A Field Survey on Indoor Air Pollution in School Classrooms with Different Ventilation Methods

Ko Murakami^{1*}, Kenta Sakai¹, Daisuke Nakamura¹, Haruno Ishikawa², Sayana Tsushima¹, and Shin-ichi Tanabe¹

¹Waseda University, 169-8555, Tokyo, Japan

²Shizuoka Institute of Science and Technology, 437-0032, Shizuoka, Japan

Abstract. The purpose of this study was to investigate the actual indoor air quality within two elementary schools with different types of ventilation methods, and to obtain data pertaining to the emission of bioeffluents within the schools. Field surveys on indoor air quality were conducted at two public elementary schools in Tokyo, both equipped with air-conditioning systems. School-A was also equipped with a heat-exchange ventilation system, while School-B did not have a ventilation system. Results of the study revealed that the target ventilation volume for the heat-exchange ventilation system in School-A was not achieved, indoor air quality in School-B was better in relatively cool conditions than School-A because of the habit of opening windows attached, there was a positive correlation between nonanal and decanal and CO₂ concentration in summer, and the concentration of bioeffluents nonanal and decanal may be higher at high temperatures even under the same level of ventilation.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this study is to investigate the actual indoor air quality within two elementary schools with different types of ventilation systems, and to obtain data about the emission of bioeffluents within the schools.

Currently, the rate of air conditioning system installation in public elementary schools in Japan is rising [1]. This is due to the summer season in Japan being very hot, which can have a detrimental effect on children's health and productivity. Along with the increase in the rate of air-conditioning installations, in a school that do not have mechanical ventilation, sufficient amount of ventilation is not secure because of a decreasing in window open/close. Meanwhile, there are few school classrooms that do have mechanical ventilation systems; thus, there are few cases available with which to examine differences in the air quality of the classroom due to mechanical ventilation systems.

School environmental hygiene standards in Japan [2] recommend that the CO₂ concentration in the classroom be 1500 ppm or less. Since CO₂ itself is not a body odor component, it is insufficient as an index for uniformly controlling perceived air pollution due to body odor in a classroom where various uses exist. In most classrooms, children are densely populated, so the quantity of bioeffluents has a large influence on the perceived deterioration of air quality. In order to understand what creates a positive classroom air environment, it is necessary to obtain knowledge on the emission of bioeffluents in the classroom.

In this paper, we report on the results of a survey over a period of two years of the air quality at School-A, which

is equipped with a total heat-exchange ventilation system, and at School-B, which does not have a ventilation system. CO₂ concentration and VOC concentration, including bioeffluents, were used as indicators of air quality.

2 Method

2.1 Background on Target Schools

To gain an understanding of the indoor air quality in conjunction with the use of air-conditioning, a field survey was conducted on elementary schools located in Tokyo, where all elementary schools and junior high schools are equipped with air-conditioning systems. In the S-ward, the subject of this field survey, 25% of the elementary schools contain a heat-exchange ventilation system, while the remaining elementary schools do not have ventilation systems, and ventilation is achieved by opening windows. The basic information of both schools is given in Table 1.

Table 1. The basic information of both schools

	School-A	School-B		
Location	S-ward, Tokyo			
Completion, Structure	2009, RC	1974, RC		
Ventilation System	Heat-Exchange	None		
Classroom Volume	172.8 m ³	189.0 m ³		
Opening Area	Corridor side: 3.61 m ² Outside: 6.08 m ²	Corridor side: 5.06 m ² Outside: 5.40 m ²		
Air-conditioning	Multiple Packed Unit System			
Classroom : Number of Children	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2016	FY 2017
	3-2: 33	2-4: 32	2-1: 24	2-1: 19
	3-3: 34	2-5: 31	2-2: 24	2-2: 20
	5-2: 33	4-3: 32	5-1: 40	5-1: 24
	5-3: 34	4-4: 32	6-1: 40	6-1: 39

* Corresponding author: murakami@tanebe.arch.waseda.ac.jp

Air-conditioning systems are installed in all the classrooms in both schools. In addition, a remote-control panel is installed in each classroom, so the functions of start/stop, temperature control, and air volume settings are controlled according to each teacher's judgement.

In School-A, remote-control panels for the heat-exchange ventilation system are installed in each classroom. However, the teachers were instructed to have the system remain on 24 hours a day.

In School-B, since a ventilation system is not installed, it was assumed that the methods of ventilation within the building include opening of the corridor-side door and opening the windows that have access to outside air.

