The Comparative Study of Pure Mesoporous Silica SBA-15 and CPTMS-SBA-15 Adsorption of Pb Heavy Metal

Jaka Fajar Fatriansyah¹, Donanta Dhaneswara¹, Frans Wensten Situmorang^{1}, Aloysius Brahmarsi¹, Farhan Delayori¹, Siti Utami A.A.¹, and Dessy Prawesti Kusumawardhani¹.*

¹Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Indonesia, Kampus UI Depok, Jawa Barat, 16424

Corresponding author: fajar@metal.ui.ac.id

Abstract. Mesoporous silica SBA-15 has been successfully synthesized and its surface has been modified/functionalized with CPTMS. The making of pure SBA-15 was operated at an optimized concentration of Pluronic 123 surfactant at 60 mM which yields surface area of 831.996 m²/g determined by BET. The modified SBA-15, SBA-15+CPTMS have a lower surface area in comparison with neat SBA-15. In this study, SBA-15+CPTMS have a surface area of about 711.061 m²/g. This very high surface area was utilized to remove the Pb in industrial wastewater using laboratory made water samples. Despite lower surface area and pore diameter of SBA-15+CPTMS in comparison with pure SBA-15, the effectivity of SBA-15+CPTMS in lead adsorption much higher than mesoporous silica SBA-15 especially at a lower concentration of adsorbents. In this paper, we compared the percentage of Pb removal using mesoporous silica SBA-15 and CPTMS-SBA-15 systems. It was found at a low concentration of adsorbent, CPTMS-SBA-15 yields three times percentage of Pb removal than pure SBA-15. However, at high concentration of adsorbent, CPTMS-SBA-15 percentage of Pb removal is just slightly higher than the pure SBA-15 percentage of Pb removal.

Keywords: mesoporous silica, adsorption, heavy metal waste

1 Introduction

The rapid economic development at the end of the 20th century brings prosperity and wealth for many countries, especially in G-20 countries. One of the side effects of this development is the immense water pollution by the industrial waste which contains heavy metals. These toxic materials can bring horrendous health problems for aquatic ecosystems, animals, human as well as environment. The World Health Organization (WHO) had identified the inorganic pollutants which are extremely dangerous for living things. The example of the lists is Arsenic, Cadmium, Chromium, Copper, Lead, Mercury and Zinc [Benaissa, 2008; Keith, 1979; Srivastava, 2010; Stafiej, 2007].

* Corresponding author: fajar@metal.ui.ac.id

Mesoporous materials, especially silica based one, are one of promising nanotechnology materials that have many potential applications in the wide area. Recently, silica mesoporous has been used as advanced optics [Scott, 2001], biosensor [Radu, 2004], biomolecules adsorption [Katiyar, 2006], drug delivery [Mellaerts, 2008], membrane separation [Zornoza, 2009] and catalysis [Abdalla, 2012]. IUPAC has specifically defined that mesoporous silica material is a silica based materials with the distribution of mesopores (although micropores and macropores also exist) which the diameters are in the range of 2-500 nm [McCusker, 2001]. Because of its highly ordered mesostructures, this material has very high surface area and volume ratio, allowing diffusion and adsorption of larger molecules compared to zeolite or other micropores materials, and thus making this material suitable for catalysis and sorption application.

In this study, we focus on SBA-15, which is a mesoporous silica with a fine pore diameter arrangement and controllable pore diameter between 5 to 15 nm, although other mesoporous silica also widely used such as MCM-41 or other MCM family and other SBA. SBA-15 was synthesized by Zhao et. al [1998, 2000] (named after the University of California, Santa Barbara). SBA-15 compared with older mesoporous silica has larger pores and thicker walls (3.1 to 6.4 nm), these make SBA-15 yields has good thermal, mechanical stability and chemical resistance properties. Thus, all of these advantages make SBA-15 become a material which can be used for wide applications. The structure of SBA-15 is an ordered hexagonal and it was also synthesized using triblock copolymer. The surface area of neat SBA-15 could be up to 650 m²/gr. In this study triblock copolymer was used as a template for SBA-15. It has an advantage which is much easier to synthesize the SBA-15. In this study, Pluronic 123, a triblock copolymer surfactant was used [Dhaneswara, 2016]. The pluronic 123 has the ability to create a long cylindrical micelle which is specifically appropriate to make SBA-15 with precursor silica Tetraethylorthosilicate/TEOS. The use of mesoporous silica SBA-15 in sorption application is connected with their high capacity in the adsorption mechanism.

