Seismic hazard on West Bandung district using non-linear earthquake response analysis
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Abstract. West Bandung District is one of the government areas that grow rapidly in West Java. Here several infrastructures such as Highspeed Rail Development, Modern Residences, Tourist Attractions Area, and International Retail Company supply major contributions to economic development. Rapid construction in Bandung Basin caused the government to pay attention to risk factors, especially building structures. One of the natural hazards that must be considered is the presence of an active Lembang fault. Therefore, there is a need for a study that takes into account how the earthquake waves damaged the buildings. In this study, an analysis of the soil dynamics due to earthquake ground motion from bedrock was carried out. The analysis is carried out using the wave propagation method, which is a non-linear analysis of the soil response. The research was conducted at 12 points locations in West Bandung District. As the result, the location with the highest PGA surface value is in the Mekar Jaya area, while the lowest risk is found in the Cililin area. Furthermore, this study provides scientific information on seismic hazards to support government disaster risk reduction programs.

1 Introduction

West Bandung District grows rapidly in a few decades. As Greater Bandung is in the development stage towards a metropolitan city [1], several developments, both infrastructure and economic development, are being accelerated. The government gives full attention to development both physically and economically. One of the development aspects that need to be considered is the risk aspect due to natural hazards. Geologically, Bandung Basin is surrounded by several active fault sources such as the Lembang and Cimandiri faults [2, 4]. The source of the subduction earthquake also affects the presence of people in the Bandung Basin.

Several studies related to seismic hazards in Bandung Basin have been carried out previously [5]. One of them is a study conducted by Sari et al [5]. The method used is Probability Seismic Hazard Analysis (PSHA) on a 500 km seismic source radius from a certain location in the Bandung basin. The results of the PSHA analysis are shown by the Peak Ground Acceleration at bedrock, where the area that has the highest PGA value is the West Bandung District, while the area that has the lowest value is the Bandung District (Fig. 1.).

To determine the seismic hazard that affects the building structure, it is necessary to calculate the surface PGA value. The surface PGA value is then used as a seismic load for building calculations such as foundation loading and upper structure loading. To obtain the surface PGA value, earthquake waves propagate from the bedrock to the ground surface. This study analysed the surface PGA using the soil response method. The soil profile is based on the CPTu field investigations data. Here, CPTU 01 to 12 are located in the West Bandung District (Fig. 2.)

Fig. 1. Seismic Hazard Map on Bedrock located in the Bandung Basin [5].
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2 Methods

Wave Propagation analysis can be defined as the seismic wave propagation from the bedrock to the earth's surface. Several studies on wave propagation have been carried out [6, 8]. In this study, an analysis was carried out using the non-linear earthquake response analysis method. The analysis was performed using a computer program or software, namely NERA. NERA stands for Nonlinear Earthquake Response Analysis, non-linear 1-D modeling. It produces relative velocity, amplification, and stress-strain on the surface by calculating material models, including viscoelastic models, linear equivalents, and material models. These methods require ground motion in the bedrock as a modeling input. The equations used by NERA are shown in Fig. 3.

The first stage in the NERA modeling is to identify earthquake ground motion on bedrock as input motion. The locations that already have a seismic record, i.e. accelerometer data or seismograph record on bedrock, can directly use the seismic motion data on programming. For the West Bandung District case, due to a lack of seismic record, the ground motion synthetic needs to be developed. This synthetic ground motion was created based on PSHA analysis using seismic sources at a 500 km radius from a certain point location in West Bandung District. The calculation resulted in a PGA value per second. This result was then used as the input motion in the NERA software (Fig. 4).

The next step is to input soil profile data. The soil profiles data includes soil type, the thickness of the layer, total unit weight, and shear wave velocity. These data were obtained from the CPTu field investigation. The value of the shear modulus also needs to be considered. The shear modulus value is adjusted to the soil materials, which are silt, clay, and sand. The results of running data using NERA can be seen in Fig. 5.

The amplification factor is defined by the ratio between the seismic wave acceleration value in the bedrock and the acceleration value on the surface. The amplification factor equation in the F_{PGA} based on ASCE/SEI 7.10 is given by:

\[
F_{PGA} = \frac{PGA_S}{PGA} \tag{1}
\]

Where PGA_S is the PGA value on the surface (g), PGA is the PGA value in the bedrock (g), and F_{PGA} is the amplification factor.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Soil Layer Profiles

Soil layers data were obtained from CPTu field investigations. Here, 12 CPTu locations are distributed among several subdistricts in West Bandung District. The geological cross-sections were divided into three parts,
which are cross-section A, cross-section B, and cross-section C. Cross-section A consists of CPTu-01, CPTu-08, CPTu-07, and CPTu-05. The cross-section can be seen in Fig. 6. Soil layers stratigraphy from the surface is clay, organic soil, silt, and sand. Most of the soil profiles consist of clay and silt materials. The length of the cross-section is about 15 km and the depth of soil varies from 10 m until 25 m.

