Dynamics change of chemical compounds in the composition of the dust aerosol on period of dust storms in Tajikistan

Mukhammad I. Khodzhaikhon*, Bahron I. Nazarov, Sabur F. Abdullaev, and Rafika A. Karieva

S.U. Umarov Physical-Technical Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Tajikistan, Dushanbe, Tajikistan

Abstract. This contribution presents the composition of dust aerosol collected in dust storms in the territory of the weather station Ayvaj southern Tajikistan, during the years 2007-2015. High concentrations of the compounds SiO_2 (54.23%), CaO (11.25%) and Al_2O_3 (10.16%) were found, which is characteristic for dust. The statistical characteristics of measured compounds is determined and the correlation coefficients between certain compounds are calculated. A significant high correlation is observed between SiO_2 and Na_2O ($r=0.98$), between Fe_2O_3 and SO_3 ($r=0.89$), between Fe_2O_3 and Al_2O_3 as well as between K_2O and MgO ($r=0.89$).

1 Introduction

Mineral dust plays an important role in the optical, physical and chemical processes in the atmosphere, while dust deposition adds exogenous mineral and organic material to terrestrial surfaces, having a significant impact on the Earth's ecosystems and biogeochemical cycle [1-10].

To study the content of chemical compounds in the dust aerosol during dust storms, an x-ray fluorescence wave dispersion spectrometer S8 TIGER by Bruker was used. In the spectrometer, the samples are irradiated by an x-ray tube with energies up to 60 keV. Typically, samples are measured in vacuum, which can significantly reduce the detection limits, especially for light elements. In the case of liquid samples or unbound powders, measurements are carried out in a nitrogen or helium atmosphere. X-ray radiation is generated by the tube and hits the sample, which in turn emits x-rays of a certain wavelength, which is the characteristic radiation that uniquely determines the element of the periodic system. To generate this radiation, the energy of the primary (exciting) beam must be greater than the excitation energy of the characteristic radiation.

2 Sample preparation

The most commonly used sample preparation for x-ray fluorescence analysis is tablet compression. This is a fairly simple and fast method: select and measure the right amount of sample on the scales, grind and compress into a tablet. To accurately reproduce the results, it is important to choose a method only once and then use it constantly. To obtain more accurate results on the main trace

elements, it is necessary to mix the sample with flux and melt in an oven. After cooling, you will get a homogeneous glass disc. Thus, the process of sample preparation for x-ray fluorescence analysis is quite simple, fast, does not require special training and skills, and therefore can be fully automated.

Sample preparation (Tab. 1) for S8 TIGER for fused discs (Geo-Quant) includes the following steps:

1. Determination of the mass loss (LOI - loss on ignition)
  - 1.1. A sample (about 3 g) is placed in a muffle furnace at a temperature of $T = 1100^\circ\text{C}$, and for 1 hour.
  - 1.2. We calculate the LOI using the formula: $\text{LOI} = (m_1 - m_2) / m_1$, where m_1 is the mass of the material before heating and m_2 after heating.
2. In 0.63 g of the sample, a flux is added. In our case, the flux consists of 3.14 g of lithium tetraborate ($\text{Li}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7$) and 3.14 g of lithium metaborate (LiBO_2).
3. Add 0.16 ml of LiBr solution to the sample to increase wetting. A solution is preliminarily prepared: 25 ml of water + 5 g of LiBr.
4. After mixing, the sample is placed in a melting pot (katanax), where the sample first melts at 1150°C for 15 minutes and then cools. The GEO-QUANT program analyzes the resulting disc for 6-7 minutes.

3 Results

The average values of the content of chemical compounds in the dust aerosol for the period 2007-2015 is shown in Fig. 1

* Corresponding author: kh.mukhammad.i@gmail.com

The following chemical compounds define the composition of the dust aerosol: SiO₂ (54.23%), CaO (11.25%), Al₂O₃ (10.16%), Fe₂O₃ (3.67%), MgO (2.21%), K₂O (1.91%) and Na₂O (1.75%). The content of other compounds measured is less than 1% (Fig 1). The frequency of occurrence of the composition of the individual particles of dust aerosol elements is arranged in the following sequence: Si, Al, Ca, K, Fe..., which agrees well with the data of integral determination of the elemental composition of the aerosol [1-4].

Table 1: Preparation of sample components

Years	Mass, m[g]						
	m _{crucible}	M _{material}	M _{crucible} + M _{material}	M _{total}	LOI, %	LiBO ₂	Li ₂ B ₄ O ₇
2007	19.5	8.19	27.69	26.34	16.48	3.17	3.17
2008	19.6	10.5	30.1	28.84	12	3.17	3.17
2009	16.8	8.64	25.44	24.53	10.53	3.17	3.17
2010	19.14	7.31	26.45	25.6	11.63	3.17	3.17
2011	19.12	1.65	20.77	20.41	21.82	3.17	3.17
2013	17.63	10.65	28.28	26.98	12.21	3.17	3.17
2014	19.88	8.35	28.23	27.25	11.74	3.17	3.17
2015	18.67	11.4	30.07	28.54	13.42	3.17	3.17

Note: m_{LiB}=0.160 g, m_{sample}=0.630 g, Li₂B₄O₇ + Li₂B₄O₇=6.341 g.

