Investigation on the Sandwich System Hull Materials for Solar Powered Electrical Sport Boat

Sunaryo^{*} and *Aldy Syahrhaddin Hanifa*

Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering Faculty of Engineering Universitas Indonesia,
Department of Mechanical Engineering, 16424 Depok, Indonesia

Abstract. Experiments and theoretical analyses of sandwich materials which are used in the design of a solar-powered boat are required to fully complete the analysis of the hull strength. Rule analysis of global hull girder loads or panel under global loads (laminare buckling, maximum stress in each layer, and combined stress) will be used to determine the hull strength of the boat. In this research, the mechanical properties of facing laminate and sandwich material of VARTM-Vacuum Infusion are investigated. Moreover, the analysis from the result of the experiment and the theoretical calculation will be used as a reference to perform the hull strength calculations. From the experiment, an average tensile modulus of 15.21 GPa is obtained with a standard deviation of ± 1 GPa and the theoretical analyses calculation for the tensile modulus value is 54.990 5 GPa. Furthermore, an average flexural modulus value is 21 261.8 N mm⁻² with a standard deviation of ± 2 301.17 N mm⁻² and a theoretical analyses calculation for the flexural modulus value is 26 833.5 N mm⁻². In the end, the calculation from the experimental and theoretical analysis may be applicable to calculate the hull strength of the vessel. In conclusion, the calculation of the hull strength of the solar-powered boat using the Bureau Veritas (BV) classification rules has met the required standards.

Key words: Hull strength, sandwich laminate, solar energy on boat, Vacuum Assisted Resin Transfer Molding (VARTM).

1 Introduction

The work is a further development of the solar-powered boat created by the University of Indonesia team who participated in the International Solar-Boat Challenge in the Netherlands. The use of materials in constructing the boat is important in order to meet optimum weight and strength requirement. The aims of the study are: (i) to investigate the mechanical properties of proposed materials based on how they are manufactured, (ii) to ensure that the materials used in the solar-powered boat are accordant with the hull strength calculation, (iii) and to provide calculations that might be used for other purposes such as constructing recreational and sport boat made from the same method.

In previous research, the calculation of single-laminate composite materials which used a multiaxial type E-glass with vinyl ester resin and VARTM method is applied in the design of small-fast boat [1]. It shows that the application of VARTM-Vacuum Infusion

^{*} Corresponding author: naryo@eng.ui.ac.id

method can optimize the volume fraction of fiber and matrix content in the composite material. Another research shows that an analytical calculation of sandwich material using VARTM-vacuum infusion had been used to find the mechanical properties and economical value from the boat design by using the same method but different sandwich material composition. Another research shows that a sandwich material calculation with VARTM-Vacuum Infusion method was conducted to know more about the mechanical properties and economic calculation of sandwich material that applied in a boat design. The research shows that the core material (diviniycell) makes the sandwich material more rigid and thicker as well as enabling the reduction of the weight of the boat compared with the single skin laminate [2]. Furthermore, to investigate the calculation of sandwich material that might be used for other purposes such as constructing recreational and sport boat with thinner core material and higher mechanical properties material for skin laminate will be conducted in this research paper.

The hull strength calculation will be deducted on the Bureau Veritas Classification (BV) with the rule titled: Rules Hull in Composite Materials and Plywood, Material Approval, Design Principles, Construction and Survey (NR 546 DT R00 E). The calculation will use the analysis of a panel under global loads (laminata buckling, maximum stress in each layer, and combined stress) to determine the hull strength of the boat [3, 4]. Furthermore, in this study, the mechanical properties (tensile and flexural) of facing laminate and sandwich material of VARTM (Vacuum Assisted Resin Transfer Molding)-Vacuum Infusion will be investigated with theoretical (micromechanical) [5] and experiment (ASTM C393 and ASTM D3039) analysis. These analyses will be used as a reference to perform the hull strength calculations. Moreover, in this work, panels that consist of two different materials (High Strength Carbon Fiber 240 g m⁻² and Lantor Soric XF 5 mm) infused with Ripoxy R-802 EX-1 (vinyl ester resin) are studied.

