Structure and Topography Modifications of Treated AISI 316LN Stainless Steel Surfaces after Friction in Dry Sliding Contact by Case Hardening Process

M.Mamatha Gandhi^{1*}, Animesh Bain¹, P Rohith¹, R.Srilatha¹, Ram Subbiah¹

¹Mechanical Engineering Department, Gokaraju Rangaraju Institute of Engineering & Technology, Hyderabad, India

Abstract: Austenitic stainless is having corrosion resistance property, but certain mechanical applications require improved resistance to wear, inferior cavitation, susceptibility to sensitization. These steels have numerous favourable circumstances for great cryogenic - properties, anti-corrosion, and bio-compatibility. So these steels have a broad application in low temperature innovation, saltwater applications, nourishment industry, bio-medicine, petro-chemical handling, and so forth when alloyed with nitrogen, austenitic treated steels have a progressively steady austenite structure, better mechanical properties and better wear opposition, which has animated extraordinary enthusiasm for this exploration work. Many surface hardening techniques are available, the best surface modification technique is chosen for improved service performance. Surface engineering is a technique to alter the surface of a material by mechanical or microchemical method without affecting the material properties. The alterations are done on the surfaces subjected to the liquid nitriding process to produce a hardened surface. Chosen for this research work based on their wide application, the wear behaviour of AISI 316LN stainless steels was investigated. Of the various surface hardening techniques available, nitriding is chosen, so that these nitrogen gets penetrated into the material, in which hard iron chromium nitrides are formed at the surface level. AISI 316LN specimens were subjected to salt bath nitriding process. The specimens were nitrided to 60 minutes, 120 minutes and 180 minutes respectively. The specimens were undergone with wear tests by standardized tribological wear machine and finally the metallographic studies were made.

1. Introduction

In all the steel applications, a high surface hardness joined with protection from wear is required. Nitriding is one of the notable components for surface solidifying, in which Fe and other alloying components joined with nitrogen to form nitrides. Be that as it may, for austenitic stainless steel types, the increment of carbon content, in certain temperature conditions, decides the precipitation of chromium carbides to the furthest reaches of the austenite grains and certainly, to diminish the protection from entomb crystalline erosion. So as to expel this, nitridization is done to expand the surface hardness without influencing the property of a material. In the event of austenitic stainless steels, the development of chromium nitrides induces the decrease in the chromium in the combination which is the principle liable for protection against corrosion.

Salt shower nitriding process is an elective procedure of gas nitriding process, which would deliver

increasingly uniform and better metallurgical framed case depth. A salt solution would satisfy the consistency prerequisite through surface contact of the salt solution to the steel. The profundity and the nature of the case would be controlled by the composition of the salt arrangement. A warmth source would be vital to drive the nitrogen into the steel surface. Salt shower nitriding employs the softening of salt containing wealthy nitrogen source. At the point when warm is connected from either internal or exterior source, the salt dissolves and liberates nitrogen into the steel for scattering. At this point when the steel work piece is brought into the salt shower and warmed up to a temperature within the fluid salt, so that controlled measures of nitrogen are released to diffuse into the surface. The salt shower nitriding strategy is favoured for its low capital speculation than gas nitriding. Case-delivering salt solution may change as for manufactures; however they are fundamentally made with sodium and potassium cyanides. The common salt mixture consists 55 to 65% sodium salts, 35 to 45% potassium salt is liquefied at 823 to 890 K. During the liquefying time, a cover is placed on the top to avoid splashing or blast of the salt, except if the hardware is

*Corresponding Author: mamatha.mannaragudi@gmail.com

totally hooded and vented. Amid the liquefying time, a cover is put on the best to dodge sprinkling or impact of the salt, but in the event that the equipment is completely hooded and vented. Stainless steels resistance to erosion and recoloring, low maintenance, relative in costs and recognizable gloss make it an perfect base material for a host of commercial applications [12,13]. The utilization of stainless steels is compared with that of carbon steels but shows a unfaltering development, in differentiate to the constructional steels and their properties in numerous cases moderately new to the designer [14, 15].