In order to increase the effectivity of mesoporous silica SBA-15 in sorption application, modification of surface should be made. The common silane surface modification n was operated using APTES (C₉H₂₃NO₃Si, 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane) [Li, 2011] and APTMS (3-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane) [Molina de la Torre, 2017]. In this manner, silanization process produces a terminal amine group (–NH₂) and thus the connection of –NH₂ group is created providing single electron pair that makes possible to form complexes with heavy metal ions [Chong, 2003; Zhao, 2011]. In this study, to functionalize the surface of SBA-15 we used CPTMS (3-Chloropyltrimethoxysilane) as silanization agent. However, CPTMS does not have NH₂, instead, it has a Chlor atom. Asgari et. al [Asgari, 2016] actually had functionalized mesoporous silica SBA-15 with CPTMS and THPP but they focused more on the role of THPP as a modifier. In this paper, we investigated the effect of CPTMS as functionalization/silanized agent of mesoporous silica SBA-15 on the Pb adsorption effectivity through Adsorption Atomic spectroscopy (AAS) and BET results comparison.

2 Experiment

2.1 Materials

In order to synthesize mesoporous silica SBA-15, silica precursor Tetraethylorthosilicate/TEOS (Merck) and Surfactant Pluronic 123 (BASF) as a template was used. HCl 2 M (Merck), distilled water and ethanol were used as a solvent. All of the

materials were used without further purification. CPTMS (3-Chloropropyltrimethoxysilane) (Sigma Aldrich) and toluene for SBA-15 functionalization were used.

2.2 Synthesis SBA-15 and functionalization

We used sol-gel wet path in order to synthesize mesoporous silica SBA-15. The basic main block of mesoporous silica is silica and the wet template for example surfactant. The precursor of silica is TEOS (Tetraethyl orthosilicate/ $\text{Si}(\text{OC}_2\text{H}_5)_4$) and the template is surfactant Pluronic 123 (triblock copolymer constituted of poly (ethylene oxide) (PEO) and poly (propylene oxide) (PPO)). The very acidic condition was used in the sol-gel method to ensure the self-assembly of silica occurs on the wet template. First, 5 ml of et.OH was added to 31.25 gr of TEOS and then the mixture was mixed under room temperature for 30 minutes. Then, 5 ml et.OH was assorted with 10 ml HCl to make add acidity. This solution then was mixed again with et.OH (10 ml) and the addition of 50 ml distilled water and they were mixed under room temperature for 30 minutes. Then, the mixed solution was refluxed at 70 °C for 120 minutes. The TEOS solution was ready to be used in a further step. Further, the surfactant template solution was synthesized. Based on optimum concentration according to Dhaneswara et. Al [Dhaneswara, 2016], the concentration of surfactant which used in this study was fixed at 60 mM. Then, the mixture of HCl (10 ml) and ethanol (25 ml) was added by the surfactant. Next, Pluronic 123 solution was added dropwise into TEOS solution and it was continuously stirred until a wet gel was formed in the solution. The wet gel obtained from this process then was dried for an hour at 100°C and then was calcined for the addition of 300 minutes. Finally, the final product was ready for adsorption characterization. To functionalize mesoporous silica SBA-15 with CPTMS, we use the following procedure. Synthesized mesoporous silica SBA-15 was suspended and mixed in 50 mL toluene. CPTMS then was added dropwise into SBA-15-toluene mixture. The mixture of SBA-15 and CPTMS then was refluxed in a flask at around 100°C overnight. The precipitate which obtained was filtered and washed with toluene. The resulting material then was dried for 840 minutes at constant temperature 100°C. The resulting material then was called as SBA-15-CPTMS. The chemical structure of CPTMS can be seen in figure 1.

Fig. 1. Chemical structure of CPTMS. Instead of containing NH_2 at the end of the structure as APTES and APTMS, CPTMS contains Cl as a substitute.

2.3 Pb ion adsorption test

In order to obtain the fix concentration of Pb at 100 mg/L, lead ions were dissolved in pure water. 25 mL of lead ion solutions were settled in bottles and homogenized. SBA-15 as adsorbent was then added carefully into homogenized lead ion solutions with the concentrations of 0.2, 0.5 and 1 g/L. The mixtures then were stirred (with the rate around 100 rpms) for 120 mins at room temperature.

2.4 Characterization

In order to measure pore diameter, the surface area, and pore volume, the adsorption isotherm experiment was operated using Quantachrome adsorption-desorption equipment at 77 K. The adsorbate which used in this characterization process is N₂. In order to determine the specific surface area, we used Brunauer-Emmer-Teller (BET). In order to check the adsorption of Pb ions, we used Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS). The concentration of lead ions in solution can be extracted from the spectra observed from AAS.

3 Results and discussion

In this paper, we modified (functionalize) SBA-15 with CPTMS. CPTMS contains Cl at the end of the group instead of the amine as in APTES and PTMS. In this sense, we want to observe the effect of Chlor addition on the surface of mesoporous silica. First, we should measure the structural parameter (textural characteristics) of the pores. We used the BET method to calculate pore diameter (Kr87 method), surface area and pore volume. The results for SBA-15 and SBA-15+CPTMS can be seen in the table below.