2 Methodology

2.1 Hull

The boat specifications are used to compile with the analysis of panel under global loads. The specifications of the boat are shown in Table 1 and Table 2.

Table 1. Boat specifications

Length overall	5.8 m
Breadth	1.8 m
Draft	0.124 m
Height	0.35 m
Block coefficient (Cb)	0.532
Prismatic coefficient (Cp)	0.568
Midship coefficient (Cm)	0.939
Frame spacing (Longitudinal)	0.5 m
Frame spacing (Transversal)	0.26 m

Table 2. Hull Item List

Item	Quantity	kg	Item	Quantity	kg
Hull weight	1	86	Motor controller	1	5
Solar panel 1	1	20	Panel box	1	10
Solar panel 2	1	20	Box fuse	1	5
Solar panel 3	1	20	Battery box	1	15
Solar panel 4	1	20	Cabin	1	75
			Electric motor	1	40
Total weight (kg)	166		Total weight (kg)	150	
Total overall weight (kg)			316		

2.2 Materials

In this work, the boat is made from the sandwich material that consists of Carbon Fiber HDC-524-3K with 240 g m^{-2} as facing laminate (layer 1, 2, 4, and 5) and uses Lantor Soric XF with a thickness of 5 mm (layer 3) as the core material. The sandwich material can be seen in Figure 1. The matrix that is used in this work is Ripoxy R-802 EX-1 (vinyl ester) with MEPOXE M (Methyl Ethyl Ketone Peroxide type M) catalyst and P-EX promoter [6]. The process to make the specimens uses the VARTM (Vacuum Assisted Resin Transfer Molding)-Vacuum infusion method.

Fig. 1. Sandwich material.

2.3 Hull strength analyses

2.3.1 Method

The method that is used to find the value of panel under global loads is in accordance to BV rule. The mechanical properties (experiment and theoretical analyses calculation) of the materials should be calculated before compiling it with the analysis of panel under global loads. The experimental calculation will be conducted according to the standard under ASTM D3039 [7] for tensile modulus of facing laminate and ASTM C393 [8] for flexural modulus of the sandwich material. Micromechanical analysis will be used to calculate for the composite material.

2.3.2 Global hull girder loads

Analyses of panel under global loads will be used to determine the hull strength of the boat. The panels are a part of superstructures, hull shell or bulkhead. Moreover, all continuing members of the boat (longitudinal) will be calculated for the calculation of the hull strength. It can conclude that the boat would behave like a beam under this global deformation [9] because the ship has a slender body form. The calculation will be conducted with still water and wave loads condition. Moreover, there are three criteria for the hull strength analyses:

- Laminate buckling
- Maximum stress in each layer
- Combined stress in each layer

Laminate buckling must fulfill this condition:

$$\sigma_a \leq \sigma_c / SF_B \quad (1)$$

$$\tau_a \leq \tau_c / SF_B \quad (2)$$

σ_a, τ_a = compression stress and shear stress applied to the panel

σ_c, τ_c = critical buckling stresses of the panel

SF_B = minimum buckling rule safety coefficient

Maximum stress in each layer must fulfill this condition:

$$\sigma_i \leq \sigma_{br}/SF \quad (3)$$

$$\tau_i \leq \tau_{br}/SF \quad (4)$$

σ_i, τ_i = local stress in individual layers induced by in-plane global loads
 σ_{br}, τ_{br} = theoretical breaking stress of layers
 SF = minimum buckling rule safety coefficient

Combined stress in each layer must fulfilled this condition:

$$SF_{CS} \geq C_V \cdot C_F \cdot C_{CS} \cdot C_I \quad (5)$$

C_V = rule partial safety coefficient taking into account the ageing effect on the laminates
 C_F = rule partial safety coefficient taking into account the fabrication process
 C_{CS} = rule partial safety coefficient for combined stresses in the individual layers of the laminates
 C_I = rule partial safety coefficient taking into account the type of the loads

$$SF_{CS} = \{-b \pm [b^2 + 4a]^{0.5}\}/2a \quad (6)$$

$$a = \{\sigma_1^2 / [|\sigma_{brc1} \cdot \sigma_{brt1}|]\} + \{\sigma_2^2 / [|\sigma_{brc2} \cdot \sigma_{brt2}|]\} - \{\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 / [|\sigma_{brc1} \cdot \sigma_{brt1}|]\} + \{\tau_{12}^2 / \tau_{br12}^2\} \quad (7)$$

$$b = \{[\sigma_1 (|\sigma_{brc1}| - |\sigma_{brt1}|)] / [|\sigma_{brc1} \cdot \sigma_{brt1}|]\} - \{[\sigma_2 (|\sigma_{brc2}| - |\sigma_{brt2}|)] / [|\sigma_{brc2} \cdot \sigma_{brt2}|]\} \quad (8)$$

