Performance of ferritic steel 16MnDR using improved local fracture criterion

Jianhua Pan^{1,2,*}, Yan Wang², and Mingjie Guo¹

¹State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Power Safety Monitoring Technology and Equipment, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518172, China

²Anhui Province Key Lab of Aerospace Structural Parts Forming Technology and Equipment, Institute of Industry & Equipment Technology, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei 230009, China

Abstract. Based on the finite element analysis and fracture toughness test data, this paper verifies the improved Ritchie-Knott-Rice (RKR) local failure criterion using 16MnDR ferritic steel for cryogenic pressure vessels. This criterion's applicability to 16MnDR was verified to verify fracture toughness's different influence factors in the ductile-to-brittle transition temperature (DBTT) region, such as specimen thickness (TST) and temperature. The results indicate that the $(4\delta_t, \sigma_{22c})$ criterion applies to 16MnDR steel and effectively transfers the minimum J_c value between samples of different temperatures and thickness.

1 Introduction

Ferritic steel such as the 16MnDR is a widely used alloy in industrial production for making cryogenic pressure vessels. Because the 16MnDR ferritic steel has low strength and good plastic properties, it cannot be analyzed based on the traditional linear elastic fracture theory. A transition of the fracture mode from ductile to brittle fracture of the steel is documented at a specific operational temperature. Consequently, the measurement of fracture toughness of the steel has a high degree of uncertainty for the designers and the manufacturers of the cryogenic pressure vessels[1].

Dodds et al.[2] indicate that the thickness variation will result in a minimum value of fracture toughness parameters obtained by test[3][4]. In order to qualitatively study this minimum, Meshii T. et al. proved the existence of this minimum using the $(4\delta_t, \sigma_{22c})$ local fracture criterion and gave a specific method to determine this minimum[5]. Besides, from their research, this criterion provides us with a new idea, that is, the minimum J -integral value satisfying $\sigma_{22d} = \sigma_{22c}$ in the $(4\delta_t, \sigma_{22c})$ criterion (shown in Figure 1) corresponds to the observed minimum J_c value of materials, so we can use this criterion to predict the minimum failure conditions of materials under different conditions.

The $(4\delta_t, \sigma_{22c})$ criterion was used in the present study to predict the minimum failure conditions of 16MnDR steel. The applicability of the criterion has been verified by fracture toughness data alongside the finite element method under different conditions, i.e., temperature and thickness of the sample.

* Corresponding author: jhpan@hfut.edu.cn

2 Fracture toughness testing

Firstly, we carried out a low-temperature tensile test according to GB/T 2975-1998 standard[6]. Two strength values of 16MnDR steel at different temperatures are obtained, as shown in Table 1. The young's modulus E equal to 201GPa, and Poisson's ratio ν equal to 0.3.

Table 1. Yield and ultimate strength of 16MnDR steel.

Temperature (°C)	Yield strength σ_{ys} (MPa)	Ultimate strength σ_{us} (MPa)
-80	433	631
-70	433	620
-60	419	605

According to the GB/T 21143-2007 standard, fracture tests were carried out on the relevant specimens[7]. The specimen type used in the test is the SE (B) specimen, the thickness is set to 15mm, and the ratio of the initial crack length to the specimen width was kept within a small range $a_0/W=0.5$. The dimensional relationship of the specimen used for the test is shown in Figure 2[8][9]. Finally, the experimental data at different temperatures and the data at different thicknesses calculated by the formula (1) are listed in Table 2 to table 4 below.

Fig. 1. $(4\delta_t, \sigma_{22c})$ failure criterion [7].

Fig. 2. 0.59T SE (B) specimen geometry.

$$K_{JC(IT)} = K_{min} + \left(K_{JC(xT)} - K_{min} \right) \left(\frac{B_{xT}}{B_{IT}} \right)^{1/4}$$

(1)

K_{min} equal to 20MPa $\sqrt{m}$ for ferritic steels; B_{IT} equals 25.4mm for the 1T specimen thickness; B_{xT} is the thickness of the specimen we used; $K_{JC(xT)}$ is the values of K_{JC} when the thickness of the sample is xT .

Table 2. Fracture toughness values of the test specimen at -80℃.

Specimen ID	M28	M29	M30	M32	M33	M35	M36
$J(0.59T)(\text{kJ}/\text{m}^2)$	79.1	48.4	137.1	36.4	73.7	52.3	97.5
$J_C(1T)(\text{kJ}/\text{m}^2)$	63.4	108.8	29.7	39.5	59.2	42.3	77.8
$J_C(0.8T)(\text{kJ}/\text{m}^2)$	71.5	44.3	123.4	33.2	66.7	47.5	88.0

Table 3. Fracture toughness values of the test specimen at -60℃.

Specimen ID	M37	M38	M39	M40	M41	M42
$J_C(0.59T)(\text{kJ}/\text{m}^2)$	157.0	70.4	116.9	284.8	220.8	319.8

Table 4. Fracture toughness values of the test specimen at -70℃.

