New method for allocating high-speed railway infrastructure costs among train types
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Abstract. With the rapid development of China's high-speed railway (HSR), there are also many problems. For example, in the classification and calculation of HSR transportation costs, there is a lack of reasonable cost statistical methods. There are many types of high-speed trains currently running on HSR (mainly G and D trains). There are differences in the speed, load and energy consumption of different types of trains. The resulting infrastructure usage costs also vary. However, all train costs are classified and calculated uniformly in practice. This paper proposes the expenditure rate method of the transport process allocating the infrastructure use costs among types of trains. Based on data from the Beijing-Shanghai high-speed railway, the cost of infrastructure is calculated, and the calculation results can reasonably reflect the cost allocation among types of trains. It makes the cost calculation of high-speed railway more accurate and lays a foundation for environmental cost calculation.

1 Introduction

The management and operation of the railways have been under the responsibility of the CHINA RAILWAY (CR) for many years. This situation has led to the drawbacks of a market monopoly and a lack of competitiveness in the railway transportation industry. The reason is mainly due to the lagging reform of the management system of China's railway industry and the inaccurate calculation of cost classification.

This article conducts research from three aspects: the first is the reform policy, which proposes that the future reform direction of China's railway is vertical separation; The second is the solution. A new method of expenditure rate calculation is proposed for overcoming the problem of inaccurate cost classification statistics. The expenditure rate method is used to solve the cost allocation problem of HSR with various types of trains. Finally, the proposed solution is applied to the Beijing-Shanghai HSR to evaluate the rationality of the cost allocation. The research results can provide an exploration path for the cost allocation estimation of China's HSR.

2 Reform

Based on international experience in railway reform and restructuring, the separation of the railway infrastructure and operations is inevitable [1]. European railway practice proves that the separation of network transportation is an alternative direction for the reform of the railway transportation management system. After the separation of the railway network and transportation, it is helpful to distinguish the management responsible for the railway network from that responsible for passenger and cargo operations and to obtain accounting and operational data on the statistical costs from various operational departments.

The European Commission has been asking member states to adopt a vertical separation model [2]. Sweden, the United Kingdom and Germany have been using railway infrastructure charging systems for the longest and have extensive experience [3]. They separate the railway network infrastructure, which has a natural monopoly, from the competitive rail passenger and cargo transportation, thereby providing open-access policies for new entrants. The railway operator has added another entity (infrastructure manager) for charging infrastructure users [4].

In the more than ten years of separation of European railways, many scholars have studied the railway infrastructure charges of Germany [5], the United Kingdom [6], France [7], Netherlands [8], Sweden [9], Italy [4], Bulgaria [10] and other countries. The International Union of Railways [11] also presents an overview of the structure of the European toll system and explores differences among the countries.

Infrastructure charges cannot be separated from the calculation of railway transportation costs. The calculation principles of railway transportation cost including the marginal cost (MC), full cost (FC), full cost minus (FC−), marginal cost plus mark-up (MC+) and marginal cost + Ramsey (MC + Ramsey) principles.

Based on the economic principles of railway transportation cost calculation, considerable literature has studied on the factors affecting transportation cost allocation. Line types [12], train weight [13], crowding factors [14], incentive regulatory mechanisms [15],
environmental costs [16], maintenance and update costs [17], multimodal transport [18] and other cost components have also been thoroughly studied. These factors are applied to complex econometric models to determine the cost function of the infrastructure.

However, many cost-based calculation models do not reflect all the costs that are incurred by train operations, and other theoretical models have a single application environment. These factors are not shortcomings of the models; they are mainly due to the differences in the policies and actual operations of each country. Most of the above methods perform well for small- and medium-sized railway networks, but they are not suitable for such a large road network environment as that in China.

3 Methods

3.1 Expenditure rate method of the transport operation process

In contrast to traditional cost methods, the operation process cost method has the following characteristics: the basic cost calculation object is the transport operation process, which is the basis for summarizing other costs. The distribution and collection of indirect costs are considered, and the operation cost database is constructed. To reflect the characteristics of diversity, the cost allocation criteria are determined, which are the cost drivers. The process of cost generation is considered, the product cost result assessment is changed to the process evaluation of the operational cost, and the monitoring of the production cost process is strengthened. This type of calculation method has been widely used, and it is expressed as a sub-item of the transportation operation process in the calculation of the railway cost in China. This method can be used to calculate the railway transportation cost; however, limitations remain on the cost allocation calculation of the railway train types. It is not possible to refine the cost according to the train type; hence, it is expanded on the basis of the operation costing method, and the railway cost is calculated via the transportation process expenditure rate method.