σ_i, τ_{12} = actual stresses, in the considered ply axis induce by the loading case
 $\sigma_{br1}, \tau_{br12}$ = ply theoretical breaking stresses in the local ply axis

2.3.3 Theoretical breaking stress layer 1, 2, 4, and 5

The breaking stress analytical calculation for layers 1, 2, 4, and 5 with Carbon Fiber HDC-524-3K 240 g m⁻² material uses the theoretical calculation obtained from the BV classification rules. The Equations for theoretical breaking stress are shown below:

$$\sigma_{brt1} = \varepsilon_{brt1} \cdot E_1 \cdot Coef_{res} \quad (9)$$

$$\sigma_{brc1} = \varepsilon_{brc1} \cdot E_1 \cdot Coef_{res} \quad (10)$$

$$\sigma_{brt2} = \varepsilon_{brt2} \cdot E_2 \cdot Coef_{res} \quad (11)$$

$$\sigma_{brc2} = \varepsilon_{brc2} \cdot E_2 \cdot Coef_{res} \quad (12)$$

$$\tau_{brt12} = \gamma_{br12} \cdot G_{12} \cdot Coef_{res} \quad (13)$$

$$\tau_{brIL1} = \gamma_{brIL23} \cdot G_{23} \cdot Coef_{res} \quad (14)$$

$$\tau_{brIL2} = \gamma_{brIL13} \cdot G_{13} \cdot Coef_{res} \quad (15)$$

$\sigma_{brt1,2}$ = tensile break stress 1 & 2 direction
 $\sigma_{brc1,2}$ = compression break stress 1 & 2 direction
 τ_{br12} = shear break stress 12 direction
 $\tau_{brIL1,2}$ = inter laminar shear break stress 1 & 2 direction
 E_i = young modulus
 G_i = shear modulus
 Table 3 shows break strain values for high strength carbon fiber type.

Table 3. Break strain

Carbon	High strength	Carbon	High strength
ϵ_{brt1}	1 %	ϵ_{brc2}	0.85 %
ϵ_{brt2}	1 %	γ_{br12}	1.55 %
ϵ_{brc1}	0.85 %	$\gamma_{br13}, \gamma_{br1L2}$	1.55 %

2.3.4 Theoretical breaking stress layer 3

The theoretical breaking stress for layer 3 is calculated with micromechanical analysis as shown in Equation (16).

$$E_l = E_f V_f + E_m V_m$$

(16)

E_l = Young modulus for laminate
 $V_{f,m}$ = Volume faction fiber (lantor soric); matrix
 $E_{f,m}$ = Young modulus from fiber (lantor soric); matrix

The Young modulus value from lantor soric XF can be neglected, and the mechanical properties of the core material infused with resin are only affected by the volume fraction of the resin type (matrix) that is used in the VARTM-vacuum infusion process [10].

2.3 Experiment analysis for tensile modulus and flexural modulus of the materials

The test to find the value of tensile modulus for facing laminate uses the ASTM D3039 standard. The size of the specimens has a total length of 250 mm, width of 25 mm, and gauge length of 150 mm as seen in Figure 2.

Three-point bending test specimens will be prepared in accordance with ASTM C393 to get the flexural modulus value from the sandwich material. The specimens will have a total length of 200 mm, width of 75 mm, and support span of 150 mm, and the load span will be in the middle of the specimens as seen in Figure 2.