2.2 Measurement

To gain an understanding of the actual conditions throughout the year, the field survey was conducted for approximately two weeks in summer, autumn and winter in FY 2016, and in summer and winter in FY 2017. A summary of the field survey is given in Table 2. In addition, to further investigate the actual ventilation conditions in each classroom, as well as the actual operating conditions of the ventilation and air-conditioning systems, an intensive measurement day was included during each measurement period. During the intensive measurement day, the air-conditioning and ventilation settings in each classroom, the open/closed status of the windows, and the number of people were visually examined. Only during the measurements in FY 2017, VOC concentration was also measured once during class and then after school.

GC/MS analysis conditions are given in Table 3. Classroom air sampling was conducted once during class and once after school. During class, all the children were in the classroom, and after school there were no children in the classroom. For measurements during the summer, 1 L of classroom air was trapped in the Tenax TA at a flow rate of 50 mL/min. During the winter measurement, 3 L of classroom air was trapped in the Tenax TA at a flow rate of 100 mL/min. Then, VOC was detected by GC/MS. The detection limit was 2.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for the summer measurement, and 1.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for the winter measurement.

In this study, the classroom air samples were analyzed to determine the concentrations of acetone [3], nonanal [4], decanal [4], and 2-ethyl-1-hexanol [4] which are known as bioeffluents, and the concentration of TVOC. It is, important to note that these substances are not only emitted by the human body but also household goods and building materials.

Table 3. GC/MS analysis conditions

Thermal Desorption	Sampling tube	Desorption temperature	260°C
		Desorption flow	30 mL/min
		Desorption time	10 min
		Inlet split	20 mL/min
	Trapping	Trapping temperature	5°C
		Desorption temperature	280°C
		Desorption time	45 min
GC	Column	HP-VOC 0.32 ϕ ×60 m f.t. 1.8 μm	
	Temperature	35°C (2 min) – (2.5°C/min) – 105°C – (2.5°C/min) – 250°C (5 min)	

3 Results

3.1 Comparison between FY 2016 and FY 2017

Weather conditions during survey period in summer are given in Fig. 1. In summer, the number of times the maximum temperature exceeded 30 °C during the measurement period was 5 in FY 2016 and 2 in FY 2017. In the summer of FY 2017 the weather was relatively cool.

Fig. 1. Weather conditions during survey period in summer

The distribution of CO₂ concentration in each classroom is given in Fig. 2. The numbers in the figure indicate the ratio of classroom air samples that exceeded 1500 ppm of CO₂. There are a certain number of time periods where the CO₂ concentration exceeded the 1500 ppm level in both schools. In School-A, 46% of the classrooms exceeded the 1500 ppm level in the winter of FY 2017, and only 5% classrooms exceeded that level in the winter of FY 2016. Over the winter, number of classrooms measuring concentrations of 1500 ppm CO₂ or more increased significantly from FY 2016 to FY 2017. In School-A, since the operation of total heat exchange ventilation is running in all classrooms, the possibility that the ventilation air volume of total heat exchange ventilation is decreasing was shown. In School-B, 2% of the classrooms exceeded 1500 ppm CO₂ in the summer of FY 2017, and 23% classrooms exceeded 1500 ppm the summer of FY 2016. Over the summer, the number of

Table 2. A summary of the field survey

Measurement Year	Measurement Period		Measurement Item	Measurement Method
	FY 2016	FY 2017		
Long Term Measurement	Summer : September 5-16 Autumn : October 17-21 Winter : December 5-16	Summer : September 4-15 Autumn : None Winter : February 2- March 9	Indoor air temperature Outside air temperature Relative humidity CO ₂ concentration	Continuous measurement at 5 min intervals using measurement logger
Intensive Measurement	Summer : September 6/9 Autumn : October 17/18 Winter : December 12/13	Summer : September 5/11 Autumn : None Winter : March 5/8	Window opening/closing Number of children in class Use of air conditioning / ventilation facility VOC concentration (only in FY 2017)	Visual research once per class

classrooms measuring 1500 ppm CO₂ or more decreased significantly from FY 2016 to FY 2017. Since the measurement period in FY 2017 summer was relatively cool, it was suggested that windows were frequently opened in School-B.

Fig. 2. The distribution of CO₂ concentration in each classroom

3.2. Comparison between both schools in FY 2017

During the summer intensive measurement days in FY 2017, we measured the area of windows and doors opened during air-conditioning use for 24 classrooms at School-A and 10 classrooms at School-B. Relationship between windows and doors opening ratio and relative frequency during air-conditioning use is shown in Fig. 3. The horizontal axis shows the opened ratio to total opening area of windows and doors, and the vertical axis shows the relative frequency. In School-A, there were many time periods when the windows and doors were not opened, 59% when the opened ratio was 0 to 20%, and 28% when the opened ratio was 20 to 40%. For most, the opened window or door was the corridor side. On the other hand, in School-B, it was showed that 40% when the opened ratio was 40 to 60% and 20% when the opened ratio was 60 to 80%. In the case of a relatively cool climate in summer, schools without ventilation systems tended to ventilate classrooms by opening windows and doors more often, compared to schools with ventilation facilities.

