material and methods

2.1 Substrate

The study examined industrial wastewater from one of the biggest dairy factories located in the Świętokrzyskie Voivodeship in Poland. The factory processes 400 m$^3$ of milk per day. The factory is equipped with its own wastewater treatment plant with capacity of up to 800 m$^3$/d. The average characteristics of dairy wastewater are shown in Table 1.
The factory is equipped in the Świętokrzyskie Voivodeship in Poland. The factory processes 400 t of raw material per year. The demand in the plant is high, reaching up to 8 t per day. An example of the use of this technology is the installation of an UASB reactor to treat whey and wastewater from the cream production. The methane content is 400 m³. Another example is a dairy plant in the UK, where, after the installation of an UASB reactor, the biogas was collected in a calibrated container. The examinations used granulated sludge collected from the anaerobic IC bioreactor used in the wastewater treatment plant in Żywiec S.A. Brewery. The sludge was in the form of granules with the diameter of 3 to 5 mm and dry mass of 78.35 g/dm³ (including the content of organic matter of 62.15 g/dm³ and mineral substances of 16.20 g/dm³).

Ultrafiltration posttreatment of the dairy wastewater was conducted using the research stand Millipore CDS-10. The system was operated in the dead-end one-directional arrangement at the pressure of 0.1 MPa. The membrane installation was composed of the ultrafiltration cell containing a flat membrane with an active surface of 0.045 m². The cell was connected through a reducer with a gas cylinder (with oxygen). The reducer allowed for adjustment of gas pressure in the range of 0.1 to 0.25 MPa. The study used hydrophilic ultrafiltration membrane made of polyethersulfone (PES) with cut-off of 50 kDa [13].

### Table 1. Average characteristics of dairy wastewater.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Raw wastewater</th>
<th>Permissible standards for natural receiver [12]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>6.5–9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD mg/dm³</td>
<td>4020</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD mg/dm³</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC mg/dm³</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total nitrogen mg/dm³</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2 Apparatus

The UASB reactor with the volume of 5 dm³ was made of the organic glass (PMMA). Constant temperature of the anaerobic fermentation (36 ± 2°C) was maintained by means of ultrathermostat that pumped the heated water to the heating jacket of the bioreactor. The UASB effluent was collected in the upper part of a reaction chamber, whereas the generated gas was collected in a calibrated container. The examinations used granulated sludge collected from the anaerobic IC bioreactor used in the wastewater treatment plant in Żywiec S.A. Brewery. The sludge was in the form of granules with the diameter of 3 to 5 mm and dry mass of 78.35 g/dm³ (including the content of organic matter of 62.15 g/dm³ and mineral substances of 16.20 g/dm³).

Ultrafiltration posttreatment of the dairy wastewater was conducted using the research stand Millipore CDS-10. The system was operated in the dead-end one-directional arrangement at the pressure of 0.1 MPa. The membrane installation was composed of the ultrafiltration cell containing a flat membrane with an active surface of 0.045 m². The cell was connected through a reducer with a gas cylinder (with oxygen). The reducer allowed for adjustment of gas pressure in the range of 0.1 to 0.25 MPa. The study used hydrophilic ultrafiltration membrane made of polyethersulfone (PES) with cut-off of 50 kDa [13].

### 2.3. Research methodology

The examinations were divided into two stages. In the first stage, dairy wastewater was initially treated biologically using a methane fermentation process. This operation was performed in an UASB bioreactor to which granulated anaerobic sludge with concentration of 10 g/dm³ was supplied. Four series of examinations were performed, with hydraulic retention time ranging from 4 d to 1.5 d. Duration of this stage was 80 days. After initial biological treatment of dairy products, the effluent from the UASB reactor was supplied to the ultrafiltration membrane module in order to ensure final treatment (Stage 2). At this stage, the transport and separation properties of the membrane were determined [14, 15]. The study design and methodology of determination is presented in Fig. 1.