Fig. 2. ASTM C393 and ASTM D3039

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Experiment and analyses/theoretical calculation of sandwich material

3.1.1 Tensile experiment and analyses/theoretical calculation

This experiment uses five specimens of facing laminate that consists of Carbon Fiber HDC-524-3K with 240 gr m^{-2} . The stress-strain graph is obtained during the experiment as seen in Figure 3. The test was conducted at STP BPPT Serpong, with a temperature of 21.7°C and constant speed of 2 mm min^{-1} . As can be seen from the graph, a linear line is formed between stress vs strain in all the five specimens. From the experiment, an average tensile modulus of 15.21 GPa with a standard deviation $\pm 1 \text{ GPa}$ is obtained. Furthermore, with the analysis/theoretical calculation, the value of an average tensile modulus is 54.990 GPa .

Fig. 3. Stress VS Strain ASTM D3039 for Facing Laminate

3.1.2 Flexural experiment and analyses/theoretical calculation

This experiment uses five specimens of sandwich material. The graph between stress vs. strain is obtained during the experiment (Figure 4.). The test was conducted at STP BPPT Serpong, with a temperature of 23.1°C and a constant speed of 6 mm min^{-1} . It can be seen from the graph that a linear line is formed between stress vs. strain in all the five specimens before the failure occur. From the experiment, an average flexural modulus of $21\,261.8 \text{ N mm}^{-2}$ (21.261 GPa) with a standard deviation of $\pm 2\,301.17 \text{ N mm}^{-2}$ ($\pm 2.301 \text{ GPa}$) is obtained. Moreover, an analytical/theoretical calculation for the flexural modulus value is $26\,833.5 \text{ N mm}^{-2}$ (26.833 GPa).

Fig. 4. Stress VS Strain ASTM C393 for Sandwich Material

3.2 Hull Strength

Hull strength calculation is conducted by the panel under global loads that is based on the regulation issued by the BV, titled: “Rules Hull in Composite Materials and Plywood, Material Approval, Design Principles, Construction and Survey (NR 546 DT R00 E)”. The values of the hull strength of the boat are as follows:

- Laminate buckling (Table 4.) where the Equation (1) and Equation (2) are used to know the values.

Table 4. Laminate buckling

Condition	Hogging	Sagging	Pass/not pass
$\sigma_a \leq \sigma_c / SF_B (N\ mm^{-2})$	$0.86 \leq 460.975$	$0.25 \leq 460.975$	pass
$\tau_a \leq \tau_c / SF_B (N\ mm^{-2})$	$0.062 \leq 129.139$	$0.0135 \leq 129.139$	pass

In this criteria, global hull girder loads assumption is used where this value is assumed that all parts of the vessel's body are considered as a beam. The force and moment caused by the local load on the ship react to all parts of the ship [14, 15]. The hull strength from Table 4 is divided into two wave load conditions: hogging and sagging. The hull sandwich material must satisfy the condition according to the BV rules in any condition. These conditions are the critical buckling of the hull sandwich material which must be higher than the tensile or compression stress that occurs due to the interaction between boat load and wave load (hogging or sagging).

- Maximum stress in each layer (Table 5, Table 6, and Table 7) and maximum stress between the layers (Table 8) where the Equations (3), (4), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14), and (15) are used to find the values.

Table 5. Maximum stress in each layer (bottom area)

Bottom			
Layer 1		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$	
σ 1 (MPa)	0.183	122.02	pass
σ 2 (MPa)	0.612	122.02	pass
Layer 2		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$	
σ 1 (MPa)	0.183	122.02	pass
σ 2 (MPa)	0.612	122.02	pass
Layer 3		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$	
σ 1 (MPa)	0.00697	15	pass
σ 2 (MPa)	0.0175	15	pass
Layer 4		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$	
σ 1 (MPa)	0.183	122.02	pass
σ 2 (MPa)	0.612	122.02	pass
Layer 5		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$	
σ 1 (MPa)	0.183	122.02	pass
σ 2 (MPa)	0.612	122.02	pass

In Table 5, for each layer on bottom side of the boat, the local stress in individual layers induced by in-plane global loads according to the BV was calculated. The value of the local stress for each layer must be smaller than the maximum theoretical breaking stress for each layer in sandwich material composition. In Table 6 and Table 7, the same logic applies as in Table 5, but the local stress that is induced by in-plane global loads is on a different location (side and deck of the boat).

