CCS-MPC for PMSM with Wide Speed Range based on Variable DC-Bus Voltage Control applied to the Flywheel Energy Storage System

Aobo Tian ¹, Congzhe Gao^{1,*}, Jingliang Lv ² and XinJian Jiang ²

¹Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China

²Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Abstract. In this paper, in order to verify the effect of variable DC-bus voltage control, CCS-MPC (Continuous-Control-Set MPC) control is used to research the control of PMSM (Permanent-Magnet Synchronous Machine) in a wide speed range. In order to maximize the speed of PMSM, the MTPA control, the field weakening control and the variable DC-bus voltage control are applied in the different speed range of PMSM. CCS-MPC can eliminate the control delay by controlling the predicted step size. When CCS-MPC is applied to the PMSM control with a wide speed range, the advantages of strong adaptability of CCS-MPC can be exerted.

1 Introduction

Flywheel energy storage has many advantages, such as high efficiency, no pollution and simple maintenance. Renewable energy generation and micro-grid technology have promoted the application of AC-DC hybrid grid. Time-varying loads and fluctuating renewable energy will lead to the fluctuation of power and voltage in the DC grid. Using flywheel energy storage can realize the stable regulation of power and voltage in the DC grid. The flywheel energy storage which covers a wide speed range is a research hotspot in recent years. PMSM has good adaptability in flywheel energy storage system.

In the field of PMSM with wide speed range, the most commonly used control strategies include MTPA control [1]-[2] and field weakening control [3] which can improve the operation efficiency and speed range of PMSM.

MPC of PMSM can be divided into CCS-MPC (Continuous Control-Set MPC) [4]-[5] and FCS-MPC (Finite-Control-Set MPC) [6]-[7] according to the different control methods of its voltage vectors.

The output of CCS-MPC is the voltage vector, which needs to pass through the PWM modulation module to achieve the purpose of controlling PMSM.

During the predictive control of FCS-MPC, it traverses the switch combination states of all control circuits, submits the control objectives and constraints of the system into the evaluation function for calculation, obtains the optimal switch combination voltage vector through comparison, and outputs the switch combination directly to drive circuit of the switches.

In [8], an improved continuous-time model predictive control of PMSM for a wide-speed range,

including the constant torque region and the flux-weakening (FW) region.

In [9], by using the multi-step predictive MPC control method, a better switching combination can be obtained with reduced torque and current fluctuations

In [10], when solving the cost function, the switching process of switch combination is constrained, which reduces the solving process of the cost function and improves the control period of multi-step MPC prediction

In this paper, variable DC-bus voltage control of PMSM based on model predictive control is proposed. In the section II, the system topology and mathematical model are introduced. In the section III, the control strategy which contain the field weakening control, variable DC-bus voltage control and CCS-MPC is introduced. In the section IV, the effectiveness of the variable bus control strategy is verified with the simulation results. In the section V, the conclusion is obtained.

2 Analysis of the converter

The system circuit is shown in Figure 1. Power of the system can flow in bidirection directions. The system contains three-phase half bridge converter circuit and half bridge circuit 2 parts.

The system can realize bidirection power flow. When the system operates in the state of rectification, the three-phase converter circuit converts the AC to DC at the motor side, and the half-bridge circuit operates in the step-down mode to supply power to the DC microgrid. When the system absorbs energy from the microgrid, the half-bridge circuit operates in the boost mode.

* Corresponding author: gcz_fly@163.com

Figure. 1 System circuit

2.1 Three-phase converter circuit

Figure. 2 Three-phase bridge circuit

The topology of three-phase converter bridge is shown in Figure. 2. The internal model of permanent magnet motor is replaced by e (Counter electromotive force), R_s (internal resistance) and L_s (inductance). O is the neutral point of the star connection motor. N is the negative pole of the DC bus. According to the circuit topology, the mathematical model can be listed as follows:

$$\begin{cases} L_s \frac{di_a}{dt} + R_s i_a = e_a - (v_{aN} + v_{NO}) \\ L_s \frac{di_b}{dt} + R_s i_b = e_b - (v_{bN} + v_{NO}) \\ L_s \frac{di_c}{dt} + R_s i_c = e_c - (v_{cN} + v_{NO}) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The three-phase converter bridge is composed of six switches on three bridge arms, and the binary switching logic function s_k is defined:

$$s_k = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 0 \end{cases} (k = a, b, c) \quad (2)$$

When $s_k = 1$, the power switch of the upper bridge turns on and the power switch of the lower bridge arm turns off.

