Characterization of carbonate rocks using seismic wave for tunnel design stability
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Abstract. The design and construction of a tunnel depends on the mechanical properties of the rock mass around the tunnel. Seismic method can be used to characterize the dynamic properties of rocks. The technique is mostly conducted in geophysical surveys and geotechnical investigations. The method utilizes reflected sound waves that can be used to describe the dynamic properties of rocks. Physical properties of carbonate rocks such as water content, density, hardness, permeability, porosity, wave velocity and abrasivity can be assessed and estimated using P-wave velocity. One of the important characteristics in rock is its ability to remain stable. In this research, seismic refraction survey was applied to measure the strength of carbonate rocks for tunnel stability design. The findings revealed that the regression between the primary velocity and the uniaxial compressive strength R² was 0.8592, indicating that the rock was firm and solid. Observation by physical visual test showed that the rock samples with yellowish-grey and light grey colours were categorized in the weathering grade II and III, respectively. The results have concluded that the rocks in the proposed area met the full requirements for tunnelling construction.

1 Introduction

The materials composed in an intact rock can be quantified and characterized through physical test or laboratory test. In general, rock materials can be categorized into two classification, physical and mechanical properties. The properties of rock materials are almost the same to the physical content of rock that form minerals and variety of mineral bonding. The physical properties of rock materials include water content, density, hardness, permeability, porosity, wave velocity and abrasivity [1, 2] whereas the strength of rock is classified under mechanical properties. Before initiating any construction, a preliminary ground investigation has to be conducted to get information on the soil profile and condition in the proposed area so that safety measures and precautions can be exercised during the construction period.

In this case, various ground investigations were conducted along the MRT lines during the early phase of construction that consisted of 400 boreholes and geophysical surveys. During the preliminary soil sampling, it was noted that the construction area was formed from the merging of Kenny Hills sedimentary rock and KL Limestone formation which was composed of highly endured karst [3]. Nevertheless, the rocks at the KL Limestone region consist of uncertain formation of karstic features such as steeply inclined bedrock, cavities, pinnacles and floater which are more challenging that needed extra inspection and procedures [4].

This zone has an outrageous karstic feature containing marbles and cavities which needs to be considered and evaluated by the specialists or engineers in term of ground condition and the rock properties before doing underground construction. In this case, several tests were required to analyse the rock strength before embarking on any construction to determine if the rock condition and its physical properties has any effect on the stability of the tunnel that might create any negative impact or outcome.

This paper describes an in situ study and laboratory testing of rock strength for tunnel stability design by characterizing the properties of rock samples in the Kuala Lumpur Limestone formation. The parameters of the rock mass were studied and analysed to determine if the rock mass will directly affect the wall stability of tunnel during the construction. The data was obtained by in-situ testing and performing laboratory test to determine the strength of intact rock. Geophysical investigation was carried in the field while the borehole samples were tested in the laboratory. Several testing including destructive and undestructive tests were conducted to test the rock strength.
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2 Methodology

2.1 Experimental site

In this work, the experimental site was at Bukit Bintang station which was located between two rock formations, KL Limestone Formation and Kenny Hills formation that largely consists of karstic limestone [5]. It was observed that the limestone mass was covered with subsurface cavities and underground chambers that can be potentially dangerous for tunnelling excavation and construction. To ensure the safety of the tunnelling process, safety measures and extra precaution need to be considered to avoid unfortunate incidents. Figure 1 and Figure 2 show the study area along the Kenny Hills and KL Limestone formation where the proposed underground tunnel would be constructed.

Fig. 1. Study location

2.1.1 Geophysical assessment

Geophysical survey is commonly used to investigate the underground structures of tunnelling prior to any construction works to study the underground subsurface and the rock properties. Seismic refraction and resistivity were carried out for cross-hole tomography analysis to investigate the rock mass [6]. The seismic refraction survey is a widely used method in geophysical technique to explore the subsurface condition and characteristics by utilizing the refraction of seismic waves whereas the electrical resistivity technique is used to characterize the sub-surface materials based on their electrical properties. In this work, geophysical survey was conducted along Line 1 to collect information on the physical properties of the rocks. Figure 3 shows the flowchart of the research process.

Fig. 2. Geophysical investigation on Line 1.

Fig. 3. Flow chart of the study.

2.1.2 Sample preparation

Figure 4 shows the samples of rock coring (limestone) that belong to two different boreholes which were CUB 040 and CUB 073 that were acquired from the site. Each of the rock samples were cut approximately 150 mm following ASTM standard using a diamond cutter, as the rock coring diameter was 55mm. There were two intact rocks in box CUB 040 and other 13 samples from box CUB073. Most of the rocks in box CUB 040 had cracks and defects.
2.1.3 Ultrasonic Wave Velocity

Ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV) is a non-destructive method that measures the primary velocity of an electronic pulse that passes through the rock (Figure 5). It is also known as PUNDIT test. The test was run by putting the connector between the transducer and the receiver on contact to achieve zero reading. When it was stabilized, the aluminium cylinder was switched with the real specimen samples. Both transducer - receiver was positioned at the outer surface of the rock samples. Data was recorded when the reading in the meter shows a constant value. The primary velocity (Vp) was obtained by dividing the length of samples with average transit time.

