Performance evaluation of the effectiveness of the use of core drivers in the construction of base plates
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Abstract. This article is an example of the calculation of a foundation monolithic slab using void formers during the construction of an apartment complex consisting of three buildings located on one stylobation. The issue of economy in the construction of a hollow core base slab compared to a solid one, by reducing the volume of concrete and reinforcement due to the location of liners in the neutral zone of concrete, is considered. At the same time, the bearing capacity and rigidity of the structure should remain at the same level.

1. Introduction

The first mentions concerning the use of void formers in the construction of floors, appeared at the beginning of the twentieth century, around 1905. [1]

At present, both in our country and abroad, builders have begun to show interest in the construction of hollow core slabs with hollow cores.

In some European countries, innovative formwork with void formers has already been successfully implemented and used for the construction of lightweight floor slabs. One of such systems for the development and application of formwork are Cobiax (Switzerland) - void-forming balls or ellipsoids; Nautilus (Spain) - prismatic empty elements. At the same time, such an overlap not only reduces the mass by 20-40% than a solid plate, but also has greater rigidity and carrying capacity. This is due to the fact that a large part of the concrete of the middle zone is withdrawn from the monolithic slab and is replaced by hollow liners. [2]

Due to the reduction in the mass of overlap, the consumption of concrete and reinforcement in columns and foundations is reduced. In comparison with the beam or captive solution of floor slabs, the construction height of the ceiling is reduced, which during the operation of the building reduces the cost of heating, ventilation and air conditioning.

The following requirements are imposed on of void formers:
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1. The own weight of the liner must be less than the weight of the concrete being expelled;
2. The cost of the liner must be less than or equal to the cost of the concrete being expelled;
3. The strength of the liner should ensure manufacturability of its application. That is, to have strength, allowing workers to freely move on them during the work;
4. Must have water resistance, frost resistance, heat resistance and UV resistance;
5. To meet sanitary standards.

A void formers can be made of the following materials:

1. Plastic
2. Foam plastic
3. Cardboard
4. Plywood
5. Foam concrete

The dimensions and geometry of the void formers are chosen based on the dimensions of the plate and its thickness according to the technological and structural requirements. The shape of the holders is varied: oval or round cylinders, spherical and ellipsoidal, as well as prismatic.

![Fig. 1. Fragment of a slab with a void formers Cobiax](image)

Builders and designers at the moment, unfortunately, undeservedly pay little attention to the possibility of using void formers in foundations.

This article has considered the possibility of using void formers in the construction of the base plate of the middle part of the stylobate of an apartment complex, located at: Moscow region, Odintsovoy district, D. Razdory, ter. Myakinino out of bounds, 1 turn, 1 stage.

The aim of the work was to compare the results of the calculation of a monolithic stylobation plate with a thickness of 1 m and the foundation with the use of void formers.

## 2. Description of the complex

The apartment complex consists of 3 high-rise buildings located on the common stylobate, which has two underground levels.

Complex dimensions: 74.3 x 171.2 m
The dimensions of the buildings: building 1 - 23.2 x 51.3 m, building 2 - 23.2 x 59.4 m, building 3 - 23.2 x 49.8 m.

Number of floors in each building: lower mark 0.000 - 3 floors, above ground - 34 floors and 1 technical floor.

The height of the buildings of the complex with the inclusion of the upper technical floors is 105.9 m.

3. Engineering-geological conditions of the pad

The geology of the field is represented by the following sediments: topsoil, modern modern floodplain alluvial deposits, Upper Quaternary deposits of the floodplain terraces of the Moscow River and Upper Jurassic rocks.

Modern floodplain alluvial deposits are mainly represented by small and medium sized sands, less often coarse and gravelly. The settlement thickness is 1.3–22.7 m.

The Upper Quaternary deposits of the floodplain terrace are represented mainly by sands from dusty to medium size of medium density and dense, moist and saturated with water. Settlement thickness from 1.4 to 24.9 m.

The deposits of the Jurassic system underlying the Quaternary stratum are represented mainly by loams and clays of a refractory and semi-solid consistency. The thickness of the layer ranges from 0.25 to 16.8 m.

The main mechanical characteristics according to triaxial tests lie within the following limits:

Modern alluvial floodplain deposits:
E = 29-36 MPa; φ = 35-39 °; C = 1-2 kPa; υ = 0.3; γ = 1.5 - 1.77 g / cm³

Upper Quaternary alluvial deposits:
E = 25-40 MPa; φ = 31-38 °; C = 1-3 kPa; υ = 0.29; γ = 1.88 g / cm³

Deposits of the Jurassic system:
E = 16-25 MPa; φ = 35-39 °; C = 1-2 kPa; υ = 0.33; γ = 2.71 g / cm³

4. Features of constructive solutions

Load carrying structure - monolithic reinforced concrete braced frame, consisting of load-bearing walls, pylons and flat floor slabs.
The foundation is monolithic slabs with a thickness of 1 to 1.5 m.
Interfloor overlappings - monolithic 220, 300 mm thick.

The stylobate cover plates are flat with capitals 350 mm thick. The size of capitals in the plan is 3.0 x 3.0 m.

The outer walls of the basement are monolithic reinforced concrete 300 mm thick.

Bearing frame material:
- concrete of strength class B35, waterproof W6, frost resistance F 50.
- fittings of class A500S (GOST R 52544 - 2006) and A240 (GOST 5781 - 82).
5. Geometric characteristics of hollow core slabs

When constructing a hollow base plate, cube liners were used, forming a system of ribs in 2 directions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Slab element</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Height of voids</td>
<td>600 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Slab thickness</td>
<td>1000 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Thickness of bottom flange</td>
<td>200 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Thickness of top flange</td>
<td>200 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Thickness of the wall between voids</td>
<td>200 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Calculation

The calculation of the base plate was carried out in the software package SCAD Office (version 21.1).

The slab itself, the pylons and walls of the stylobation of the lower floor were modeled, and the load from the entire building was already assigned to them.

The main task was to compare the precipitation, plot of moments and the percentage of reinforcement in the two options for the design of plates.
6.1 The calculation of the monolithic slab

Fig. 3. Calculation scheme

Fig. 4. Plots of displacement along the Z axis
Fig. 5. Plot of Moments Mx

Fig. 6. Plot of moments My
6.2 Calculation of hollow-core monolithic slab

Fig. 7. Calculation scheme

Fig. 8. Plot of Z Movements
7. Findings

The results of calculations are shown in table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Monolithic slab</th>
<th>Hollow-core monolithic slab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z-axe motion</td>
<td>Max – 185.87 mm</td>
<td>Max – 179.27 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min – 84.31 mm</td>
<td>Min – 106.61 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epure Mx</td>
<td>Max – 268.05 T*m/m</td>
<td>Max – 43.91 T*m/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min – 263.81 T*m/m</td>
<td>Min – 24.85 T*m/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epure My</td>
<td>Max – 351.76 T*m/m</td>
<td>Max – 43.26 T*m/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min – 379.03 T*m/m</td>
<td>Min – 20.46 T*m/m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concrete savings were 22.3%, reinforcement- 5%

The calculation showed that the main savings in the use of core drivers fell on concrete, while the volume of reinforcement decreased slightly.
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