Table 6. Maximum stress in each layer (side area)

Side				Side			
Layer 1		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$		Layer 4		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$	
σ 1 (MPa)	5.624 65E-06	122.02	pass	σ 1 (MPa)	-5.055 97E-06	-103.7172	pass
σ 2 (MPa)	5.430 4E-06	122.02	pass	σ 2 (MPa)	-4.881 36E-06	-103.7172	pass
Layer 2		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$		Layer 5		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$	
σ 1 (MPa)	5.055 97E-06	122.02	pass	σ 1 (MPa)	-5.624 65E-06	-103.7172	pass
σ 2 (MPa)	4.881 36E-06	122.02	pass	σ 2 (MPa)	-5.430 4E-06	-103.7172	pass
Layer 3		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$					
σ 1 (MPa)	1.459 71E-23	15	pass				
σ 2 (MPa)	1.418 48E-23	15	pass				

Table 6. Maximum stress in each layer (deck area)

Deck			
Layer 1		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$	
σ_1 (MPa)	0.103 5	122.02	pass
σ_2 (MPa)	0.332	122.02	pass
Layer 2		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$	
σ_1 (MPa)	0.103	122.02	pass
σ_2 (MPa)	0.331 2	122.02	pass
Layer 3		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$	
σ_1 (MPa)	0.003 715	15	pass
σ_2 (MPa)	0.009 33	15	pass
Layer 4		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$	
σ_1 (MPa)	0.093	122.02	pass
σ_2 (MPa)	0.321	122.02	pass
Layer 5		$\sigma \leq \sigma_{brk}/S_f$	
σ_1 (MPa)	0.0922	122.02	pass
σ_2 (MPa)	0.321	122.02	pass

Table 7. Maximum stress between layers

Between layer 1 and layer 2				Between layer 2 and layer 3			
Maximum value from bottom, side, and deck (MPa)		τ_{brk}/S_f (MPa)	$\tau_i \leq \tau_{brk}/S_f$	Maximum value from bottom, side, and deck (MPa)		τ_{brk}/S_f (MPa)	$\tau_i \leq \tau_{brk}/S_f$
τ_{yz}	0.009	12.58	Pass	τ_{yz}	0.01707	1.273	pass
τ_{xz}	0.064	12.58	Pass	τ_{xz}	0.12 41	1.273	pass
Between layer 3 and layer 4				Between layer 4 and layer 5			
Maximum value from bottom, side, and deck (MPa)		τ_{brk}/S_f (MPa)	$\tau_i \leq \tau_{brk}/S_f$	Maximum value from bottom, side, and deck (MPa)		τ_{brk}/S_f (MPa)	$\tau_i \leq \tau_{brk}/S_f$
τ_{yz}	-0.014	-1.273	pass	τ_{yz}	-0.0222	-12.58	pass
τ_{xz}	-0.0999	-1.273	pass	τ_{xz}	-0.1577	-12.58	pass

Table 7 shows the maximum value of interlaminar shear stress between the layers of sandwich material in different locations. The minus sign only shows the direction of the material and not the value. Interlaminar shear stress, induced by the global loads for each layer, must be smaller than the interlaminar shear break stress according to each layer of material.

- Combined stress in each layer (Table 8 and Table 9) where the Equations (5), (6), (7) and (8) are used to find the values.

Table 8. Combined stress for layer 1, 2, 4, and 5

Position	Maximum (SFcs1 or SFcs2)	$C_V \cdot C_F \cdot C_{CS} \cdot C_I$	$SF_{CS} \geq C_V \cdot C_F \cdot C_{CS} \cdot C_I$
Bottom	844.55	2.808	pass
Side	885 991 60.55	2.808	pass
Deck	156 3.366	2.808	pass

Table 9. Combined stress for layer 3

Position	Maximum (SFcs1 or SFcs2)	$C_V \cdot C_F \cdot C_{CS} \cdot C_I$	$SF_{CS} \geq C_V \cdot C_F \cdot C_{CS} \cdot C_I$
Bottom	2162.445	2.808	pass
Side	2.292 4E+24	2.808	pass
Deck	405 4.585	2.808	pass

The combined stress for each layer is calculated according to the BV regulation rules. The coefficient value is different when using different method and material for the boat strength calculation. The maximum value of SFcs1 and SFcs2 is according to Equation (6), (7), and (8). The actual stresses in the considered ply axis induced by the loading case and theoretical breaking stresses in the local ply axis is included as can be seen in Equation (7) and (8).