Table 1. BET result of textural characteristics of samples

No	Sample	Pore diameter	Surface Area	Pore volume
1	mesoporous silica SBA-15	29.203 Å	831.996 m ² /g	265.161 cc/g
2	SBA-15-CPTMS	28.521 Å	711.061 m ² /g	199.649 cc/g

On table 1, it is shown that the surface is of CPTMS functionalized SBA-15 is significantly smaller than the surface area as well as its pore volume. The surface area and pore volume of SBA-15+CPTMS were diminished by 15 % and 25 % respectively in comparison to mesoporous silica SBA-15. These results are reflected on the sorption isotherm curve in which for SBA-15-CPTMS, the gas uptake much lower than the mesoporous silica SBA-15 curve of sorption isotherm (see figure 2). On figure 2 too, it can be seen that both samples show the sorption isotherms type IV and a hysteresis loop type H1 in the relative pressure range from 0.5 to 0.9 for untreated SBA-15 and 0.6 to 0.73 for SBA-15-CPTMS. Relatively unchanged curve, before and after functionalization of mesoporous silica SBA-15 indicating that there was no surface/pore structural alteration occurred. This result indicates that the uniform mesoporous structure of SBA-15 was relatively kept intact even after functionalization, even though smaller size of pore, diameter, and volume were observed in which indicating that the surface was modified. The reduce of those parameters can be explained as the functionalization agent or part of the reacted CPTMS were placed on the surface and inside the pores of SBA-15. The distribution of the size of the pore can be seen in figure 3. The result shows that the average pore size decreased although the distribution was almost similar. The less height of the peak for SBA-15+CPTMS in comparison with mesoporous silica SBA-15 demonstrates that the functionalization produces less order structure. This results in agreement with Chong et. al [Chong, 2003] when the silanized SBA-15 with APTES. In figure 4, we show TEM images for (a) mesoporous silica SBA-15 and (b) SBA-15+CPTMS. Nano-sized pores were observed for both samples. The CPTMS modified SBA-15 looks brighter due to the modification of the surface with Chlor and methyl.

According to our samples FTIR results (shown in figure 4), we suggest the SBA-15+CPTMS structure as in figure 5. From FTIR spectra, it can be shown that there are symmetric-asymmetric stretching of C-H bonds ($2950\text{--}2850\text{ cm}^{-1}$), the vibration of Si-C bonds (722 cm^{-1}) and C-Cl bonds (500 cm^{-1}).

Fig. 2. The adsorption and desorption isotherm curves of mesoporous silica SBA-15 and SBA-15-CPTMS. Both curves show the type IV sorption isotherm with type H1 of hysteresis curves.

Fig. 3. The size distribution of mesoporous silica SBA-15 and SBA-15+CPTMS pores. SBA-15+CPTMS has a smaller size of pore size in comparison with mesoporous silica SBA-15, while their distribution is somewhat similar.

Fig. 4. TEM images for (a) mesoporous silica SBA-15 and (b) SBA-15 CPTMS. Nano-sized pores were observed for both samples. The CPTMS modified SBA-15 looks brighter due to the modification of the surface with Chlor and methyl.

Fig. 5. FTIR spectra of mesoporous silica SBA-15 and SBA-15+CPTMS samples. Symmetric-asymmetric stretching of C-H bonds (2950-2850 cm⁻¹), the vibration of Si-C bonds (722 cm⁻¹) and C-Cl bonds (500 cm⁻¹).

Fig. 6. Possible structure of SBA-15-CPTMS. The grafted part of CPTMS was attached in the silica surface in the sea of Pb ions.

Now we discuss lead removal in adsorption experiment results. The results are shown in figure 6 and table2. The amount of Pb removal was calculated by measuring the percentage of residual Pb with respect to the total amount of the original concentration of Pb. The results show that Pb removal is somewhat higher for SBA-15+CPTMS in comparison with pure SBA-15 especially at a concentration of adsorbent of 0.2 and 0.5 g/L. For a concentration of adsorbent1 g/L, the difference of Pb removal value for SBA-15 and SBA-15+CPTMS is somewhat narrower. For both samples, in respect to the adsorbent, the amount of Pb removal is saturated around 0.8 g/L concentration of adsorbent.