The raw and treated (UASB effluent) wastewater samples were analysed on average every two days in terms of changes in COD, TOC, pH, alkalinity and volatile fatty acids (VFA). Measurements of BOD and TN were performed once a week. The composition and amount of the biogas generated in the process was monitored continuously. The permeate after the ultrafiltration process was analysed in terms of changes in BOD, COD, TOC and TN. The HACH DR/4000 spectrophotometer was used to perform the measurements of chemical oxygen demand (COD). BOD was determined using the respirometric method by means of the measurement set OXI Top WTW. Kiper TOC 10C Analyser PX-120 with AS40-Dione3.11 autosampler was used for the determination of total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN). CP-401/CP-40 pH-meter was used to measure pH during the process of
anaerobic biodegradation of dairy wastewater. The amount of volatile fatty acids was determined according to PN-75/C-04616/04, whereas alkalinity was determined based on PN90/C-04540/03. The composition of the biogas generated was analysed by means of the SR2-DO (Germany) biogas analyser.

Fig. 1. The scheme of dairy wastewater treatment in the UASB-UF system.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Anaerobic treatment of dairy wastewater

Hydraulic retention time for dairy wastewater in the reaction chamber was changed from 4 d to 1.5 d, which resulted in the change of organic loading rate (OLR) from 1 kg COD/m³d to 2.6 kg COD/m³d, respectively. Time of dairy wastewater fermentation process at specific hydraulic retention times (HRT) and OLR levels during the entire experiment are presented in Fig. 2a. During treatment of wastewater using the longest HRT time of 4 d (1st to 26th day of the experiment) mean degree of removal of COD, TOC and BOD was 60%, 64% and 71%, respectively. Value of COD, TOC and BOD for treated wastewater amounted in this case to 1608 mg/dm³, 432 mg/dm³ and 525 mg/dm³, respectively. Shortening of HRT from 4 d to 3 d (27th to 46th day) contributed to the increase in the load of the chamber with the contaminant load from 1 kg COD/m³d to 1.34 kg COD/m³d and to the increase in the degree of COD removal by 6% and TOC and BOD by 5%. Shortening of HRT time to 2 d led to the rise in the organic loading rate to 2 kg COD/m³d (47th to 68th day). The wastewater treated during this retention time was characterized by lower content of COD (1053 mg/dm³), TOC (288 mg/dm³) and BOD (329 mg/dm³). During wastewater treatment using the shortest of the examined retention times (HRT of 1.5 d), a substantial deterioration was observed for the quality of wastewater
treated, with the values of COD, TOC and BOD of 1527 mg/dm³, 420 mg/dm³ and 455 mg/dm³, respectively. Changes of COD and TOC treated during the experiment were presented in Fig. 2b and 2c, whereas BOD values are shown in Fig. 3a.

The results are similar to those obtained by previous researchers during anaerobic treatment of this type of industrial wastewater. In a study by Latif et al., the COD removal rate ranged from 64% (HRT = 1.5 d and OLR = 0.24 kg COD/m³d) to 98% (HRT = 6 d and OLR = 6.2 kg COD/m³d). Tawfik et al., demonstrated that during the UASB reactor operation for HRT 1d and OLR of 1.9 kg COD/m³d, the efficiency of COD removal was 69% [16–18].

VFA and alkalinity together are the good indicators for evaluating the process stability of the anaerobic reactor since total alkalinity reflects both levels of VFA and bicarbonates, and under unstable conditions increased VFA reduce bicarbonates resulting in constant total alkalinity [19]. With undisturbed course of the process, the ratio of alkalinity to VFA should not exceed 0.3 [20] whereas inhibition of the development of methanogens in the reaction chamber occurs when its value exceeds 0.8 [21]. In the first days of the experiment, the VFA/alkalinity ratio was very high: 0.7 (2nd day) and 0.36 (6th day). From the 7th day, the value of the ratio was below 0.3 and ranged from 0.21 and 0.29. It was also observed that during the process performed at HRT of 1.5 days, the index adopted higher values, ranging from 0.28 to 0.29. (Fig. 2d). Comparison of the quality of treated wastewater depending on hydraulic retention time in the bioreator was presented in Fig. 3b. Volume and composition of the released gas were also evaluated during the fermentation process. Biogas yield (Y) was also computed from the following formula:

\[ Y = \frac{V_b}{a}, \text{ dm}^3/\text{gCOD}_{\text{rem.}} \]
where:

- \( V_b \) – volume of biogas generated during the day, (dm³/d),
- \( a \) – the amount of removed COD during the day, (g/d).

During fermentation at HRT 1.5 d, daily biogas yield was the highest (2704 cm³). The use of longer hydraulic retention time was related with lower daily biogas yield. Daily biogas yield at HRT 2 d and HRT 3 d was lower than that obtained at HRT 1.5 d by 23% (2100 cm³) and 46% (1260 cm³).

