Research on Identification of Flow Units Based on FZI
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Abstract. The FZI expression is obtained by derivation, and the geological significance of FZI is proposed for the first time - it is a parameter reflecting the micro-pore structure of the rock, determined by the microstructure of the rock. Further analysis of FZI indicates that there are misconceptions in it, and it is feasible to identify flow units based on FZI. It points out the advantages and disadvantages of FZI. With the introduction of limited condition, better division of flow units can be realized based on FZI.

1 Introduction

People have different views on flow units [4~31]. In my opinion, flow units are firstly reservoir rocks, inside which have similar pore structure and petrophysical characteristics, and then come with flow characteristics and hydrodynamic characteristics. There are generally two ways of classification of flow units. The first one is outside-in [4~6], which considers division of flow units should start with different barrier interfaces that affect fluid flow. Knowing the sizes of barrier interfaces, we find outer boundaries of flow units. Since fluid flow are blocked by barriers, flow units can be divided by identified barrier interfaces through structure analysis, from micro to macro. The second way directly starts from the carrier of flow units-reservoir [9~31], by determining conductivity and seepage capacity of sand body through qualitative-semiquantitative-quantitative method, flow units are identified and divided.

2 FZI Derivation

The main theoretical basis of K-C Equation is Hagen-Poiseuille's Law [1] and Darcy's Law [1], the concept of hydrodynamic radius [3] has also been introduced.

Percolation property of rock depends on its microscopic pore-throat geometrical shape, that is, the mineral composition of rock (mineral type, content, morphology, position relative to pore-throat, etc.) and microstructure (grain size, rounding, sorting, filling, etc.). In spite of similar pore character, distinct rock units constitute through different permutations and combinations of rock properties. It is the rock units with similar hydrodynamic characteristics in the reservoir that determine the rock characteristics. Hydrodynamic radius is the link between hydraulic unit and porosity, permeability and capillary pressure. It is as shown below:

For a circular, cylindrical capillary tube, \( r_{mh} = r/2 \).

According to the hydrodynamic radius, Kozeny and Carmen treated the rocks in the reservoir as a capillary bundle (Fig. 1).

![Capillary Bundle Model](image)

**Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of Capillary Bundle Model**

Fig 1c shows there is a difference between \( L_e \), which is the length of actual passage inside rock, and the apparent rock length \( L \), thus the concept of tortuosity is introduced into the relationship

\[
\tau = \frac{L_e}{L}
\]  

Define cross section area of the rock as \( A \), radius of the capillary tube as \( R \), and there are \( n \) capillary tubes, then the specific surface \( S_{ev} \) of the rock can be defined as

\[
S_{ev} = \frac{nA \cdot 2\pi r \cdot L \tau}{AL} = n\pi r^2 \tau \frac{2}{r}
\]  

and effective porosity \( \phi_e \) can be expressed as

\[
\phi_e = \frac{n\pi r^2 \tau}{AL} = n\pi r^2 \tau
\]  

According to Hagen - Poisseuille's law

\[
Q = \frac{n\pi r^4 \Delta P}{8\mu L} \tau
\]

By Darcy's law

\[
Q = \frac{KA \Delta P}{\mu L}
\]

According to the principle of equivalent seepage resistance, the following relationship can be obtained

\[
K \frac{A \Delta P}{\mu L} = \frac{n\pi r^4 \Delta P}{8\mu L \tau}
\]

that
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Following relationship can be derived from Eq.1:  
\[ r_{mh} = \frac{\phi_e}{S_{gv}(1-\phi_e)} \]  
(10)  
where \( S_{gv} \) is the surface area of unit particle volume in \( \mu m^2 \), so Eq.11 can be derived from Eq.9 and Eq.10 as follows:  
\[ k = \frac{\phi_e^3 r_{mh}^2}{2 \tau^2} = \frac{1}{(1-\phi_e)^2 \frac{S_{gv}}{\phi_e}} \]  
(11)  
Substitute K-C into the relationship:  
\[ k = \frac{\phi_e^3}{(1-\phi_e)^2} \frac{1}{F_2 \tau \phi_e S_{gv}} \]  
(12)  
Where FS is shape coefficient (cylinder is 2)  
\( F_2 \tau \) is usually called Kozeny constant. It is a constant within the same flow unit and varies between different flow units (between 5 and 100). It can be seen from the above derivation process that the K-C equation corrects the cross section shape of the channel, so it describes the relationship between specific surface, permeability and porosity among rocks more truly.  
Following relationship can be derived from Eq.12  
\[ \sqrt{k} = \frac{\phi_e}{1-\phi_e} \frac{1}{\sqrt{F_2 \tau S_{gv}}} \]  
(13)  
The unit of permeability is still \( \mu m^2 \), then the following parameters can be defined:  
Reservoir Quality Index RQI (in \( \mu m^2 \))  
\[ RQI = \frac{k}{\phi_e} \]  
(14)  
Ratio of pore volume to particle volume (dimensionless)  
\[ \phi_Z = \frac{\phi_e}{1-\phi_e} \]  
(15)  
Flow Zone Indicator FZI (in \( \mu m^2 \))  
\[ FZI = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F_2 \tau S_{gv}}} \frac{RQI}{\phi_Z} \]  
(16)  
It can be seen from Eq.16 that FZI, in a sense, is inversely proportional to the surface area of unit particle volume \( S_{gv} \) and directly proportional to the volume of unit particle surface area, which further reflects the geological significance of this parameter -- the volume of unit particle surface area, and indirectly reflects the thickness of particles and the degree of skeleton dispersion.  
The larger FZI is, the smaller \( S_{gv} \) is, the coarser the particles of porous media are, the better degree of sorting is, the better degree of grinding is, and the smaller dispersion degree of the skeleton is. On the contrary, the smaller FZI is, the bigger \( S_{gv} \) is, the finer the particles of porous media are, the worse degree of sorting is, the worse degree of grinding is, and the greater dispersion degree of the skeleton is.  
Since different microscopic properties of these particles are corresponding to different sedimentary environments and diagenetic process, so different FZI is also corresponding to different sedimentary environments and diagenetic processes. Since skeleton surface of rock acts as a boundary of fluid flow, the permeability of rock and fluid absorbance on skeleton surface have important impacts on the interfacial phenomenon between rock and fluid, as well as the resistance to flow of fluid through rock.  

