Induced mutagenesis for improving water stress tolerance in durum wheat (Triticum turgidum L. subsp. durum)
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Abstract. Over the Water deficit is considered to be one of the most important limiting factors for crop productivity worldwide. Thus, it is important to use water resources more efficiently. One of the ways to conserve water and respond to the climate change is by using appropriate crop species and cultivars, notably which have low requirements for water. Chemical mutagens have contributed immensely to the development of a wide range of genetic variability and the improvement of several crop plants, including durum wheat. This study has the aim of understanding the effect of water stress on some morpho-physiological parameters and identifying tolerant lines to water stress from an EMS-mutated population in durum wheat. The results, under moderate (T1) and severe (T2) conditions of water stress, show the positive effect of mutagenesis on the population resulting in tolerant mutated lines to water deficit. Compared to the non-mutated, 32.15% of lines have a higher specific leaf weight; 57.14% of lines have a better ability to maintain a high water content and 75% of all lines demonstrate a very high intensity of chlorophyll fluorescence. In sum, this study has revealed the improvement of water stress tolerance in some induced durum wheat mutants.

1 Introduction

Durum wheat (Triticum turgidum L. ssp. durum) is a vital cereal crop that provides substantial economic output in the Mediterranean region[1]. Durum is better adapted than common hexaploid wheat to semi-arid environments. Butits production and quality suffer greatly from several abiotic stress constraints including water deficiency and heat[2],[3]. Water is very essential for smooth running of various metabolic activities inside plants. Water deficit is considered to be one of the most important limiting factors for crop productivity worldwide and especially in the Mediterranean area[4].

The proposed scenarios for climate change indicate that water availability will be a limiting factor for many countries in the following years[5]. So, the water deficit is a big challenge for plant breeders around the world who need to develop cultivars which could sustain such stress conditions without significant yield loss[6],[7]. In drought conditions, plants usually respond in the form of stunted growth due to its adverse effects on different molecular, biochemical, physiological and morphological processes of the plant. Such changes are totally related to the growth stage, time and severity of environmental stresses[8],[9].

For screening and selection of tolerant genotypes to uphold productivity under water stress conditions, the understanding of physiological mechanisms is very essential[10]. It is reported that drought related physiological parameters were dramatically reduced under water stress conditions as compared to normal conditions[11]. For instance, plant exposure to moisture stress slows down the relative water content (RWC), leaf water potential and osmotic potential[12]. The foliar photosynthetic rate of higher plants is known to decrease as the RWC and the leaf water potential decrease[13]. The decrease in photosynthesis of leaves is usually caused by stomatal limitation under mild to moderate drought conditions and non-stomatal limitation under severe drought conditions[14]. Moreover, under mild to moderate drought stress, LA decreases and an early leaves senescence occurs[15].

In an early future, drought is expected to increase due to climate change in most parts of the world[16],[17]. Hence, it is an urgent need, for this moment, to breed cultivars with enhanced drought tolerance and high water use efficiency, which can be achieved by employing both conventional plant breeding and genetic engineering[18]. Because of their use for the development of new mutant varieties, induced mutations
have played an important role in meeting the challenges linked to global food and nutritional security. Chemical mutagens, especially the Ethyl Methyl Sulfonates (EMS), are used to induce mutations in plants. Through chemical reactions within the genome, EMS affects the DNA molecule. Thus, the mutagenic treatments could induce a wide range of genetic variability for use in crop improvement programs and new varieties development[18]–[20]. Mutant lines should indicate water stress tolerance by showing a relationship between phenotype and genotype in a selection process [21], [22]. The present work objectives are i) the identification of the water stress effect on various morphological and physiological characters in mutant lines derived from the CHAM1 variety of durum wheat by the EMS mutagen under normal and deficit irrigation; and ii) the selection of new mutant durum wheat genotypes presenting a better ability to grow and a satisfactory yield under water stress conditions. This information will aid to identify the best cultivars that could be used as genitors in future breeding programs.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Study Material

This study is carried out on a mutated population of durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.) from the CHAM1 variety. Seeds were previously treated with 0.6% of the mutagenic chemical agent EMS (Ethyle Methane Sulfonates). 3215 seeds of the M1 generation were sown in a greenhouse at the National Institute of Agronomic Research (INRA) – Rabat, Morocco to obtain M2, M3 and M4 generations. Out of 2505 M5 lines sown in the experimental station, Marchouch-Morocco, an annual selection was made according to the height of the plant, the vigor, the yield and the resistance to diseases until obtaining individuals of the M8 generation. Then, out of 262 selected M9 lines, 40 lines were isolated to make the study material for this work. These lines are characterized by a high grain yield compared to the non-mutated parent CHAM1.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Tests organization

