Municipal Solid Waste Transport Operational Cost of Seberang Ulu Area, Palembang City

Septi Rika Putri^{1,*}, Khalida Muda¹, Anis Saggaf², and Dewi Astuti²

¹Faculty of Civil Engineering, University Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia

²Engineering Faculty, Civil Engineering Department, Universitas Sriwijaya, Sumatera Selatan, Indonesia

Abstract. Transportation system is an important part of the municipal solid waste management system, and also requires substantial investment and operational costs after the landfill. The further of the service route and the more the number of trucks ritation then the greater the operational costs to be incurred. Transportation system in the research location uses two methods, namely Hauled Container System/HCS (4 units) and Stationary Container System/SCS (19 units), each with 6 m³ capacity. Armroll truck activity analysis found that one time ritation takes an average of 2.72 hours and the average amount of ritation is 3 rit / day. The operational cost required for the armroll truck is 13.433.68 IDR / m³ / day. The result of dump truck activity analysis obtained the average time in one ritation is 4.77 hours, with the amount of ritation of 2 rit / day. Operating cost for dump truck is 25.400.1 IDR / m³ / day so HCS method with armroll truck is more effective than SCS method with dump truck, although the number of units is less in the research location, this is due to the investment cost of the carosery which is slightly larger than the dump truck.

1 Introduction

Providing good municipal solid waste management while ensuring the sustainability of financial management will always be a challenge in developing country cities, where budgetary costs in municipal solid waste management systems have not been a top priority due to limited government funds [1]. Components in municipal solid waste management that require considerable investment and operational costs are on collection and transport systems, in addition to the landfill system. The limitation of these funds has ultimately shown various impacts on the environment, either directly or indirectly. Various research on financing in solid waste management has been done and continues to grow until now. Koushki analyzes the cost of municipal solid waste management in Kuwait and concludes that there are three factors that affect the low cost of the collection and transport system, that are low wage labor and driver, low maintenance costs (due to low wages), and low energy costs [2].

* Corresponding author: anissaggaf@unsri.ac.id

Burhamtoro states that the success of transportation system in municipal solid waste management can be measured based on four things: efficiency of time, energy and fuel efficiency, environmental impact, and safety. Burhamtoro analyzed vehicle operating costs with the Pacific Consultants International (PCI) method (1990) that using a regression equation with speed as an independent variable, and conclude that the vehicle fuel component has the highest percentage in municipal solid waste transportation costs (27.45%), followed by wages (18.97%), and interest rates (12.09%) [3]. Olukanni analyzes the selection of the most economical transport system by involving factors that affect the cost of collecting and transporting solid waste, that are vehicle costs, total time spent on garbage collection, and gross travel costs, so it can be concluded that stationary waste collection methods are the most optimal and economical collection method whereby there is a 56% reduction of conventional methods in the total haulage cost per garbage collection/day [4].

Purpose of this research is to obtain the time needed by the transportation system in bringing solid waste from the collection system to landfill while analyzing the transportation cost of solid waste / m³ / day in the research location, that are in the area of Seberang Ulu, Palembang city, Indonesia. Selection of the study sites is based that the ulu region is the farthest area of the landfill site, so has the travel time and the most cost when compared the other areas of Palembang city. The Seberang Ulu area consists of four sub-districts; Kertapati, Seberang Ulu I, Seberang Ulu II, and Plaju with an area of 85.86 km².

2 Materials and Methods

Transportation system that brings solid waste from collection systems to landfills with mixed solid waste conditions still uses conventional trucks, armroll and dump trucks with a capacity of 6 m³ and 10 m³. Table 1 shows the average solid waste weight obtained from direct measurements in the collection system (temporary shelter) for seven consecutive days and the number of truck units serving on the collection system. Table 2 shows the capacity and number of rotations of arm roll and dump trucks obtained from direct observation and data from the Environment and Cleanliness Office of Palembang city (2016).

Table 1. The Number of Trucks That Serve Solid Waste To The Landfills (Rotation or Units).