The evaluation method for the transportation operation process can be used to calculate and analyze the variable cost of railway transportation based on the expenditure rates of various operational indicators. For various costs, such as the operating cost of the rolling stock, the cost of the shunting operation, and the transportation cost of the section, it is also possible to analyze the impacts of various measures and various transportation expenditures on the transportation cost and to solve the train cost allocation problems on the sub-line. This is the most suitable method for solving the train cost allocation problems on the subline.

The expenditure rate method can calculate and analyze the variable cost of railway transportation based on the expenditure rates of various operational indicators. We combine the expenditure rate method with the China railway transport operation process sub-item to obtain the separate expenditure rate method. This method uses the strategies and steps of the transportation process sub-item to calculate the railway cost in the form of the expenditure rate method. The calculation process is illustrated in Fig 1.

$$\beta_\omega \text{ the expenditure rate of indicator } \omega$$

$$Q_\omega \text{ the consumption of the specified workload indicator } \omega$$

For normal investment decisions and operational decisions, only long-term changes in transportation products can be calculated. Considering the need for full-cost analysis, including the fixed costs, in practical applications such as profit and loss analysis, a method is provided for calculating the fixed costs using a fixed percentage of the fixed expenditure.

$$P_{FC} = (C/ \sum_{\omega=1}^{7} V C_\omega ) - 1$$ (2)
where: 
- \( PFC \): proportion of the fixed costs
- \( C \): total transportation expenditure
- \( V C \): total variable cost of the specified workload
- \( FC \): fixed costs

After calculating the variable cost of each indicator, the variable costs are summed, and the fixed cost \( FC \) is used to obtain the total cost \( C \) of the railway train that has completed the specified workload. The calculation formula is:

\[
C = \sum_{i=1}^{7} VC_i + FC \quad (4)
\]

### 3.2.2 Using the regression results of the expenditure rate to calculate the expenditure rate of each indicator in other years.

Assuming that there are cost and workload data for years \( n_1 \) to \( n_2 \), the expenditure rate of each indicator has been obtained via the above method. If the cost data and workload data of year \( n_t+1 \) are obtained, the expenditure rate of each index of year \( n_t+1 \) can be calculated.

If the data time span was sufficient, all linear regression models were used for the regression analysis. The regression equation model is:

\[
Y_{ωi} = aωi + bωi \times X_{ωi} \quad (5)
\]

where:
- \( Y_{ωi} \): the amount of the i-th cost item that is collected in the expenditure rate indicator \( ω \)
- \( aωi \): the fixed cost of the i-th cost item in the expenditure rate indicator \( ω \)
- \( bωi \): the expenditure rate of the i-th cost item in the expenditure rate indicator \( ω \)
- \( X_{ωi} \): the workload that corresponds to the i-th cost item in the expenditure rate indicator \( ω \)
- \( ω \): a value from 1 to 7

The rate of change of the i-th cost item can be obtained:

\[
ai = (b_i \times X_i) / (a_i + b_i \times X_i) \quad (6)
\]

where \( αi \) is the rate of change of the i-th cost item. The rate of change refers to the proportion of the variable costs in this cost item.

\[
\sum_{i} [(Y_{ωi} \times α_i) / X_{ωi}] \quad (7)
\]

where:
- \( β_{ωt+1} \): the expenditure rate of indicator \( ω \) in year \( t+1 \)
- \( Y_{iωt} \): the i-th cost item that is collected in indicator \( ω \) in year \( t+1 \)
- \( X_{iωt} \): the workload that corresponds to the i-th cost item of indicator \( ω \) in year \( t+1 \)

By using the rate of change of each cost item in the previous year that has been calculated, it is possible to directly divide the variable cost in the following years. It is easier to use the data directly for regression. The changes in recent years can be combined with the selection. Rate data are used to prevent large errors in the calculation results due to outdated data.