Two controlled experiments were carried out. The first was carried out under the condition of good water supply (T0), in order to characterize the mutation effect on the variations of the morpho-physiological parameters. These by comparison of the mutated lines -selected by their high grain yield- and the non-mutated CHAM1 durum wheat (control). The second experiment was carried out under two water stress conditions-moderate (T1) and severe (T2) in order to characterize the behavior of the mutated lines under the applied stresses and the studied parameters, in comparison to the control.

2.2.2 Preparation of pots and seedlings

The grains of the 40 selected mutant lines were sown under controlled conditions in a greenhouse equipped with a computerized electronic system at the INRA-Rabat. The microclimatic conditions are 22°C of temperature, 50% relative humidity of the air and photoperiodism programmed at 08h of darkness/20h of light. The sowing was carried out manually at the rate of 7 grains per plastic pots of 5Kg of a mixture substrate composed by 2/3 soil and 1/3 peat.

2.2.3 Determination and application of stress levels

The pots were simultaneously irrigated twice a week until the end of the production stage. After, the pots were divided into two lots constituting respectively control (T0) and stressed plants. The water stress is applied by a watering stop. The water stress duration (I) is one week for moderate water stress (T1) and two weeks for severe water stress (T2). For all morphological and physiological parameters, the measurements were made on the flag leaf.

a. Morphological parameters
   i. Leaf area (LA, cm²)
      The LA is a necessary index for determining growth. The measurement is carried out using a planimeter (AM300 Portable Leaf Area Meter) according to the method reported initially by [23].
   ii. Specific leaf weight (SLW, mg/cm²)
      Cut leaves are weighed immediately to obtain fresh weight (FW). The leaf specific weight (SLW) is determined by the formula [24], [25]:
      \[ SLW (mg/cm²) = \frac{FW}{SLA} \]

b. Physiological parameters
   i. Relative water content (RWC, %)
      Relative water content (RWC) is one of the criteria for assessing drought tolerance. It decreases when water stress increases. According to the method of (Clarke and McCaig, 1982) the cut flag leaves are directly weighed (fresh weight,FW) and immersed in test tubes filled with distilled water. Then, the tubes are placed in a cool dark place. After 24 hours, the saturated leaves are re-weighed (turgor pressure weight, TW). Finally, the sample is put to dry in an oven at 80°C and weighed one last time after 48hours (dry weight,DW). The relative water content is determined according to the formula:
      \[ RWC (%) = \frac{(FW - DW)}{(TW - DW)} \times 100 \]
   ii. Quantum yield of PSII (ΦPSII)
      Chlorophyll fluorescence is a precise intrinsic indicator of the first stages of photosynthesis, photosystems II (PSII) in this case. Its intensity is inversely linked to photosynthetic yield and therefore to the vitality of plants [27]. The chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were carried out on the intact leaves – stillattached, using a portable fluorometer (chlorophyll fluorometer, Model 0os-30, USA). The measured fluorescence Fo (minimum fluorescence) and Fm (maximum fluorescence) assess
the photochemical efficacy of PSII. Thus, after adaptation to darkness for 3 minutes, the maximum photosynthetic yield of the PSII is calculated according to the formula:

\[
Q_{\text{max}} \text{PSII} = \frac{(F_m - F_o)}{F_m}
\]

c. Statistical analyzes

The descriptive statistical parameters and variance analyzes were processed using the SAS program (Statistical Analysis System, version 9.1). The graphics were produced by Ms Excel software and using Genstat. The statistical analyzes concerned only 28 lines. The other 12 lines were excluded from the analysis since they did not resist to the applied stresses.

3 Results and Discussion

Water stress is considered the most severe of the environmental stresses that affect plant growth and yield. To cope, plants develop adaptation strategies by adjusting leaf growth [28], [29], stomatal conductance [30], photosynthesis [31], [32] and the leaf surface [33], [34]. Here, we report the experimental results with the discussion of the variation of the morpho-physiological parameters of the mutated lines, either under the condition of good water supply and water stress conditions, in addition to the correlation between the both variations.