No	Sub-districts	Average weight of solid waste in the collection system (ton/day)	Percentage (%)	Number of trucks serving (rotation or units)	
				Armroll	Dump
1	Plaju	46.48	24.66	2	4
2	Kertapati	22.4	11.90	1	3
3	Seberang Ulu I	34.6	18.38	1	3
4	Seberang Ulu II	84.9	45.05	3	9
Amount		188.46	100	7	19

There are four sub-districts located in Seberang Ulu area and become the location of the study, the four sub-districts are also densely populated areas and have more low-income

residents than the Seberang Ilir region. Sub-district Seberang Ulu II has the highest amount of solid waste at the location of the collection system (temporary shelter) so that more trucks that needed to bring the solid waste to the landfill. The number of dump truck trips is 2 rit/day with a capacity of 6 m³ of 18 units, and 10 m³ of 1 unit. While the number of arm roll trips is 3 rit/day with a capacity of 6 m³ and the number of trucks is 4 units.

The pattern of municipal solid waste transport in Indonesia has been regulated in Indonesian National Standard (SNI) Number 19-2454-2002 which examines the Operational Procedures of Municipal Solid Waste Management, which consists of Hauled Container system (HCS) and Stationary Container System (SCS). Armroll truck uses the HCS pattern where the truck with the container leaves the pool to temporary shelter 1 to exchange the empty container with the full container and directly to the landfill, then from the empty trucked truck to the temporary shelter 2 to re-exchange the container with those already containing the garbage and bringing it to landfill, and so on (Figure 3).

While the dump truck uses manual SCS pattern, the truck that goes out of Pool to temporary shelter 1 and the garbage at container at temporary shelter 1 is loaded into the truck manually by human power, then the truck goes to the next temporary shelter and fill truck with trash, and so on until the truck's capacity is full then truck to landfil, empty truck from the landfill will begin a new ritation in the same way (Figure 4).

Fig. 1. Armroll Transport system with HCS pattern

Fig. 2. Dump Trucks Transport system with SCS pattern

There is a difference in the calculation of the number of trips (ritations) on the HCS and SCS patterns due to the time difference required to fill the truck container with the trash in the temporary shelter. The mathematical equations on the transport pattern with the HCS system are:

$$P_{HCS} = pc + uc + dbc \quad (1)$$

$$T_{HCS} = P_{HCS} + h + s \quad (2)$$

$$Nd = [H(1 - W) - (t_1 + t_2)]/T_{HCS} \quad (3)$$

where:

pc = time to take full container (hours / trip)

uc = time put empty container (hours / trip)

dbc = time between locations (hours / trip)

T_{HCS} = time per trip

h = time to temporary shelter location

s = time to wait at the location

P_{HCS} = pickup time

N_d = trip count (trip / day)

H = working time per day (hours)

t_1 = from the pool to the first location

t_2 = from last location to pool

W = factor off route (non-productive in all operational activities)

$$P_{SCS} = Ct(uc) + (np - 1) + (dbc) \quad (4)$$

$$T_{SCS} = P_{SCS} + h + s \quad (5)$$

$$Nd = [H(1 - W) - (t_1 + t_2)]/T_{HCS} \quad (6)$$

where:

Ct = number of containers that can be emptied per-trip (container / trip)

uc = time put empty container (hour / container)

np = number of containers emptied per-trip (location / trip)

dbc = time between locations (hour / location)

T_{SCS} = time per-trip

h = time to the temporary shelter location

s = time to wait at the location

P_{SCS} = pickup time

N_d = trip count (trip / day)

H = working time per day (hours)

t_1 = from the pool to the first location

t_2 = from last location to pool

W = factor off route (Non-productive in all operational activities)

The cost of transporting solid waste consists of moving costs and fixed costs. Moving costs include operating costs incurred by garbage trucks (fuel, engine oil, mechanics, tires, spare parts maintenance, depreciation, capital interest, vehicle insurance, driver and crew wages). Fixed costs is costs incurred when the vehicle is operating or not. Fixed costs are the fees stated in the local tax assessment letter.

The method used for moving costs calculation is the regression equation developed by PCI (Pacific Consultants International) (1990). This method produces non-dimensional quantities and in units of 1000 km, so to get the per-km unit value required distance data and average speed average of vehicle in operation and to get operating cost of vehicle with rupiah currency required monetary value regression equation of PCI model.

3 Results and Discussions

3.1 Trashloading Time and Amount Trip

Armroll trucks serves eight temporary shelter locations in the Seberang Ulu area with 6m³ container capacity and trip number (ritation) of one to 3 times a day. The furthest location to reach from the landfill is 18.9 km, while the nearest location is 14 km away. Analysis of armroll trucks transport time by HCS method in one trip or ritation and the number of rit / day is calculated by equations (1), (2), and (3) which can be seen in table 3.

Table 2. Trashloading Activities With Armroll Trucks (HCS Pattern).