### 4 Cost data and calculation

#### 4.1 Background

This paper uses the operating cost data of Beijing-Shanghai high-speed railway (abbreviated as the Jinghu HSR) to calculate the allocation cost of the railway infrastructure. The main line of the Jinghu HSR is 1,318 kilometers long. The whole line is a new double line and is approximately the same as the original Jinghu HSR. The target speed is 380 km/h. The CRH380 is the main model. In the operating scenario, the maximum operating speeds are 300 km/h for the G-Series high-speed train (hereinafter called G-EMU) and 200 km/h for the D-Series high-speed train (hereinafter called D-EMU). The number of trains that depart from Shanghai Hongqiao Station has increased from 7 to 8 pairs since April 2018. From 8:00 am to 19:00 pm, there are trains running at all times except for 11:00, 13:00 and 16:00. According to the data of the Railway Customer Service Center, a total of 41 pairs of trains are operated between Beijing and Shanghai. Among them, there are 40 pairs of high-speed trains (G-EMU) and one pair of D-EMU trains.

#### 4.2 Expenditure rate indicator system

Since China began to apply a unified railway transportation cost expense report in the railway system in 2015, the time span was insufficient, and related subjects were changed before and after the report reform. When calculating the expenditure rate, the method of replacing the regression coefficient by the average value is used to obtain the total change in expenditure and the corresponding workload to an indicator; then, the expenditure rate of the operational indicator is calculated. If the time span for the latter calculation is sufficiently long, the regression method can be used to calculate a more accurate indicator expenditure rate.

The calculation formula for the expenditure rate of each operational indicator is as follows:

\[
β_{ωi} = \frac{\bar{V}C_{ωi}}{\bar{Q}_{ωi}} \quad (8)
\]

where \( β_{ωi} \) is the expenditure rate of the specified indicator, \( \bar{V}C_{ω} \) and \( \bar{Q}_{ω} \) are the average values of the relevant subject expenditures and consumption indicators for each indicator over the years, and \( ω \) refers to each indicator in the operation indicator system table, which can take a value from 1 to 7.

The average cost collection results and the expenditure rates of various indicators from 2015 to 2017 are presented in Table 1.
The average transportation expenditure of the Beijing-Shanghai high-speed rail from 2015 to 2017 is approximately 16.6 billion yuan. According to the cost collection, the total variable cost is 12.956 billion yuan, and the fixed cost is 3.644 billion yuan. According to the train-type fixed cost allocation formula, the fixed costs are:

- G-EMU \[ V_{CG} = 3.644 \times (12.956/12.956) \text{ billion yuan} \]
- D-EMU \[ V_{CD} = 3.542 \text{ billion yuan} \]
- D-EMU \[ FCD = 102 \text{ million yuan} \]

Therefore, considering the fixed costs, the passenger transport costs of Beijing-Shanghai high-speed railway are calculated via the expenditure rate method as:

- Approximately 12.595 billion yuan (excluding the fixed costs) and 16.137 billion yuan (including the fixed costs) for G-EMU.
- Approximately 361 million yuan (excluding the fixed costs) and 463 million yuan (including the fixed costs) for the D-EMU.

### 5 Conclusions and future research

Based on the principle of cost pricing, a system based on the expenditure rate method covers all operating costs, thereby ensuring that road network operators can maintain a low profit and encourage efforts to reduce costs. The calculated cost allocation results for two types of trains on the Beijing-Shanghai high-speed railway agree with the actual costs. According to this method, the various cost types (classified according to carriage and freight, train speed, train weight, and driving density) are calculated for the two train types on the railway line, and the impact degree of each factor on the charging system can also be calculated. The infrastructure charging system that is based on this method is suitable for large railway networks.

The main shortcoming of this paper is that China Railway Corporation began to apply the unified railway transportation cost project in 2015; hence, relevant data were unavailable. Therefore, it is relatively simple to calculate the expenditure rate. The correct rate of change is not obtained via regression analysis, and the average value can only be calculated via analogy analysis. The indicator expenditure rate that is obtained by calculating the annual transportation cost is temporary. With the rapid development and vertical separation reform of the railway, its operation has reached a mature stage and the relevant data have been further improved. At this time, the correction calculation results can be modified to make the cost data more accurate and standardized. The high-speed rail cost calculation method proposed in this paper can be extended to other railway lines for estimating the cost of other types of trains on other railway lines.
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