3.1 Variation of the morpho-physiological parameters of the mutated lines under the condition of good water supply

The effect of the mutation on the variations in morpho-physiological parameters between the mutated lines and the control (CHAM1 not mutated) is presented in the form of histograms (Fig. 1). The descriptive analysis shows that the variation distribution follows the normal law, with a median regrouping a maximum number of lines.

Indeed, under the conditions of good water supply, the mutant lines LA fluctuates between 8.37cm² and 27.61cm² while the control records an average of 22.6 cm² (Fig. 1a). Thus, 27.77% of the lines show a very small assimilating surface than that of the non-mutated control. However, 30.55% have larger assimilating surfaces. The rest recorded a value close to the control average. In 16.21% of the lines, the recorded values of the SLW are between 20.10 mg/cm² and 31.52 mg/cm². They are much higher than that of the control which is 13.64 mg/cm² (Fig. 1b).

For the RWC (Fig. 1c), and compared to the control which records an RWC of 82.74%, the highest contents are noted in 36.11% of the lines, with a maximum value of 99.93%. whereas, the other lines record more or less low water contents with a minimum value of 62.39%. For the Qmax PSII parameter, the values range from 0.66 to 0.78; with 47.22% of the lines have a higher ΦPSII, 13.88% of the lines have a lower SI PSII, while the rest of the lines have values very close to that of the control (Fig. 1d).

The results of the variance analysis (Table 1) reveal the existence of a high significant variability between the studied lines for all the measured parameters. This is true for all the measured parameters except the SLW. This variability in phenotypic expression of the mutated lines can be explained by the action of the applied mutagen (EMS) on the genomic material. So the mutation carried out was very successful.

The several studies show that chemical mutagen ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS), have been successfully used on wheat [35]. In fact it’s more efficient in inducing higher mutation frequency of crop traits compared with physical mutagens, such as gamma radiation [36], [37].

Table 1: The variance analysis of the different morpho-physiological parameters under the condition of good water supply.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variation factor</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>SLW</th>
<th>RWC</th>
<th>Qmax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotype effect</td>
<td>0.0090**</td>
<td>0.4941 Ns</td>
<td>0.0110*</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LA: leaf area, SLW: specific leaf weight, RWC: relative water content, Qmax: quantum yield PSII

**. Very highly significant effect at the threshold α < 0.1%.
***. Highly significant effect at the threshold P < 1%.
*. Significant effect at threshold P < 0.05;
Ns: Non-specific effect

3.2 Variation in the morpho-physiological parameters of the mutated lines under water stress conditions

The results of the variance analysis of the various morpho-physiological parameters, under the condition of water stress (Table 2), reveal the existence of a significant effect of the genotype and the water stress.
treatment for the studied parameters. Significant interactions between the genotype and the treatment were noted positively in the case of quantum yield (Qmax).

Table 2: The variance Analysis of the various morphophysiological parameters under water stress conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Genotype effect</th>
<th>Treatment effect</th>
<th>Interaction genotype x treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
<td>0.1675 Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLW</td>
<td>0.0528*</td>
<td>0.0214*</td>
<td>0.4489 Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWC</td>
<td>0.0125*</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
<td>0.2030 Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qmax</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***. Very highly significant effect at the threshold $\alpha < 0.1\%$;  
**. Highly significant effect at the threshold $\alpha < 1\%$;  
*. Significant effect at threshold $\alpha < 0.05$;  
Ns: Non-specific effect.

Under moderate stress conditions (T1), there is a decrease in LA in all of the studied lines except for the two lines 19M9 and 18M9 which record an increase in this parameter. A clear decrease in the LA is noted in the 45M102 line with 26.595 ± 6.26 cm² in T0 conditions and 14.23 ± 3.38 cm² in T1 condition. However, the 46M102 line records LA values very close and vary from 19.045 ± 4.29 cm² (T0) to 16.48 ± 0.02 cm² (T1). In this regard, there is a regression percentage of 46.49% in the 45M102 line against 13.46% in the 46M102 line. The non-mutated CHAM1 control records LA values between 22.364 ± 2.67 cm² (T0) and 18.223 ± 1.41 cm² (T1), with a regression of 18.52%.

Under severe stress conditions (T2), all of the mutated lines maintain their decrease in the LA, except in 68M8, 3M102, 6M8, 41M102, 1M8, 3M9 and 46M102 genotypes where an increase in LA is noted. The percentages of this increase range from 7.62% in the 3M102 line to 13.46% in the 41M102 line. It is noted that in all the water stress conditions, the 47M102 line have a slight decrease in LA compared to the non-mutated control CHAM1, while the 1M8 line maintains an increase in LA.