Number truck	69	78	81	83
$t_1 + t_2$ (hour)	0.73	0.90	1.34	0.82
h (hour/rit)	1.56	1.43	1.54	1.67
pc (hour/rit)	0.26	0.24	0.29	0.29
uc (hour/rit)	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.05
dbc (hour/rit)	0.16	0.22	0.13	0.18
s (hour/rit)	0.68	0.67	0.67	0.69
H (hour)	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
W (hour/rit)	0.08	0.33	0.40	0.18
V (km/hour)	22.04	21.14	23.45	20.06
P _{HCS} (hour/rit)	0.46	0.49	0.48	0.52
T _{HCS} (hour/rit)	2.70	2.59	2.69	2.88
T_{HCS} avarage (hour/rit)	2.72			
Nd (rit/day)	2.79	1.98	1.51	2.28
Nd avarage (rit/day)	2.14 ~ 3 rit/day			

In the HCS pattern (Table 3), time haul of solid waste transportation observed directly in the field, consist of times from pool to temporary shelter (t_1), time from landfill to pool (t_2), travel time from temporary shelter to landfill (h_1), travel time from landfill to temporary shelter (h_2), waiting time and raise the container (pc), time to lower the container (uc), total time in landfill (s), operational time (t-operational) and average velocity (v), so that the required time of armroll truck in one trip is 2.72 hours / rit and the amount of trip is 3 rit / day.

In the SCS pattern, the field observations of dump trucks at the time from pool to temporary shelter (t_1), time from landfill to pool (t_2), travel time from temporary shelter to landfill, and landfill to temporary shelter (h), time to empty the container (uc), time between temporary shelter sites (dbc), total time in landfill (s), number of containers emptied per rit (ct), number of container locations per rit (np), operating time ($t_{\text{operational}}$), and average speed (v). Here is the analysis of solid waste transport system using dump trucks (table 4) using equations (4), (5), and (6).

Table 3. Trashloading activities with dump trucks (SCS pattern).

Number truck	85	86	87	88	100
t_1 (hour)	0.71	0.745	0.67	0.195	0.72
t_2 (hour)	0.02	0.03	0.74	1.07	0.49
h (hour/rit)	0.55	1.59	1.56	1.54	1.45
uc (hour/container)	0.29	2.39	0.19	0.24	0.69
dbc (hour/location)	0.14	0.00	0.15	0.095	0.07
s (hour/rit)	1.37	1.25	0.88	0.88	0.93
ct (container/rit)	5.00	1.00	12.00	9.00	4.00
np (location/rit)	3.00	1.00	5.00	5.00	4.00
$t_{\text{operational}}$ (hour)	0.43	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.21
W (hour/rit)	0.03	0.24	0.12	0.18	0.19
V (km/hour)	26.01	22.18	20.69	23.01	22.8
P_{SCS} (hour/rit)	1.72	2.39	2.88	2.54	2.99
T_{SCS} (hour/rit)	4.63	5.23	5.32	4.95	5.37
T_{SCS} avarage (hour/rit)	4.77				
Nd avarage (rit/day)	1.73	1.16	1.22	5.37	1.13
Nd avarage (rit/day)	1.48 ~ 2 rit/day				

There are 19 units of dump trucks serving the Seberang Ulu area and table 4 only shows 5 units of dump trucks from all units analyzed. The result of the analysis shows that the average time required in one rite is 4.77 hours / rit with the amount of ritation is 2 rit / day.

3.2 Solid Waste Transporting Costs

The calculation of the garbage haul cost (IDR / m^3) of the armoll truck and dump truck using equations (7) to (16) is shown in Table 5 and Table 6.

Garbage hauling costs by armroll trucks averaged IDR 13.433 / m³ with average distance of 105.7 km from temporary shelter to landfill, and dump trucks of IDR 25,400.1 / m³ with average distance of 42.79 km from temporary shelter to landfill, or with other words, the cost of dump trucks transport is more expensive amount IDR 11,967.1 / m³ with a shorter distance than armroll trucks. This is influenced by the different patterns of transport on both types of trucks where armroll trucks use HCS pattern that require less time and labor than SCS pattern employing manpower in loading and unloading of solid waste either in temporary shelter or in landfill.