Fig. 3. Relationship between windows and doors opening ratio and relative frequency during air-conditioning use

3.3 VOC concentration of both school

Table 4 lists the classroom conditions when air samples were collected, including number of students present, sampling time, and CO₂ concentration. VOC concentration of the samples collected during the summer is shown in Table 5. VOC concentration of the samples collected during the winter is listed in Table 6. In winter, concentration of acetone was remarkably high, as much as 1420 µg/m³ in classrooms 2-5 in School-A. In classrooms 2-5, a drawing and manual arts class was held on the measurement day, and it is assumed that the

contribution of plastic adhesives and other to the high concentration of acetone in that room is considerable.

Table 4. The classroom conditions when air samples were collected

	Class	Number of students	Time	Summer		Winter	
				Sampling time	CO ₂ Concentration [ppm]	Sampling time	CO ₂ Concentration [ppm]
School - A	2-4	32	During class	10:55~11:15	1363		
			After school	16:30~16:50	1034		
	2-5	31	During class	11:45~12:05	1000	14:40~13:10	2066
			After school	16:30~16:50	1561	16:00~16:30	872
School - A	4-3	32	During class	14:50~13:10	1182	9:40~10:10	1715
			After school	16:10~16:30	568	16:00~16:30	1474
	4-4	32	During class	11:45~12:05	2272	9:40~10:10	3172
			After school	16:10~16:30	478	16:00~16:30	2788
School - B	2-1	19	During class	10:50~11:10	669	11:30~12:00	766
			After school			16:00~16:30	438
	2-2	20	During class	10:50~14:00	572	11:30~12:00	726
			After school			16:00~16:30	432
School - B	5-1	24	During class	10:50~11:10	610	13:30~14:00	1676
			After school			16:00~16:30	503
	5-2	24	During class	13:40~14:00	845	11:30~12:00	1555
			After school	16:20~16:40	486	16:00~16:30	504
School - B	6-1	39	During class	10:50~11:10	686		
			After school				

Table 5. VOC concentration of the samples collected during the summer

during the summer

Name of Substance			2-ethyl-1-hexanol	Acetone	Nonanal	Decanal	TVOC
Provisional Guideline Value [7]			-	-	41	-	400*
School - A	2-4	During class	10.2	20.8	19.4	6.5	89.6
		After school	19.1	23.9	15.1	5.8	94.9
	2-5	During class	19.8	17.6	21.2	6.0	104
		After school	21.4	29.1	17.8	6.7	110
	4-3	During class	10.3	21.9	23.2	11.8	90.4
		After school	10.6	8.8	11.9	5.5	57.5
	4-4	During class	21.6	33.6	22.4	10.9	171
		After school	8.0	9.5	9.3	6.2	71.8
School - B	2-1	During class	6.4	7.9	6.7	3.4	105
		After school	3.3	10.0	14.4	6.9	107
	2-2	During class	4.3	10.6	8.2	3.8	164
		After school	14.9	15.9	13.2	7.5	88.6
	5-2	During class	2.5	8.3	6.4	4.7	45.0
		After school	<2.0	9.1	5.8	7.5	58.9
	6-1	During class	4.3	7.9	7.7	7.0	61.0
		After school	4.3	7.9	7.7	7.0	61.0

1)TVOC is the quantitative value of each of the 43 components detected in the range of hexane to hexadecane and the sum of toluene equivalent values of unknown components.
2)TVOC is a value obtained by collecting all the components detected in the range of hexane to hexadecane collectively by toluene conversion coefficient.
3)[*] is the provisional target value

Table 6. VOC concentration of the samples collected during the winter

during the winter							[µg/m³]
Name of Substance			2-ethyl-1-hexanol	Acetone	Nonanal	Decanal	TVOC
Provisional Guideline Value [7]			-	-	41	-	400*
School -A-	2-5	During class	7.5	1420	16.9	5.3	857
		After school	7.0	563	8.4	2.9	335
	4-3	During class	7.2	13.9	11.8	6.5	129
		After school	8.4	104	11.2	4.2	182
School -B-	4-4	During class	7.4	29.5	18.0	8.9	192
		After school	9.5	104	14.0	5.1	265
	2-1	During class	3.6	5.1	9.7	3.8	80.0
		After school	3.9	3.4	8.1	3.5	53.5
School -B-	2-2	During class	5.4	5.1	11.9	3.5	73.4
		After school	5.4	3.6	8.4	2.6	38.4
	5-1	During class	7.4	13.1	23.8	10.8	124
		After school	4.3	5.4	10.3	5.2	176
School -B-	5-2	During class	7.5	60	29.3	13.3	160
		After school	6.0	4.8	8.4	4.4	56.8