A very important parameter monitored during anaerobic treatment of dairy wastewater was biogas yield efficiency. With longer HRT of 3 d and 4 d, biogas yield efficiency was at a similar level of ca. 0.43 dm³ biogas/g COD_{rem}). At HRT 2 d and HRT 1.5 d biogas yield efficiency was 0.44 dm³ biogas/g COD_{rem} and 0.42 dm³ biogas/g COD_{rem}. In the first days of the process, percentage of methane in the biogas generated ranged from 50% to 62% (2nd to 6th day). It was also found that at HRT = 1.5 d, biogas was characterized by the lowest methane content (74%). With longer hydraulic retention times (HRT = 3 d and HRT = 4 d), methane percentage was the highest and ranged from 80% to 82%. Carbon dioxide content in the analyzed biogas samples ranged depending on HRT from 12.5% to 15.9%. Mean content of H₂S and CO during the entire experiment was 84 and 57 ppm, respectively.

### 3.2 Post-treatment dairy wastewater using the ultrafiltration process

Before the process of final treatment of the eluate from the UASB reactor in the process of ultrafiltration, transport properties of the membrane used were determined. This consisted in transport of deionized water through a membrane while using the transmembrane pressure ranging from 0.1 to 0.25 MPa. The volumetric water flux was determined for each pressure. It was observed that the flux of permeate increased with the increase in pressure used. This relationship was of linear function character. For the highest pressure used, i.e. 0.25 MPa, the ultrafiltration membrane was characterized by nearly 3 times higher (23.7 \times 10^{-5} m³/m²×s) volumetric water stream compared with the smallest pressure (0.1 MPa – 8.45\times10^{-5} m³/m²×s). After determination of the transport properties of the membrane, filtration of the wastewater initially treated in the methane fermentation process was started. This process was conducted at the pressure of 0.1 MPa.

It was found that with the time of the ultrafiltration process, membrane capacity was gradually decreasing. The cause of this phenomenon was the deposition of contaminants in the membrane pores and formation of the filtration cake, which resulted in blocking the pores and a decline in the flux of permeate. After 5 minutes of the process, the permeate
flux was by 10% lower compared to the flux of deionized water. After ca. 20 minutes, the permeate flux became steady at the level of $4.92 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^3$/m$^2$×s. Volumetric changes in the permeate flux depending on the ultrafiltration process are illustrated in Fig. 4a.

Based on the value of initial volumetric water flux and mean value of volumetric permeate flux, the relative membrane permeability after 60 minutes of the ultrafiltration process was determined (0.68). As expected, the ultrafiltration process ensured a high degree of removal of the contaminants from the initially biologically treated wastewater. The degree of removal of COD, TOC and BOD and TN reached the levels of 72% (295 mg/dm$^3$), 67% (108 mg/dm$^3$), 69% (89 mg/dm$^3$) and 43% (176 mg/dm$^3$), respectively. The results obtained in the study demonstrated that wastewater treated in the system studied were unsuitable for immediate discharge to a natural reservoir. The value of indices for wastewater treated according to the ordinance of the Minister of Environment as of 18 November 2014 was exceeded over three times (TOC and COD), or four times (BOD) and twelve times (TN) [12]. It is planned in the future to replace the process of ultrafiltration with reverse osmosis and using a final ammonia stripping step in the technological system.

![Fig. 4. The changes of the permeate flux (a) and the quality of dairy wastewater purified in the UASB-UF system (b).](image)

### 4 Conclusions

It was found that:

1) methane fermentation was the most efficient at HRT of 2 days, with the content of COD, TOC and BOD in treated wastewater being the lowest, with 1053 mg/dm$^3$, 288 mg/dm$^3$ and 329 mg/dm$^3$, respectively;

2) the biogas generated at the most beneficial conditions of the process of methane fermentation of dairy wastewater was characterized by a high methane content (82% vol.);

3) the degree of removal of COD, TOC and total nitrogen after ultrafiltration reached the levels of 72% (295 mg/dm$^3$), 67% (108 mg/dm$^3$) and 43% (176 mg/dm$^3$), respectively;

4) due to low quality, permeate after the process of ultrafiltration cannot be discharged to a natural reservoir. The ultrafiltration process is planned to be replaced by reverse osmosis.
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