Table 4. Calculation of armroll trucks cost

No truck	Container capacity (m ³)	Amount ritation (trip/day)	Moving costs / day (IDR)	Fixed costs / day (IDR)	Garbage haul costs / day (IDR)	Garbage haul costs / day (IDR/m ³)	distance to the landfill (km)
69	6	3	236.375.8	6.790	243.166	13.509.2	102.7
78	6	3	234.904.0	6.790	241.694	13.427.4	101.1
81	6	3	265.859.4	6.790	272.649	15.147.2	117.1
83	6	3	202.516.1	7.200	209.716	11.650.9	102.1
average					241.806	13.433.7	105.7

Table 5. Calculation of dump trucks cost

No truck	Container capacity (m ³)	Amount ritation (trip/day)	Moving costs / day (IDR)	Fixed costs / day (IDR)	Garbage haul costs / day (IDR)	Garbage haul costs / day (IDR/m ³)	distance to the landfill (km)
67	10	2	483.801.3	7.636	491.437	24.571.8	56.3
68	6	2	216.757.7	8.953	225.710	18.809.2	31.8
70	6	2	244.519.9	8.953	253.473	21.122.7	31.8
71	6	2	438.429.2	8.953	447.382	37.281.8	61.35
72	6	2	217.113.9	7.200	224.314	18.692.8	32.9
73	6	2	244.899.9	8.953	253.853	21.154.4	39.3
74	6	2	318.652.8	8.907	327.560	27.296.6	35.73
75	6	2	226.298.9	2.619	228.918	19.076.5	35.9
76	6	2	267.964.9	2.619	270.584	22.548.7	38.5
77	6	2	302.636.8	4.013	306.650	25.554.1	43.9
79	6	2	240.799.0	7.200	247.999	20.666.6	32.75
80	6	2	237.926.7	2.619	240.546	20.045.5	35.05
82	6	2	421.273.2	7.200	428.473	35.706.1	62.14
84	6	2	320.078.8	8.907	328.986	27.415.5	39.49
85	6	2	303.650.0	8.907	312.557	26.046.4	38.58
86	6	2	234.232.5	4.013	238.245	19.853.8	37.48

87	6	2	411.697.6	2.619	414.317	34.526.4	59.9
88	6	2	385.493.4	58.853	444.347	37.028.9	50
100	6	2	298.440.8	4.013	302.454	25.204.5	50.1
average					315.148	25.400.1	42.79

3 Conclusions

In the HCS pattern using a truck armroll, obtained by result of analysis of 3 ritation / day with travel time 2.72 hour / ritation, while pattern of SCS using dump truck, obtained result of analysis 2 ritation / day and travel time 4.77 hour / ritation. This result is in accordance with the number of ritations that have been done at the research location, the length of time required by dump trucks at each ritual causes the transport to be ineffective and requires many truck units.

The cost requirement on the armroll operations of the truck is 13.433. 7 IDR / m³ with an average travel distance of 105.7 km, and the need for dump truck operational costs is 25.401.1 IDR / m³ with an average travel distance of 42.79 km. Dump trucks have greater operating costs than truck armrolls, which can be considered in planning future municipal solid waste transport systems. A disadvantage to the use of armroll trucks is the need for cornerstone and containers standard (6 m³) according to the size of the containers in the arm roll on the collection system, which sometimes can not be met due to limited land or solid waste volume that is not in accordance with the size of the container.

References

1. Christian Riujji Lohri, Ephraim Joseph Camenzind, Christian Zurbrugg, J. Waste Management **34** (2014)
2. P.A. Koushki, U. Al-Duaij, W. Al-Ghimlas, J. Waste Management **24** (2004)
3. Burhamtoro, Achmad Wicaksono, M. Bisri, Soemarno, J. *American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER)* **02** (2013)
4. Olukanni, D. O. Iroko, T. S., Aremu, A. S. J. *Pollution* **1** (2015)
5. Manus Coffey, Adrian Coad, J. United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-HABITAT **58** (2010)
6. Scott J. Callan, Janet M. Thomas, J. *Land Economics*, **77** (2001)
7. Amirhossein Malakahmad, Noor Diana Khalil, J. *International Conference on Business, Engineering, and Industrial Applications (ICBEIA)* (2011)
8. Bel, G., Mur, M. J. *Waste Management* **29** (2009)
9. Agunwamba, J. C. Egbuniwe, N., Ogwueleka, T. C. *Journal of Solid Waste Technology and Management* **29** (2003)
10. Standar Nasional Indonesia (SNI) 19-2454-2002, *Tata cara teknik operasional pengelolaan sampah perkotaan* (Badan Standarisasi Nasional (BSN). 2002)