4 Conclusions

The boat that consists of sandwich material in this work with an approach of hull strength calculation from the BV could optimize the use of materials in accordance with the boatload design. Moreover, the mechanical properties of the materials have different values between the experiments and the analytical/theoretical calculation. This might happened because of three main reasons. First, resin vinyl ester Ripoxy R-802 EX-1 that is used in this work is more suitable with glass rather than carbon. Second, there might be unsuitable room temperature when the curing process started. Last, the catalyst from MEPOXE M (Methyl Ethyl Ketone Peroxide type M) is less compatible with resin vinyl ester Ripoxy R-802 EX-1. Therefore it is recommended to use CHP (Chumene Hydroperoxide) as a catalyst for the vacuum infusion process.

Furthermore, there is a similarity between the flexural modulus analytical/theoretical calculation and flexural modulus experiment result. Hence, the theoretical analysis calculation can be used to calculate the hull strength in accordance with the BV rules. Moreover, in the final result, the hull strength analysis of laminate buckling, maximum stress in each layer, and combined stress passed is in accordance to the boatload design. In the end, this work might be used to calculate another vessel such as recreational and sports boat that are constructed using the same method or materials.

References

1. G.P. Liston, Sunaryo, G. Prayogo. *Analisa sifat mekanik komposit vinyl ester berpenguat serat e-glass tipe multiaxial dengan metode VARTM untuk aplikasi pada lambung kapal cepat*. [Mechanical analysis of multiaxial type e-glass fiber composites with vinyl ester matrix with VARTM method for applications on fast boat hull]. Proceeding Seminar Nasional Tahunan Teknik Mesin XIV (SNTTM XIV), (Banjarmasin, Indonesia, 2015), Material 08. [in Bahasa Indonesia]. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/309373826>

2. R.L. Muchtiwibowo, P. Manik, S. Jokosisworo. *Jurnal Teknik Perkapalan*, **4**,1: 314–322(2016). [in Bahasa Indonesia].
<https://ejournal3.undip.ac.id/index.php/naval/article/view/13801>
3. Marine Division Bureau Veritas. *Hull in composite materials and plywood, material approval, design principles*. [Online] from
https://www.veristar.com/portal/veristarinfo/files/sites/veristarinfo/web%20contents/bv-content/generalinfo/giRulesRegulations/bvRules/rulenotes/documents/546-NR_2017-02.pdf (2012) [Accessed on 17 April 2016].
4. Marine Division Bureau Veritas. *Rules for the classification and the certification of yachts*. [Online] from <https://marine-offshore.bureauveritas.com/bv-rules> (2012). [Accessed on 17 April 2016].
5. A.K Kaw. *Mechanics of composite materials*. US: CRC Press (2006). p. 203–2096
<https://www.crcpress.com/Mechanics-of-Composite-Materials/Kaw/p/book/9780849313431>
6. Justus Sakti Raya. *Technical information of corrosion guide and others*. Jakarta: PT. Justus Sakti Raya (2009). [Review Document].
7. ASTM. *ASTM D3039 standard test method for tensile properties of polymer matrix composite materials*. West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM (2017). p. 1–13
<https://www.astm.org/Standards/D3039>
8. ASTM. *ASTM C393 standard test method for core shear properties of sandwich constructions by beam flexure*. West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM (2016). p. 1–8.
<https://www.astm.org/Standards/C393.htm>
9. M. Mano. Structural design loads. In: *Design of ship hull structures*. Y. Okumoto, Y. Takeda, M. Mano, T. Okada (Eds). Verlag Berlin Heidelberg: Springer (2009). p. 17.
<https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783540884446>
10. B.V Lantor. *Aplication manual*. Veenendaal: Lantor B.V (2012). p. 26–30.
<https://wendashop.mycashflow.fi/files/Tuotetietokortit/Lantor%20Application%20Manual.pdf>