Figure 1. Average values of the content of compounds (%) in aerosol dust samples.

Attention is drawn to the increased content of SiO₂, CaO, Al₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃. These are compounds, usually characteristic of the soil. Figure 2 shows the dynamics of changes in the content of these compounds over the period 2007-2015 (no data for 2012).

High values of Fe₂O₃, SO₃ and TiO₂ are observed in 2007 and 2011, low value SiO₂, CaO and Na₂O are observed in 2007 and 2011, and the remaining compounds vary within the average for the series (Fig. 2).

Figure 2. Dynamics of changes in the content of compounds in aerosol dust samples for the period 2007-2015.

Correlation coefficients which were calculated between the compounds indicated that the highest correlation is found between SiO₂ and Na₂O (r=0.98); between Fe₂O₃ and SO₃ (r=0.89); between Fe₂O₃ and Al₂O₃; between K₂O and MgO (r=0.87); between Al₂O₃ and MgO (r=0.77); between CaO and Na₂O (r=0.56). For the other compounds, significant correlation was not detected. Table 2 shows the content of the statistical characteristics of the compounds.

Table 2. The average, minimum and maximum percentage content C of compounds in aerosol samples dust, σ - standard deviation, S_n - standard error and V - data variation.

Compound, %	$\langle C \rangle$	C _{max}	C _{min}	σ	S _n	V
Na ₂ O	1.76	1.87	1.49	0.14	0.05	0.08
MgO	2.21	2.86	1.97	0.25	0.08	0.11
Al ₂ O ₃	10.16	11.21	9.79	0.41	0.14	0.04
SiO ₂	54.23	58.54	46.41	3.93	1.31	0.07
P ₂ O ₅	0.16	0.28	0.12	0.05	0.02	0.32
SO ₃	0.33	0.76	0.06	0.23	0.08	0.71
K ₂ O	1.91	2.08	1.79	0.07	0.02	0.04
CaO	11.25	11.77	8.87	0.88	0.29	0.08
TiO ₂	0.52	0.61	0.48	0.04	0.01	0.08
Mn ₂ O ₃	0.08	0.1	0.07	0.01	0	0.09
Fe ₂ O ₃	3.67	5.15	3.09	0.66	0.22	0.18
Others	13.73	21.83	10.52	3.29	1.1	0.24

Acknowledgment: The studies were carried out with the financial support of the International Science and Technology Center (ISTC) Projects T-1688 and T-2076. The studies were carried out also with the financial support of the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Tajikistan.

References

- [1] N.M. Mahowald, R.G. Bryant, J. del Corral, L. Steinberger, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **30**(2), 1074 (2003)
- [2] S. Engelstaedter, I. Tegen, R. Washington, *Earth Sci. Rev.* **79**(1), 73-100 (2006)
- [3] I. Koren, Y.J. Kaufman, R. Washington, M.C. Todd, Y. Rudich, J.V. Martins, and D. Rosenfeld 2006, *Environ. Res. Lett.* **1**(1), 014005 (2006)
- [4] M.C. Baddock, J.E. Bullard, R.G. Bryant, *Remote Sens. Environ.* **113**, 1511-1528 (2009)
- [5] L. Orlovsky, N. Orlovsky, A. Durdjev, J. Arid Environ. **60**(1), 83-97 (2005)
- [6] S.W. Breckle, W. Wucherer, A. Liliya L.A. Dimeyeva, N.P. Ogar (eds.), *Aralkum - A Man-Made Desert: The Desiccated Floor of the Aral Sea (Central Asia)*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg (2012)
- [7] M.C. Reheis, *J. Geophys. Res.* **102**(D22), 25999-26008 (1997)
- [8] M.C. Reheis, J.R. Budahn, P.J. Lamothe, R.L. Reynolds, *J. Geophys. Res.* **114**, F01028 (2009)
- [9] J.W. Whitney, *Geology, Water, and Wind in the Lower Helmand Basin, Southern Afghanistan*, U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia, https://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2006/5182/pdf/SIR06-5182_508.pdf, last access 2 April 2019 (2006)
- [10] A. Rashki, D. Kaskaoutis, C.J.deW. Rautenbach, P. Eriksson. *Atmospheric Aerosols --Regional Characteristics - Chemistry and Physics*, Chapter 6, Hayder Abdul-Razzak (ed.), IntechOpen (2012)