2. Experimental Details

2.1 Specimen Preparation

Chosen for the research work, the material is AISI 316 LN Austenitic stainless steel fabric and the composition is appeared in Table 1, having the measurements of breadth 12 mm, length of 40 mm and the plate having the measurements of 150 mm breadth, 10 mm thickness as appeared within the Fig 1. The composition was found to be carbon 0.03%, Manganese 1.57%, silicon 0.7%, Phosphorus 0.45%, chromium 18% max, sulphur 0.03%, Nickel 14% max, Molybdenum 3%, remaining Fe. The cut tests are checked to be liberated from any edge burrs or surface dirtying impacts along the total surface. Any surface awfulness or surface burrs encompassed amid the cutting are cleared by scouring them against different evaluations of emery paper [17]. The tests surface ought to essentially be from surface sullying impacts like oil, soil, etc and can be evacuated by cleaning the cases in CH₃)₂CO course of action some time recently driving the wear tests [21-23].

2.2 Treatments Done

Fig 1. AISI 316LN Specimen Dimensions

The ordinary commercial shower for nitriding is composed of 60-70% with a blend of NaCN, NaCO₃ and NaCNO appeared within the Fig 2. The bath used in fluid weight nitriding works with a cyanide substance of 30 to 35% and acyanate substance of 15 to

20%. The fluid shower at first matured at a temperature of 480°C as shown in Fig 3 to extend the nitrogen substance and parts are immersed within the fluid for 60 minutes, 120 minutes and 180 minutes individually. Salt shower nitriding prepare is an elective prepare of other nitriding process because it is commercially accessible, which would deliver more uniform and way better metallurgical shaped case. A fluid would fulfil the consistency prerequisite through surface contact of the liquid to the steel. The profundity and the quality of the case would be decided by the composition of the fluid. Salt shower nitriding utilizes the softening of salt containing wealthy nitrogen source [18].

Fig 2. Salt Bath Nitriding Furnace Used

Fig 3. Layout of Salt Bath Nitriding Process

When warm is connected from either inside or external source, the salt dissolves and frees nitrogen into the steel for diffusion. When the steel work piece is presented into the salt shower and warmed up to a temperature within the liquid salt, controlled sums of nitrogen are discharged to diffuse into the surface. Liquid salts in customary showers ought to be matured by being held at 838 to 868 K for at slightest 12 hrs, and no work should be put within the shower amid the aging treatment [19]. The proportion of cyanide substance to cyanate substance shifts with the salt bath process and the composition of the shower. Salt shower nitrided components exhibit fabulous sliding and running in properties as well as more prominent wear resistance. This nitriding progresses wear resistance, lubricity, and weakness quality and corrosion resistance as a result of the nearness of press nitride compounds shaped at the surface in expansion to a zone of diffused nitrogen in strong arrangement with the base fabric [20].

Fig 4. Pin on Disc Machine Used

Fig 5. Layout of Wear Test

2.3 Smaller scale Hardness Estimations

Vickers hardness estimations were made to assess the effect of the nitrogen on the shallow hardness of The nitrided tests. The hardness at the surface level which were assessed for all the tests. For the untreated base metal the hardness was found to be as 327 Hv. The extension in hardness was seen to be twice more noteworthy than the untreated tests. The hardness tests were performed by applying a stack of 100 grams for 15 seconds [12-15]. The development within the hardness at the surface layer of the total nitrided illustration is since of the advancement of compound and scattering zone with collections of nitrides named asCrN, Cr₂N, Fe₂₋₃N, Fe₄N. The hardness was found to be 719 Hv, 841 Hv, 906Hvfor the tests nitrided at 60 min, 120 min and 240 min respectively.

3. Results & Discussions

From the scanning electron microscope analysis, the wear difference was identified between the untreated specimen and nitrided specimen. The untreated samples were found to have more peel of material as they have low hardness and strength. Micro cracks and holes were found in untreated sample shown in the Fig 6. The action of the presence of nitrogen improves the wear behaviour and the stainless character was

maintained. The ion implanted specimens showed a significant increase in the hardness value with compressive residual stress and micro distortions in the high nitrogen containing layer. The peels of fabric were found to be moot when compared to untreated test appeared within the Fig 7. The nitrogen implantation process induces the arrangement of the metastable stage. This stage come about from the incorporation of nitrogen into the interstitial positions of the face centered cubic structure of stainless steels.

Fig 6. Untreated AISI 316 LN Sample SEM Image

Fig 7. SEM Image of 60 Minutes Nitrided sample

Fig 8.SEM Image of 120 Minutes Nitrided sample

Nitrogen joining from the perspectives of mixture component, super immersion and energy is considered. The structural refinement, driving to nano crystallization [16] and stage transformations, consisting of austenitization and amorphization, are sketched out. Especially from the Fig 8, it was noted that nitrogen heighten grain refinement and continues

to ferrite to austenite phase transformation and amorphization during mechanical alloying.