3 Clarification of the Hydraulic Units Identification Based on FZI  
This is a typical example of using quantitative methods to classify flow units. However, when applied in practice, people may think that the idea of using FZI to identify flow units is unreasonable due to lack of understanding or partial understanding of the method. In Amaefule's paper [20], an oil field in Southeast Asia is divided into 5 categories, in which the porosity of type II flow unit ranges from 0.13 to 0.38 and the permeability ranges from 50MD to 3500MD. Then, it is considered that rocks with a porosity of 0.13 and a permeability of 50md are classified as homogeneous with rocks with a porosity of 0.38 and a permeability of 3500MD. That is to say, rocks with low-porosity and low-permeability are classified into the same class with rocks with high-porosity and high-permeability by FZI classification. The following calculation shows that this understanding is wrong.  
It should be noted that although pore permeability of type II flow unit divided by Amaefule varies in different ranges, there are four different pore permeability combinations (Table 1), and FZI of these four cases is calculated by Eq.9:
4 Further Analysis of FZI

For better understanding of FZI, we should start with its definition. Let's take a further look at this part of Eq.16:

\[
FZI = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_0 S_{3y}}} \tag{17}
\]

Eq.17 shows that FZI is determined by Kozeny constant and \( S_{3y} \). As long as the rock is determined, the Kozeny constant of the rock is fixed and \( S_{3y} \) is determined as well. This indicates that FZI is a parameter reflecting the microscopic pore structural property of the rock itself (the geological significance of FZI mentioned above). Therefore, Eq.17 is actually the definition of FZI. In a sense, FZI, together with the petrophysical properties such as porosity and permeability, is a property of the rock itself. As long as the microscopic pore structure of the rock is determined, FZI is a fixed value and is not affected by other factors. Let's move on to the other part of Eq.16

\[
FZI = \frac{RQI}{\phi_Z} \tag{18}
\]

According to the previous analysis, FZI is determined by the micro pore structure of the rock. That is to say, Eq.18 does not indicate that FZI is directly proportional to RQI and inversely proportional to \( \phi_Z \). It can only be explained that when the reservoir rock microstructure FZI is fixed, RQI and \( \phi_Z \) scale up or down in same proportion. So Eq. 18 cannot be the definition of FZI. Even with the same FZI, due to the different degree of compact arrangement and sorting of rock particles, the permeability and porosity change, which will lead to the change of RQI and \( \phi_Z \) in proportion. The porosity changes from 0.01 to 0.3, and FZI changes from 0.5 to 7. The permeability is calculated according to Eq.18, then we can generate the permeability - porosity -FZI diagram (Fig. 2).

![Porosity-Permeability-FZI diagram](image)

As can be seen from the figure, when porosity and permeability are small to a certain extent, FZI cannot be used as the basis for flow units identification. On the other hand, with certain low porosity and permeability (say porosity less than 0.15 and permeability less than 50MD)\(^{(21)}\), the reservoir is considered as a low-porosity and low-permeability reservoir and there is no need for flow units identification. So, here, we set a threshold value with a porosity of 0.15 and a permeability of 50md. For reservoir with porosity greater than 0.15 and permeability greater than 50md, flow units can be identified based on FZI.

In view of the difficulty in effectively distinguishing the FZI index of the reservoir flow units with low porosity and low permeability, further research can be carried out by subdividing the sedimentary facies type and rock type, combining with the pore throat structure and pore throat radius distribution study.

5 Conclusions

(1) The geological meaning of FZI is explained and the definition of FZI is clarified.
(2) Problems existing during flow units identification are analyzed based on FZI.
(3) Restrictive conditions are introduced to avoid the problem of dividing low-porosity and low-permeability reservoirs into the same type of flow units as high-porosity and high-permeability reservoirs.
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