With respect to nonanal and decanal, in almost all classrooms, higher concentrations occurred during class than after school. On the other hand, the concentrations of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and acetone did not exhibit much change between samples collected during class compared to after school. 2-ethyl-1-hexanol is emitted from building materials [5], acetone is emitted in large quantities from adhesives [6] and so it was assumed that most of the emissions originated somewhere other than the human body. In classrooms 2-5 of School-A in winter, TVOC concentration was 857 µg/m³ and exceeded the provisional target value [7] of 400 µg/m³. On the other hand, in other classrooms it was below the provisional target value. It is possible that contaminants were generated in classrooms 2-5.

4 Discussion

4.1. Actual state of ventilation air volume of total heat exchange ventilation in School-A

The relationship of the opened area ratio with CO₂ concentration is given in Fig. 4. Regardless of whether the rate of opening area of window and door is high or low, CO₂ concentration was kept below 1500 ppm during most classroom hours in FY 2016. On the other hand, in FY 2017, when the rate was low, the tendency of CO₂ concentration exceeding 1500 ppm was remarkable. Considering the performance of the total heat-exchange ventilation in School-A, it is suggested that the total heat-exchange ventilation may not have been operated according to the design volume in FY 2017 due to stain of the air filter, etc.

Fig. 4. The relationship of the opened area ratio with CO₂ concentration

4.2. Relationship between CO₂ concentration and VOC concentration

Relationship between CO₂ concentration and VOC concentration is given in Fig. 5. The horizontal axis shows the average CO₂ concentration during classroom air sampling and the vertical axis shows the concentration of each VOC in air. The air temperature in each classroom at the time of sampling was within the range of 24 to 29 °C in summer and 20 to 24 °C in winter.

Fig. 5. Relationship between CO₂ and VOC concentration

There was a positive correlation between nonanal and decanal and CO₂ concentration in summer, and there was little correlation in winter. Since the sampling times during the summer and winter were not the same, they cannot be unconditionally compared. However, in summer, the skin exposed surface of the persons in the room is large, and it is conceivable that the influence of bioeffluents on the indoor air is greater due to this. Also, because the slope of the approximate line is larger in the summer than in the winter, distribution trends of CO₂ concentration and VOC concentration may be different depending on the season. It is suggested that even under the same level of ventilation, the concentration of bioeffluents may be higher at high temperatures.

5 Conclusion

In this study, we conducted field surveys on the actual indoor air quality at two schools: School-A, where a heat-exchange ventilation system was installed, and School-B, which did not have a ventilation system.

In School-A, over the winter, the CO₂ concentration increased significantly from FY 2016 to FY 2017. It is suggested that the total heat exchanger may not have been operated according to the design volume in FY 2017. In School-B, over the summer, the CO₂ concentration decreased significantly from FY 2016 to FY 2017. Since the measurement period in FY 2017 was relatively cool, it was suggested that windows were frequently opened in School-B.

There was a positive correlation between nonanal and decanal and CO₂ concentration in summer. It is suggested that even under the same level of ventilation, the concentration of bioeffluents may be higher at high temperatures.

This research was subsidized by The Taisei Foundation, and supported by the Board of Education and the elementary schools of S-ward, Tokyo. The authors appreciate the contributions from all participants of this study.

References

1. Ministry of Education, 2017, On the result of the installation condition of the air conditioning equipment of public school facilities.
2. Ministry of Education, 2014, School environmental hygiene standards.
3. Y. Sekine, S. Toyooka, S. F. Watts, J. Chromatogr. B, **859**, 201-207 (2007).
4. S. Haze, Y. Gozu, S. Nakamura, Y. Kohno, K. Sawano, H. Ohta, K. Yamazaki, J. Invest. Dermatol., **116** (4), 520-524 (2001).
5. M. Kamijima, E. Shibata, K. Sakai, H. Ohno, S. Ishihara, T. Yamada, Y. Takeuchi, T. Nakajima, Jpn. J. Public Health, **52** (12), 1021-1031 (2005).
6. A. Onuki, I. Saito, H. Seto, S. Uehara, H. Kamimura Ann. Rep. Tokyo Metr. Inst. P.H., **55** (2004).
7. Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, 2002, On the concept of TVOC formulation of air quality guidelines