Leaf area (LA) plays an important role in plant growth analysis. LA and leaf weight measurements are required to calculate several growth indices, like specific leaf weight (SLW). Under conditions of water stress, vegetative development is strongly disturbed by a significant decrease in size and LA. This result is in perfect agreement with what[38] found. In our experimentation and under the condition of water stress, we note 67.85% of the mutated lines which present the same evolution as that of the control with a regression of the LA. This reduction is considered to be one of the plants' avoidance strategies for water stress. In fact, the water stress significantly reduced LA due to the reduced cell division. Water stress may reduce turgor pressure and hence cell expansion, resulting in approximately the same dry mass being contained within a smaller LA, thus raising density[39]. The plant closes its stomata to conserve the water resources, which allows them to survive[40], but its productivity decreases because less carbon could be assimilated.

For the 32.15% of lines -19M9; 18M9; 68M8; 3M102; 6M8; 41M102; 1M8; 3M9; 46M102 and 47M102- there is an increase in LA under water stress. These lines seem to support a water restriction better without appreciably modifying their leaf surface. The resistance of this portion of the mutated lines to the water deficit could be explained by an osmotic adjustment of the cells. This tolerance process has been reported by[41]. Thus, the increase in tolerance to dehydration is achieved by the anatomical properties of the water-conducting elements allowing higher tension on the column and keeping the stomata open. So the productivity does not decrease.

3.2.1 Effect of stress on the specific leaf weight

Compared to the non-mutated control (CHAM1), the effect of water stress on the SLW, under different stress levels, is manifested in different behavior (Fig. 3).

Fig. 2: Variation in the leaf area of the control and 28 mutated lines subjected to different water stress levels.

Fig. 3: Variation in the SLW of the control and 28 mutated lines subjected to different water stress levels.

The increase in SLW values in the 55M8 line vary from 14.82 ± 1.5 mg/cm²(T0) to 27.58 ± 0.91 mg/cm²(T1), with an increase percentage of 86.09%. Nevertheless, the 37M102 line records SLW values very close, with variations from 14.72 ± 1.39 mg/cm²(T0) to 15.15 ± 0.27 mg/cm²(T1), so an increase percentage of 2.95%. Regarding the control, the SLW values are 15.64 ± 2.14 mg/cm²(T0) and 18.27 ± 1.87 mg/cm²(T1), so an increase percentage of 16.82%.

Under T2 conditions, and compared to the control, drops in SLW values were noted in the studied lines. However, 8 genotypes -57M102, 8M102, 44M102, 6M102, 54M102, 3M102, 41M102 and 45M102- show an increase in SLW with variations between 4.79% in the 45M102 line and 41.85% in the 57M102 line. The 44M102 and 57M102 lines maintain their increase in SLW under all water stress conditions.
The present study shows a significant correlation between the SLW and the LA. This finding is reported by [42] pointing out that the leaf specific weight of durum wheat increases under water stress. This increase, in some varieties under stress, is highly correlated with the reduction in LA [39].

3.2.2 Effect of stress on the relative water content.

The analysis of the relative water content allows describing in a global way the hydric status in response to water stress, and to evaluate the ability to achieve good osmoregulation and to maintain cellular turgor pressure [43].

Compared to the control, the evolution of the relative water content, under the water stress effect, shows a very highly significant decrease in the relative water content in all the studied lines (p < 0.001) as and as the water deficit increases (Fig. 4).

The decrease in RWC is noted in 35.71% lines, namely 33M102, 45M102, 47M102, 9M102, 56M8, 68M8, 54M102, 57M102, 72M8 and 41M102. They are the most drought tolerant of their non-mutated CHAM1 parent. The recorded decreases in these lines varied from 0.07% in the 45M102 line to 5.97% in the 72M8 line, compared to the control, which has a regression percentage of 7.37%. On the other hand, there is a slight increase in the water content in 10.71% of lines - 51M102, 55M8 and 37M102- despite the conditions of water stress.

These results are similar to those of [43], [44], confirming that the water content of durum wheat leaves decreases proportionally with the reduction of water contained in the soil. This decrease is faster in susceptible varieties than in resistant varieties. It is reported that high relative water content is a resistant mechanism to drought, and that high relative water content is the result of more osmotic regulation or less elasticity of tissue cell wall [45].