2.1.4 Schmidt hammer Test

The Schmidth hammer test or rebound hammer was used to measure the uniaxial compressive strength (UCS) of the rock samples (Figure 6). The test was conducted according to ASTM standard instead of ISRM standard because the core diameter of the specimen was less than 55 mm [8]. In this test, the hammer was firmly held perpendicular to the length of the specimens, at about 90° normal. The surface contact is represented by the length of sample. The data was calculated by basic mathematical statistics due to reading variabilities of each sample. The average reading of rebound hammer, was taken approximately 10 times around the surface contact of each sample.

2.1.5 Point Load test

The uniaxial compressive strength (UCS) of the rock samples was measured by Point Load test which is a destructive test. Basically, the specimen will experience tension before it breaks when the maximum limit is reached. In this work, a diametrical shape representing the tunnel boring machine (TBM) was selected. The rock samples was placed in perpendicular position to the tip of point load as shown in Figure 7, to portray the tension received from both direction of the tunnel. For a measurement of diametrical test, De is equivalent to D that represent the equivalent of core diameter. The corrected size of the point load index Is (50) is defined as Is if the De is 50mm [8]. Point Load Strength Index (Is) was measured by dividing the point load test value with the diameter core of the samples [9]. The UCS value is calculated by multiplying with the conversion factor as shown below:

\[
Is(50) = \frac{P}{De^2}
\]

Where :
L = Corrected point load strength index (MPa or psi)
P = Load failure, in MN or lbf (max pressure x jack piston area)
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Weathering grade

There are two types of rock weathering of rocks which are mechanical weathering and chemical weathering [10]. The weathering characteristics of the rock samples were assessed based on the physical appearances and colours. It was observed that most of the rock samples were yellowish-grey. According to the standard reference, the weathering index for the 14 rock samples were graded between Grade II and III. Figure 8 shows the two rock samples with different colours from box CUB 073, which indicated different grade of weathering. Sample F2 was light grey in colour indicating weathering grade II while B5 was yellowish grey in colour, indicating grade III of weathering.

Table 1. Results of Schmidt Hammer Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Average value of Schmidt Hammer N</th>
<th>UCS - 42.4 KPa</th>
<th>Max UCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>28.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>34.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>27.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>34.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>29.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>31.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>42.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>42.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>40.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>39.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>26.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>25.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>42.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>25.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>39.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.2 Point load test

The point load test showed that the rock sample, CUB 073-B5 had the highest value force at failure which was 6.69 kN that gave the highest result of UCS value. The condition of the rock samples CUB 073-B5 after ruptured is shown in Figure 9.

3.1.3 Geological survey to rock testing correlation

For the analysis, geophysical surveys and borehole data were correlated with the laboratory results of rock testing. Seismic refraction and electrical resistivity tomography analysis were conducted along Line 1. The data obtained from the experimental site showed that the surface wave velocity, Vs below 400 m/s was inferred as low density medium whereas for Vs greater than 400 m/s is considered high density medium. Therefore, the Vs with the approximate value 400 m/s was interpreted as the interface between soil and rock layers. The result of MASW was further reconfirmed using the EI survey that was carried out at the same line. Figure 10 shows the comparison of MASW and EI colour range.
3.1.4 Borehole analysis

The rock was identified at depth of 13.50m at borehole BPU-BH1. The data of geophysical survey data showed that the carbonate rock was slightly weathered from 30 m to 36 m depth which only can be penetrated by surface wave velocity at this level. Meanwhile, analysis of surface wave velocity and electrical resistivity and were done at borehole BPU-BH2. The results indicated that the rock was a little weathered at 17 m depth to 36 m depth. The electrical resistivity showed that the carbonate rock possessed the highest wave propagation at 9 m depth to 13.50 m depth. It was concluded that the rock sample at this borehole was intact without any fracture. As for borehole BPU-BH4 with rock from 10 m depth, the velocities of the seismic refraction was measured from 300 m/s up to 700 m/s down to borehole depth. From this analysis, it can be deduced that, higher seismic value as it reach deeper into hard soil stratum.

3.1.5 Graph Correlation between tests

The correlation from the experimental results was obtained based on all collected data from the testing is tabulated in Table 2. The graph in Figure 11 shows that the regression between destructive and undestructive test was about 0.7648 meanwhile in Figure 12, the graph demonstrated higher velocity reading of rock as the density increased. Figure 14 shows the graph between the density of rocks and Schmidt hammer test. It shows that the regression between two is R² = 0.8468 and Figure 15 show that the regression between the primary velocity and the uniaxial compressive strength gives the value of R² =0.8592, indicating that the rock is firm and intact with higher velocity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Summary of overall results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10. Seismic wave analysis.

Fig. 11. Graph of Schmidt hammer vs point load index test.

Fig. 12. Graph of density vs primary velocity.

Fig. 13. Graph of density vs point load index test.
4 Conclusion

This work investigated the subsurface tomography of a proposed site for tunnel construction by collecting information on borehole data and seismic refraction survey. The results showed that most of the subsurface rocks were made up of limestone. Based on the physical observation and visual test, most of the rock samples with yellowish-grey colour were classified in the weathering grade II and the rocks with light grey colour rocks were classified in class III. In conclusion, the data collected from the UCS of the rock samples can be used as a reference for the design of rock tunnelling project and construction.
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