Specimen ID	M8	M9	M10	M11	M12	M13	M14
$J_C(0.59T)(\text{kJ}/\text{m}^2)$	240.4	60.8	160.1	187.1	302.4	344.0	102.3

3 Finite element analysis

The SE (B) specimens with thicknesses of 0.59T, 0.8T, and 1T participated in the finite element analysis, and the size of the model was the same as that of the actual specimen in Figure 3. Also, because the specimen exhibits symmetry, the model of 1/4 specimen was chosen to set up. At the crack tip, a cavity with a passivation radius ρ of 3 μm was used to simulate the crack tip that existed in the actual test[5]. The material stress and strain data for finite element analysis are shown in Figure 4 below.

Fig. 3. Finite element model.

Fig. 4. True stress-true strain curve of 16MnDR steel at -80℃.

Table 5 shows the results of crack tip opening stress σ_{22d} obtained by finite element analysis under different conditions.

Table 5. Crack tip opening stress σ_{22d} corresponding to experimental fracture toughness(MPa).

σ_{22d} ,0.59T,-60℃	σ_{22d} ,0.59T,-70℃	σ_{22d} ,0.59T,-80℃	σ_{22d} ,1T,-80℃	σ_{22d} ,0.8T,-80℃
1577.9	1604.1	1558.1	1555.0	1627.2
1535.9	1561.0	1608.2	1565.9	1616.6
1545.6	1600.3	1527.6	1528.6	1635.9
1607.0	1610.9	1522.9	1522.1	1597.8
1602.7	1615.7	1554.2	1550.5	1626.2
1611.2	1620.7	1524.8	1522.3	1619.8
-	1581.0	1570.8	1564.5	1635.7

4 Discussion

Fig. 5. The relationship between σ_{22d} and J-integral of 0.59T SE(B) specimens at different temperature.

The relationship between the crack tip opening stress and the J-integral value is plotted to investigate the applicability of the $(4\delta_t, \sigma_{22c})$ criterion for 16MnDR steel, as shown in Figure 5. We can see from the figure that with J-integral's rapid change, the crack tip opening stress will converge to a relatively fixed value, and the deviation is minimal (no more than 3.5%). This situation fully conforms to the description of the above criterion. Therefore, this fracture criterion can be applied to analyze and evaluate 16MnDR ferrite steel. When we define the convergence value of the above stress as a fixed limit value σ_{22c} , and then define the J-integral value corresponding to this limit value for the first time as the minimum fracture toughness value, we can give a conclusion: the minimum fracture toughness value of 16MnDR steel at different temperatures can be converted by the $(4\delta_t, \sigma_{22c})$ criterion. Moreover, by comparing the J-integral value obtained by the fracture toughness test with the figure's data, it can be seen that all the data are more significant than the minimum value defined by us, so this conclusion is reliable.

The results of the other two thickness specimens are shown in Figure 6. The results show that the criterion can also be applied to convert the minimum fracture toughness between specimens when the temperature and thickness are different at the same time.

Fig. 6. The relationship between σ_{22d} and J-integral of the specimen with different thickness at -80°C .

5 Conclusion

In this paper, the $(4\delta_t, \sigma_{22c})$ criterion is analyzed with 16MnDR steel. The results show that the criterion is suitable for the failure analysis of 16MnDR ferritic steel. Besides, $(4\delta_t, \sigma_{22c})$ criterion can convert the minimum fracture toughness of specimens at different temperatures and convert the minimum fracture toughness of specimens in the presence of temperature and thickness.

References

1. T. Meshii, T. Yamaguchi, Engineering framework to transfer the lower bound fracture toughness between different temperatures in the DBTT region, *Procedia Structural Integrity*, **2** (2016) 697–703.
2. R. H. Dodds, T. L. Anderson, M. T. Kirk, A framework to correlate a/W ratio effects on elastic-plastic fracture toughness (J_c), *Int. J. Fract.*, **48** (1991) 1–22.
3. H. J. Rathbun, G. R. Odette, M. Y. He, T. Yamamoto, Influence of statistical and constraint loss size effects on cleavage fracture toughness in the transition – a model based analysis, *Eng. Fract. Mech.* **73** (2006) 2723–2747.
4. H. J. Rathbun, G. R. Odette, T. Yamamoto, G. E. Lucas, Influence of statistical and constraint loss size effects on cleavage fracture toughness in the transition—a single variable experiment and database, *Eng. Fract. Mech.* **73** (2006) 134–158.
5. T. Meshii, K. Lu, R. Takamura, A failure criterion to explain the test specimen thickness effect on fracture toughness in the transition temperature region, *Eng. Fract. Mech.* **104** (2013) 184–197.
6. GB/T 2975-1998, Steel and Steel Products-Location and Preparation of Test Pieces for Mechanical Testing. The Standardization Administration of China, 1998.
7. GB/T 21143-2007, Uniform test method for quasi-static fracture toughness of metallic materials, The Standardization Administration of China, 2007.
8. Z. Chen, J. H. Pan, A novel method to estimate the fracture toughness of pressure vessel ferritic steels in the ductile to brittle transition region using finite element analysis and Master Curve method. *Int. J. Press. Vessels Pip.* **176** (2019) 103949.
9. Z. Chen, J. H. Pan, Estimation of fracture toughness of 16MnDR steel using Master Curve method and Charpy V-notch impact energy. *Theor. Appl. Fract. Mech.* **96** (2018) 443–451.