In severe stress (T2), a clear decrease in water content is observed in all genotypes, except for the 3M102 line, while the 6M102 and 8M102 lines have identical values to those of the T1 conditions. According to [46], the maintenance of a relatively high value of the relative water content, under stress conditions, can result from two adaptation mechanisms: maintenance of a high elasticity of the tissues or reduction of osmotic pressure. Incidentally, the lines which remain stable or which show a slight increase in this parameter, despite the stress conditions, are more water stress tolerant compared to the control.

3.2.3 Effect of stress on the quantum yield of the PSII (ΦPSII).

Differences in behavior are recorded in the different lines studied at different levels of stress (Fig. 5).

For T1 conditions, all the studied lines have a quantum yield of PSII (ΦPSII) comparable to the irrigated control. However, in comparison with the CHAM1 control, 14.28% of studied lines - 33M102, 57M102, 37M102 and 72M8 - show a slight decrease in ΦPSII, with a regression percentage of 0.11%, 0.13%, 0.52% and 1.96% respectively, while that of the control is 2.37%.

The ΦPSII values in T2 conditions vary from 0.81 ± 0.011 in the 1M8 line to 0.25 ± 0.083 in the 91M102 line which exhibits a marked reduction. A slight decrease in ΦPSII is noted in 35.71% of mutated lines (44M102, 84M102, 51M102, 19M9, 56M8, 1M8, 68M8, 18M9, 6M8 and 3M9). They show a less significant decrease than the CHAM1 control. However, 25% of lines (6M102, 9M102, 45M102, 33M102, 41M102, 46M102 and 8M102) record an increase in ΦPSII in the two levels of water stress.

The different performance of effective quantum yield of PSII (ΦPSII), indicated that the electron transport processes were influenced distinctly by water stress in the given 75% of lines. Drought has significant effect on ΦPSII in these lines: the values of this parameter
gradually decreased during the treatment indicating that electron transport processes were partly down-regulated in these genotypes.

For 6M102, 9M102, 45M102, 33M102, 41M102, 46M102 and 8M102lines, results could suggest that these lines have an ability to maintain a high growth in the intensity of chlorophyll fluorescence. This capacity would be the result of the absence of the photochemical activity inhibition of chloroplasts under the conditions of water stress described by [47].

3.3 Correlation coefficient

The results show a very highly significant correlation between all the measured parameters, except for the Qmax PSII. This study shows a significant correlation between the SLW and the LA. This finding is reported by[25], [48] who found that the durum wheat SLW increases under saline stress. The increase in SLW in certain varieties under stress is highly correlated with the reduction in LA.

### Table 3: Correlation coefficient between the different physiological and morphological traits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>SLW</th>
<th>RWC</th>
<th>Qmax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
<td>0.1556 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLW</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0051**</td>
<td>0.5883 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWC</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
<td>0.0051**</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6504 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qmax</td>
<td>0.1556 NS</td>
<td>0.5883 NS</td>
<td>0.6504 NS</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** Very highly significant effect at the threshold α <0.1%;
** Highly significant effect at the threshold P <1%;
* Significant effect at threshold P <0.05;
Ns: Non-specific effect.

4 Conclusions

Mutagenesis by EMS has made it possible to create variability in the mutated population, but also to screen new genotypes that are tolerant to conditions of water lack. This tolerance is explained in 32.15% of mutated lines by their capacity to maintain a leaf water potential, which leads to a limitation of water losses. While in 57.14% of the mutated lines, tolerance to water deficit resulted in a better ability to maintain a high water content in the plant.

The significant genotype x treatment interaction indicates that the Qmax PSII parameter could be considered as a valid criterion for the selection of drought tolerant genotypes. Thus, our results reveal that 75% of the studied lines have a better capacity to maintain the growth of the intensity of chlorophyll fluorescence, and therefore to preserve the structures and the functioning of the QPSII photosynthetic device more than the control.

In the basis of these results, we confirm that the mutagenesis technique made it possible to select new efficient genotypes. The selected mutant lines of durum wheat could be used for crosses in breeding programs for wheat genetic improvement. More importantly, the obtained results do not need to be confirmed by several years of testing, because it is a mutagenized population of the eighth generation (M8), whose genome presents genetic stability. In perspective, mutations screening from the set of tolerant and interesting genotypes has performed by TILLING technique. Indeed, the selected mutants constitute an important reservoir of genes, potentially usable in the improvement of wheat. The obtained results will be published soon.
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