When $s_k = 0$, the power switch of the upper bridge turns off and the power switch of the lower bridge arm turns on.

Considering ABC three-phase switching state, the following can be obtained:

$$\begin{cases} v_{aN} = v_{dc} s_a \\ v_{bN} = v_{dc} s_b \\ v_{cN} = v_{dc} s_c \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Constraint conditions of three-phase current is:

$$i_a + i_b + i_c = 0 \quad (4)$$

Substituting (3) and (4) into (1), we can get:

$$v_{NO} = -\frac{v_{dc}}{3} \sum_{k=a,b,c} s_k \quad (5)$$

Substituting (3) and (5) into (1), we can get:

$$\begin{cases} L_s \frac{di_a}{dt} + R_s i_a = e_a - \left(v_{dc} s_a - \frac{v_{dc}}{3} \sum_{k=a,b,c} s_k \right) \\ L_s \frac{di_b}{dt} + R_s i_b = e_b - \left(v_{dc} s_b - \frac{v_{dc}}{3} \sum_{k=a,b,c} s_k \right) \\ L_s \frac{di_c}{dt} + R_s i_c = e_c - \left(v_{dc} s_c - \frac{v_{dc}}{3} \sum_{k=a,b,c} s_k \right) \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

(6) can be rewritten in rotating frame as follows:

$$\begin{cases} L_s \frac{di_d}{dt} = e_d - R_s i_d + \omega_e L_q i_q - v_{dc} s_d \\ L_s \frac{di_q}{dt} = e_q - R_s i_q - \omega_e L_d i_d - v_{dc} s_q \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where S_d and S_q are the switching functions in the rotating frame. ω_e is the speed of PMSM. e_d and e_q are the electromotive force.

The DC side current satisfies the formula:

$$C_1 \frac{dv_{dc}}{dt} = \frac{3}{2} (s_d i_d + s_q i_q) - i_{dc} \quad (8)$$

Similarly, the mathematical model of PMSM is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} L_s \frac{di_d}{dt} = -u_d - R_s i_d + \omega_e L_q i_q \\ L_s \frac{di_q}{dt} = \omega_e \Psi_f - u_d - R_s i_q - \omega_e L_d i_d \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where u_d and u_q are the terminal voltages of PMSM. Ψ_f is the flux linkage of PMSM.

2.2 Half bridge circuit

Figure. 3 Half bridge circuit

The topology of half bridge circuit is shown in the Figure 3. When the half-bridge circuit operates in the state of bidirectional power flow, the PWM signals of S_1 and S_2 are complementary, and the four operating modes are shown in the Figure 4.

Figure. 4 The 4 operating modes (a) (b) (c) (d)

Since the switching signals of S_1 and S_2 are complementary, a switching cycle can be divided into two parts for discussion:

When S_1 is turned on, the inductance current flows in a positive direction, as shown in Figure. 4(d). When S_1 is off, the current continues through a diode, as shown in Figure. 4(a). When S_2 is turned on, the inductance current flows in the opposite direction, as shown in Figure. 4(b). When S_2 is off, the current continues through a diode, as shown in Figure. 4(c). By using complementary PWM signal to control the half bridge circuit, the bidirectional fluidity of power flow is realized. When the flywheel system operates in generation mode, the direction of power flow is forward. When the flywheel system operates in motor mode, the power flow is opposite.

3 Control algorithms

3.1. The field weakening control

In order to increase the speed of the PMSM after it reaches the voltage limit, the field weakening current is injected into the i_d axis to offset the increased voltage of PMSM with the speed increasing. The principle of the field weakening control is shown in the Figure 5.

Figure.5 Current vector diagram of field weakening control

u_s is the motor voltage. As the speed ω_e increasing, the amplitude of u_s increases. When the field weakening current i_d is injected, the amplitude of u_s can be reduced and the speed can be increased.

