Influence of parameters in soil hardening model on deformation of excavation
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Abstract. Based on the data of the soil and enclosure structure of a certain excavation, a PLAXIS model was established. The actual monitoring results are compared with the PLAXIS calculation results to verify the reliability of the model. On this basis, the six main parameters in the soil hardening model were changed by a single variable method to obtain the influence of each parameter on the deformation of the excavation. As the soil weight or Poisson's ratio increases, the lateral displacement of the retaining wall increases. With the increase of the secant modulus or cohesive force or internal friction angle of the soil, the lateral displacement of the retaining wall decreases. The power index m has basically no effect on the lateral displacement of the retaining wall. With the increase of m, the settlement of the soil gradually increases uniformly.

1 Introduction

In the analysis of the deformation and force of excavation, the soil hardening model (HS) is a common choice. However, since some parameters in the model will not be provided in the geotechnical survey report, the usual method is to perform trial calculations based on previous research or engineering experience. In this way, the calculation results often differ greatly from the actual situation in the calculation process. However, because there are many parameters for trial calculation, it is often impossible to confirm which parameter is not accurate enough to cause the wrong result. This paper studies the influence of the main parameters in the HS model on the deformation of the excavation, and analyzes the sensitivity of the deformation of the excavation to each parameter.

Liu Chang obtained some parameters of the soil hardening model in Tianjin area through experiments. Wang Weidong studied the relationship between the three deformation moduli in the HS model in Shanghai through experiments. Xu Zhonghua and others did research on the selection of soil constitutive model in the numerical analysis of excavation in sensitive environments. Chen Pengfei et al. studied the parameter values of the waterproof curtain mixing pile.

2 Engineering background and model establishment

The total land area of the project is about 5424m². The above ground construction area is about 18984 m². The underground construction area is about 14542 m². The proposed building adopts a frame shear wall structure. The foundation type uses bored piles. There are three basements with a safety level of level 1, and the buried depth of the basement is about 14.5 meters. The retaining structure of the excavation adopts diaphragm wall and concrete internal supports.

In the PLAXIS 3D model, the soil is 300m long, 300m wide, and 75m deep. The hardening model is selected for the soil, and the average value of each parameter is taken. The weight \( \gamma = 19 \text{kN/m}^3 \), the cohesion \( c = 20 \text{kPa} \), the internal friction angle \( \phi = 20^\circ \), and the three deformation moduli \( E_{50}^{\text{ref}} = 10 \text{MPa} \), \( E_{\text{ned}}^{\text{ref}} = 10 \text{MPa} \), \( E_{\text{ur}}^{\text{ref}} = 60 \text{MPa} \), Poisson's ratio \( \nu = 0.25 \). The excavation is 100m long, 50m wide and 15m deep. The enclosure structure is an underground continuous wall (plate), the wall depth is 30m, the embedded depth is 15m, and the wall thickness is 0.8m. There are 3 layers of inner support, respectively at depths of 0m, -5m, and -10m. The retaining wall and internal support are both concrete structures, with elastic modulus \( E = 30 \text{GPa} \) and Poisson’s ratio \( \nu = 0.15 \).

Set this model as the reference model. The influence of various variables on the deformation of the excavation will be discussed below. All changes in a single variable are made on this reference model, and other parameters remain unchanged.

Based on the above data, the model was established. The deformation calculation results and measured data of the envelope structure are shown in the figure 1.

It can be seen from the figure 1 that the model calculation result is relatively close to the actual...
measurement result, and the maximum lateral displacement of the wall calculated by the model is slightly larger than the actual measurement value.
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**Fig. 1.** Comparison of measured value and calculated value.

### 3 Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 The influence of soil gravity

The figure 2 is the relationship between the soil gravity and the lateral displacement of the retaining wall. When increasing from γ = 16 kN/m³ to γ = 21 kN/m³, the lateral displacement of the retaining wall gradually increases, from 33.5mm to 39.8mm. Because the gravity stress of the soil is proportional to the gravity of the soil, the lateral pressure of the soil is proportional to the gravity stress, the greater the γ, the greater the earth pressure acting on the retaining wall and the greater the deformation of the retaining wall.