Here we notice that the surface area of SBA-15 is higher than ABA-15+CPTMS, the effectivity in adsorbing heavy metal (in this case Pb) is somewhat higher. This phenomenon can be explained as follows. The functionalization/modification of mesoporous silica SBA-15 surface was reducing the pore size/diameter and surface area due to the fact that BET surface and volume are standardized towards neat silica weights as shown by Muresenau et. al [Muresenau, 2006]. Thus, as expected, the BET surface area was greatly decreasing during functionalization. In addition, the CPTMS/grafted/silanized substrate may be located inside the pores not only on the surface. However, smaller surface area and pore diameter do not translate to the lower adsorption effectivity towards heavy metal as we demonstrated. The functionalization of SBA-15 yields the O-Si-Cl⁻ bridge. This bridge may capture and/or adsorb Pb better in comparison with the only Si-O surface. We found that the effective mesoporous silica SBA-15 and SBA-15+CPTMS for Pb adsorption are around 0.8 g/L for both samples. Here we restrict our paper to this stage of an experiment since our purpose only compares the effectivity of CPTMS functionalization of SBA-15.

Table 2. Pb removal of mesoporous silica SBA-15 and SBA-15-CPTMS.

No	Sample	Pb removal (%)	
1	mesoporous silica SBA-15	0.2 g/L	59.40
		0.5 g/L	55.20
		1.0 g/L	86.84
2	SBA-15-CPTMS	0.2 g/L	85.45
		0.5 g/L	86.69
		1.0 g/L	89.96

Fig. 6. Lead removal with the mesoporous silica SBA-15 and SBA-15+CPTMS adsorbents. The results show that SBA-15+CPTMS has higher lead removal than pure SBA-15 although, at high concentration of adsorbent, both adsorbents has relatively close value.

4 Conclusions

We had synthesized mesoporous silica SBA-15 and functionalized SBA-15 with CPTMS. We found that pore diameter, volume and surface area for SBA-15+CPTMS is somewhat smaller than SBA-15. This is partly due to the existence of a part of CPTMS/grafted CPTMS inside pore and surface. However, the less surface area value does not translate to the lower effectivity towards the adsorption of Pb. Here we found that SBA-15+CPTMS has higher effectivity for adsorbing Pb in comparison with mesoporous silica SBA-15, although at high concentration of adsorbents both samples show a smaller difference. Both samples have maximum effectivity at a concentration of adsorbent of 0.8 g/L.

This writing is supported by DRPM (Direktorat Riset dan Pengembangan Masyarakat Universitas Indonesia) under PITTA (Publikasi Terindeks Internasional Untuk Tugas Akhir) 2017 grant with the number of contract 2420/UN2.2R3.1/PPM.00.01/2018.

References

1. Benaiss, H, Alexandria, Egypt, 18-21 December 2008.
2. Keith, L. H.; Telliard, W., *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1979, **13**, 416.
3. Srivastava, S; Goyal, P, Springer-Verlag. ISBN 978-3-642-11329-1. (2010).
4. Stafiej, A.; Pyrzynska, K. Adsorption of heavy metal ions with carbon nanotubes. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2007, **58**, 49-52.
5. Scott, Brian J., Gernot Wirnsberger, and Galen D. Stucky., *Chemistry of materials* **13.10**, 3140-3150. (2001)
6. Radu, D. R., Lai, C., Jeftinija, K., Rowe, E. W., Jeftinija, S., & Lin, Victor S. Y., *Journal of the Americal Chemical Society.* **126 (41)**: 13216–13217, 2004.

7. Katiyar, A., Yadav, S., Panagiotis, G., Neville, S., Pinto, G., Journal of Chromatography. **1122** (1–2): 13–20. 2006
8. Mellaerts, R., Mols, R., Kayaert, P., Annaert, P., Van Humbeeck, J., Van Den M. G., Martens, J. A., Augustijns, P., International Journal of Pharmaceutics. **357** (1–2): 169–79, 2008.
9. Zornoza, B., Irusta, S., Téllez, C., & Coronas, J., Langmuir, **25**(10), 5903-5909, 2009.
10. Abdalla, Z. E. A., and Baoshan L., Chemical Engineering Journal, **200**, 113-121, 2012.
11. McCusker, L. B., Liebau, F., & Engelhardt, G., Pure and Applied Chemistry, **73**(2), 381-394, 2001.
12. Zhao, D., Feng, J., Huo, Q., Melosh, N., Fredrickson, G. H., Chmelka, B. F., & Stucky, G. D., Science, **279**.5350: 548-552, 1998.
13. Zhao, D., Sun, J., Li, Q., & Stucky, G. D., Chemistry of materials, **12**.2: 275-279, 2000.
14. Dhaneswara, D., & Sofyan, N., International Journal Of Technology, **7**(6), 1009-1015, (2016).
15. Li, G., Wang, B., Sun, Q., Xu, W., & Han, Y., Microporous and Mesoporous Materials. **252** (2017).
16. Molina de la Torre, Jesús Ángel, and Ana Carmen Albéniz., European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry, **22** (2017).
17. Maria Chong, A. S., and X. S. Zhao. , The Journal of Physical Chemistry B **107**.46 (2003): 12650-12657.
18. Zhao, Y., Gao, Q., Tang, T., Xu, Y., & Wu, D., Materials Letters, **65**(6), 1045-1047. (2011).