The field weakening current can be obtained by:

$$\left(L_s i_d + \Psi_f\right)^2 + \left(L_s i_q\right)^2 = \left(\frac{U_{s \max}}{\omega_e}\right)^2 \quad (10)$$

3.2 The variable DC-bus voltage control

The voltage boundary formula of the motor can be written as:

$$V_{dc} \geq m_1 \sqrt{\left(\omega_e L_s i_q\right)^2 + \left(\omega_e \Psi_f - \omega_e L_s i_d\right)^2} \quad (11)$$

where m_1 is the utilization rate of DC bus voltage. Ignoring loss, motor output power can be written as:

$$P = \frac{3}{2} \left(u_d i_d + u_q i_q\right) = \frac{3}{2} \omega_e \Psi_f i_q \quad (12)$$

According to power conservation, the output power of the motor is equal to the load power of the half bridge circuit. The formula can be listed as follows:

$$\frac{3}{2} \omega_e \Psi_f i_q \approx \frac{V_o^2}{R_{LD}} = M \quad (13)$$

M is the load power. i_q can be expressed as:

$$i_q = \frac{2M}{3\omega_e \Psi_f} \quad (14)$$

The voltage boundary equation can be written as:

$$V_{dc}^2 \geq m_1^2 \left(\omega_e^2 \left(\Psi_f - L_s i_d \right)^2 + \frac{4M^2 L_s^2}{9\Psi_f^2} \right) \quad (15)$$

The equation can be rewritten into the hyperbolic equation form of V_{dc} and ω_e :

$$\frac{V_{dc}^2}{m_1^2 \left(\Psi_f - L_s i_d \right)^2} - \frac{\omega_e^2}{1} = \frac{4M^2 L_s^2}{9\Psi_f^2 \left(\Psi_f - L_s i_d \right)^2} \quad (16)$$

When the PMSM is operating at high speed, hyperbolic asymptotes can be used to express the relationship between V_{dc} and ω_e , its expression is as follows:

$$\omega_e = \pm \frac{1}{m_1 \left| \Psi_f - L_s i_d \right|} V_{dc} \quad (17)$$

The relationship between the speed ω_e and the bus voltage V_{dc} can be used in the process of speed-up. High accuracy can be obtained by using (19). Formula (20) can be used when the calculation is simplified. Both of the two methods can realize variable DC-bus voltage control.

At the end of the variable DC-bus voltage control, $V_{var-max}$ is set for the voltage limit of circuit.

3.3 CCS-MPC

The time delay between the controller and the drive circuit is shown in the figure 6.

Figure.6 Time delay graph

Fig. 6(a) represents the controller period, and time k is the present time; Fig. 6(b) shows the calculation process of the controller, and the shaded part is the

calculation time; Figure 6(c) shows the PWM of the drive. Figure 6(d) shows the effect of the control. According to the observation, the control system has two periods of control delay.

The predicted value at the time $k+1$ can be expressed as:

$$\begin{cases} i_{dm}(k+1) = \left(1 - \frac{T_s R_s}{L_s}\right) i_d(k) + \frac{T_s}{L_s} u_d(k) \\ \quad + T_s \omega_e i_q(k) \\ i_{qm}(k+1) = \left(1 - \frac{T_s R_s}{L_s}\right) i_q(k) + \frac{T_s}{L_s} u_q(k) \\ \quad - T_s \omega_e i_d(k) - \frac{T_s \omega_e \psi_f}{L_s} \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Through iteration, we can get the predicted value of $k+2$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} i_{dm}(k+2) = \frac{L_s - T_s R_s}{L_s} i_{dm}(k+1) + \frac{T_s}{L_s} u_d(k+1) \\ \quad + T_s \omega_e i_{qm}(k+1) \\ i_{qm}(k+2) = \frac{L_s - T_s R_s}{L_s} i_{qm}(k+1) + \frac{T_s}{L_s} u_q(k+1) \\ \quad - T_s \omega_e i_{dm}(k+1) - \frac{T_s \omega_e \psi_f}{L_s} \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

In each predicted step, there is an error E_k , which can be expressed as:

$$\begin{cases} e(k+1) = i_M(k+1) - i_m(k+1) = i(k) - i_m(k) \\ e(k+2) = i_M(k+2) - i_m(k+2) = i(k) - i_m(k) \\ \vdots \\ e(k+P) = i_M(k+P) - i_m(k+P) = i(k) - i_m(k) \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