We should also note that the influence of soil gravity on the deformation of the excavation is mainly above the excavation surface of the excavation. There are two main reasons for this: First, it is related to the sequence of excavation. During the layered excavation process, the earth pressure outside the excavation first gradually acts on the retaining wall, and the deep soil in the pit often does not play its role until later. This sequence makes the impact of soil gravity on the deformation of the excavation mainly concentrated above the excavation surface. The second is related to the nature of the stress on the soil inside and outside the pit. The soil outside the pit is in a state of active earth pressure, which is a load, and its role is fully exerted. The soil in the pit is in a state of passive earth pressure, which belongs to resistance, and its function is released only when the load is required.
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**Fig. 2.** The influence of soil weight on deformation.

The figure 3 is the relationship between the lateral displacement of the retaining wall and the secant modulus of the soil. In the benchmark model of this paper, $E_{50} = 10MPa$, it can be seen from the figure that when $E_{50} = 10MPa$ is reduced to $E_{50} = 1MPa$, the lateral displacement has a very large increase, and the degree of increase is getting larger and larger, from 36.9mm to 71.6mm, which is about doubled, beyond the allowable range. When $E_{50} = 10MPa$ increases to $E_{50} = 30MPa$, the lateral displacement is slowly reduced, from 36.9mm to 27mm, approximately to the original 73%. It should also be noted that with the decrease of $E_{50}^r$, the lateral displacement of the entire retaining wall, including the top and bottom of the wall, has increased, not just the increase in the maximum lateral displacement of the middle of the retaining wall. It tells that there is a risk of kicking damage.
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**Fig. 3.** The influence of secant modulus on deformation

#### 3.3 The influence of the cohesion
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**Fig. 4.** The influence of cohesion on deformation
The figure 4 is the relationship between the settlement outside the pit and the cohesion c of the soil. In the benchmark model in this paper, c=20kPa. It can be seen from the figure that when c=20kPa decreases to c=0kPa, the lateral displacement has a very large increase, and the degree of increase is getting bigger and bigger, from 36.9mm to 62.8mm, an increase of about 70% which is beyond the allowable range. When c=20kPa increases to c=60kPa, the lateral displacement gradually decreases, from 36.9mm to 27.5mm, which is about 25% reduction.

3.4 The influence of the internal friction angle

The figure 5 shows the relationship between the settlement outside the pit and the cohesion of the soil. In this paper's benchmark model c=20kPa. It can be seen from the figure that when c=20kPa decreases to c=0kPa, the settlement has a very large increase, and the degree of increase is getting bigger and bigger. It has increased from 13.4mm to 42mm, which is approximately 2 times larger, which exceeds the allowed range of the specification. When c=20kPa increases to c=60kPa, the settlement gradually decreases, from 13.4mm to 5mm, which is about 37.3% of the original.

3.5 The influence of the Poisson's ratio

The figure 8 is the relationship between the lateral displacement of the retaining wall and the Poisson's ratio of the soil. It can be seen from the figure that when v = 0.35 is the cutoff value. When increasing from v = 0.35 to v = 0.49, the lateral displacement increases significantly, from 40mm to 52mm, which is about 30% increase. When reducing from v = 0.35 to v = 0.15, the lateral displacement gradually decreases, from 40mm to 36mm. It is reduced by about 10%.

We should note that the influence of Poisson's ratio on the deformation of the excavation has obvious effects on the bottom of the retaining wall. However, it has a greater impact on the deformation below the bottom of the pit. It is found that the increase in Poisson's ratio makes a great change in the horizontal displacement of the bottom of the wall, which is much larger than the change in the horizontal displacement of the top of the wall.
The figure 10 is the relationship between the settlement outside the pit and the power exponent. It can be seen that as m increases from 0.5 to 1, the settlement of the soil gradually increases uniformly, from 12.1mm to 14.76mm, an increase of about 20%.

4 Conclusion

Through the establishment of the PLAXIS 3D model, the influence of the six main parameters in the HS soil model on the deformation of the excavation was studied, and the following conclusions were obtained:

As the soil weight or Poisson's ratio increases, the lateral displacement of the retaining wall increases.

With the increase of the secant modulus or cohesive force or internal friction angle of the soil, the lateral displacement of the retaining wall decreases.

The power index m has basically no effect on the lateral displacement of the retaining wall. With the increase of m, the settlement of the soil gradually increases uniformly.
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