Fig 9. SEM Image of 180 Minutes Nitrided sample

The sub surface modifications induced by nitrogen ion implantation revealed that the surface hardness reached up to 906 Hv. From the Fig 9, it was observed that nitriding induced phases containing the mixture of iron and nitrogen compounds remain most important. Liquid nitriding of stainless steels at temperature about 480°C showed nitrogen enriched layers with higher nitrogen content leading to a significant increase in the hardness.

4. Conclusion

The effect of sliding wear behaviour of austenitic stainless steels of grade AISI 316LN were studied and reported that the sliding wear affected there passivation by increasing the level of expanded austenite phase and greatly influenced by the increase in formation of Chromium Nitride. It was reported salt bath nitriding improves the wear resistance of austenitic stainless steel sort AISI 316LN. The hardness were extended from 328Hv to 906 Hv, appeared up to be uniform and formed by two sub layers. The upgrade of the surface layer with nitrogen, up to a temperature of 4800 C brought almost in basic wear resistance and it has been advanced. The micro structures and the wear properties of austenitic stainless steels have been investigated and the wear resistance of stainless steels in watery environment was credited to the arrangement of detached film, which was amazingly a lean layer in which chromium particles are improved as oxide or hydroxide. The inactive film was conceivable to be more defensive by different added substances such as molybdenum and nitrogen.

References:

1. J. Jin, T. Shao, *Surf. Coat. Technol.* 344 (2018).
2. D. Moses, G. Haider, J. Henshaw, *Eng. Fail. Anal.* 100 (2019).
3. R.V. Pushkarev, N.I. Fainer, H. Katsui, *Mater.Des.* 137 (2018).

4. T.S. Hummelshøj, T.L. Christiansen, M.A.J. Somers, *Scripta Mater.* 63(7) (2010).
5. J.G. Kang, N. Lin, Y. Jiang, *Materials Science and Engineering of Powder Metallurgy* 22 (1) (2017).
6. Balaji, D., Anil, K., Satyanarayana, K., Singh, S. K., & Naik, M. T. *Material Today* 18, 4475-4481. (2019).
7. L. An, Q. Ma, J. Jiangang, *Hot Working Technology* 40 (22) (2011).
8. A.P. García-Mendoza, G. Vargas-Gutiérrez, J. López-Cuevas, *Surf. Coat. Tech.* 353 (2018).
9. W. Tian, S. Li, J. Liu, *Chin. J. Aeronaut.* 30 (5) (2017).
10. R.V. Pushkarev, N.I. Fainer, H. Katsui, *Mater.Des.* 137 (2018).
11. Suresh Kumar Tummala, Dhasharatha G, *E3S Web of Conferences* 87, 01030 (2019).
12. J.W. Zhang, L.T. Lu, K. Shiozawa, W.N. Zhou, W.H. Zhang, *Int. J. Fatigue* 33(7) (2011).
13. C.Anandhan, William Grips, V.EzliSelvi 201 (2007).
14. C.Dawes, D.F.Tranter, *Heat Treatment Materials*, 4 (1982)
15. C.H.Hsu, C.K.Lin, K.H.Huang, K.L.Ou, *Surface Coatings and Technology*, 88 (2012).
16. Balaji, D., Anil, K., Satyanarayana, K., Singh, S. K., & Naik, M. T. *Materials Today: Proceedings* 18, 4475-4481. (2019)
17. Tummala Suresh Kumar, Kosaraju Satyanarayana, *Materials Today: Proceeding*, 26(2020).
18. P. Sharma, A. Dhawan, S.K. Sharma, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 511 (2019).
19. H. Shen, L. Wang, *Surf. Coat. Technol.* 378 (2019).
20. C.S.Stawstorm, M.Hillert, *Journal of Iron and Steel Institute*, 207 (1999)
21. Md.Sumair Ur Rahman, L.Jayahari, *Materials Today: Proceedings*, E 5, 3(2018)
22. L.Jayahari, B.Balunaik, A.Kumar Gupta, S.K.Singh, *Materials Today: Proceedings*, E 2, 4 (2015)
23. Ratna Deepika M, Satyanarayana.K, Arul Raj K, Sateesh.N, *Materials Today: Proceedings*, E5, 9 (2018).