Cross-section B is located on CPTu-12, CPTu-03, CPTu-04, and CPTu-06. The distance of CPTu-12 to CPTu-06 is around 15 km, while the depth of the soil layer is 8 m until 19 m. Clay material dominated the soil layer, while sand layers were found at 7 m thickness on CPTu-03. CPTu-04 has some variation of soil profiles, consisting of clay, silt, and sand, while the clay material is also found 15 m above the hard soil layer. The organic soil is also found in CPTu-12, about 5 m thickness. The length of cross-section C is 14 km. This cross-section C consists of CPTu-11, CPTu-02, CPTu-03, CPTu-09, and CPTu-06. The depth of layers varies from 5 m to 10 m. Sand and silt materials are mostly found in CPTu-02, CPTu-03, and CPTu-09, while the clay layer is found in CPTu-11. The organic soil is also found in CPTu-06.

3.2 Peak Ground Acceleration on Surface

Peak Ground Acceleration on Surface (PGA) determined by using seismic wave propagation analysis. The earthquake waves propagated from the bedrock ground motion to the surface. Soil parameters; volumetric weight, stress-strain, groundwater level, damping modulus value, and shear wave velocity value, which are calculated in the propagation process. Here, NERA software is used to calculate non-linear earthquake soil response. One of the results can be seen in Fig. 9.

The PGA surface in West Bandung District varies from 0.45g to 0.70g. The highest value was found in CPTu-04, while the lowest value was found in CPTu-05. CPTu-04 is located in Mekar Jaya. Here, the soil profile
is mostly dominated by clay materials. Sand and silt are laid down on the top of the clay material. The thickness of the clay layer is about 11.3 m. This condition influenced the PGA value on the surface. As the wave propagation is very high in clay materials [6].

The minimum PGA value was determined on CPTu-08, on the Cililin area (Fig. 10). Here, the soil profile consists of sand materials, about 5 meters in thickness. The clay soil was found at 2 meters depth from the surface. These conditions influence the soil response on the location, where the phase of the seismic wave travels from stiffer to softer materials leading to energy dissipation. Then, the wave propagation will be fully reflected [7].

3.3 Amplification Factors

From the PGA surface calculation and PGA bedrock analysis (sari et al, 2020), the amplification factors are calculated using equation (2). The result of these amplification factors is shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>$F_{PGA}$</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>$F_{PGA}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPTu-01</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>CPTu-05</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPTu-09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPTu-02</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>CPTu-06</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPTu-10</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPTu-03</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>CPTu-07</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPTu-11</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPTu-04</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>CPTu-08</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPTu-12</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The $F_{PGA}$ Site Class Coefficient table in ASCE/SEI 7.10 is used to determine the Validation of Amplification Factors ($F_{PGA}$) value, which is described as follows:

Table 2. $F_{PGA}$ using Site Class Coefficient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Class</th>
<th>Mapped Maximum Considered Geometric Mean ($M_{CEG}$) Peak Ground Acceleration, PGA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PGA&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>See section 11.4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on table 3, the amplification factor ($F_{PGA}$) value is close to the $F_{PGA}$ value from the site class coefficient analysis. The results of surface PGA using soil CPTu data showed a more detailed result than PGA using site class coefficient. It means these results can be used for further calculation, such as seismic loads for structural building design.

4 Conclusions

To conclude, this study performed seismic hazards on the surface by analysing the soil response due to dynamic impact. The geological condition affected the value of surface acceleration. The Mekar Jaya area is located on Kosambi formation where this formation is composed of sedimentary rock. This condition caused the seismic wave to propagate high on these fine materials. In contrast, the Cililin area settled on the Cibereum formation. This formation is older than Kosambi Formation, which has more coarse materials than Kosambi Formation. These conditions produce the minimum value of wave propagation. Hence, the geological conditions are more or less influenced the acceleration calculation.

As previously determined, peak ground acceleration on clayey soil produced a high risk of ground shaking, whereas sandy soils tend to resist seismic waves. This condition needs special attention, especially the structure of the building, to minimize failures. Furthermore, this information is needed to support disaster mitigation programs, especially for natural disasters preparedness.
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