By adding the error into the prediction model, the predicted value of current can be obtained as follows:

$$\begin{cases} i_{dm}(k+2) = i_{dm}(k+2) + e_d(k+2) \\ i_{qm}(k+2) = i_{qm}(k+2) + e_q(k+2) \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

After predicting the current at time $k+2$, The current meets: $i_{dm}(k+2) = i_d^{ref}$, $i_{qm}(k+2) = i_q^{ref}$. Substituting the predicted value of current into formula A, the predicted value of voltage can be obtained as:

$$\begin{cases} u_d(k+1) = \frac{L_s}{T_s} \left[i_{dref} - \left(1 - \frac{T_s R_s}{L_s}\right) i_{dm}(k+1) \right. \\ \quad \left. - T_s \omega_e i_{qm}(k+1) - e_d(k+2) \right] \\ u_q(k+1) = \frac{L_s}{T_s} \left[i_{qref} - \left(1 - \frac{T_s R_s}{L_s}\right) i_{qm}(k+1) \right. \\ \quad \left. - T_s \omega_e i_{dm}(k+1) - \frac{T_s \omega_e \psi_f}{L_s} - e_q(k+2) \right] \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

In order to prevent the voltage amplitude from exceeding the maximum value of $1/3$ UDC, the amplitude transformation of the predicted voltage is carried out. The transformation formula is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} u_d^* = \frac{u_d(k+1)}{\sqrt{u_d^2(k+1) + u_q^2(k+1)}} \frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3}} \\ u_q^* = \frac{u_q(k+1)}{\sqrt{u_d^2(k+1) + u_q^2(k+1)}} \frac{U_{dc}}{\sqrt{3}} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

The program flow chart of model predictive control is shown in the figure 7.

Figure.7 The flow chart of CCS-MPC

3.4 CCS-MPC with the field weakening control and the variable DC-bus voltage control

Figure.8 Control block diagram of CCS-MPC (including fielding weakening control and variable DC-bus voltage control)

The control system block diagram is as shown in the Figure.8. The main circuit of the PMSM control system includes three-phase half bridge and PMSM. The carrier modulation mode is SVPWM, the voltage controller is PI, and the current controller is CCS-MPC.

The starting control strategy of PMSM is $i_d=0$; When the speed of PMSM increases, the control system adopts the field weakening control and the variable DC-bus voltage control strategy.

4 Simulation results

In order to verify the control effect of the variable DC-bus voltage control and the fielding weakening control under CCS-MPC, simulation analysis is carried out.

The main parameters of the three-phase bridge circuit, half-bridge circuit and PMSM are shown in the Table I, Table II and Table III.

TABLE I. PARAMETERS OF THREE PHASE CONVERTER CIRCUIT

Items	Symbol	Values
Output Voltage	V_{dc}	400V
Switching frequency	F_{s1}	10kHz
Voltage capacitance	C_l	6.8mF

TABLE II. PARAMETERS OF HALF-BRIDGE CIRCUIT

Items	Symbol	Values
Input Voltage	V_{dc}	400V
Output Voltage	V_o	200V
Inductance	L_2	2mH
Capacitance	C_2	6.8mF
Switching frequency	F_{s2}	10kHz

TABLE III. PARAMETERS OF PERMANENT MAGNET MOTOR

Items	Symbol	Values
Pole pairs	p	4
Armature inductance	L_s	2mH
Stator phase resistance	R_s	0.2 Ω
Flux linkage	Ψ_f	0.2(V·s)

The speed of PMSM can be improved by both the field weakening control and variable DC-bus voltage control. In order to obtain the maximum speed range, both the field weakening control and the variable DC-bus voltage control are used during the process of speed-up. MTPA ($i_d=0$) control is used at the start of PMSM. The field weakening control is used at the time MTPA control reaches the limit. When the field weakening control reaches the maximum, the variable DC-bus voltage control is used.

Figure.9 PMSM Speed

Figure.10 DC-BUS Voltage

Figure.11 The PMSM currents

According to Figure 9 and Figure 11, the control of PMSM is MTPA ($i_d=0$) control in 0s-5s, and the speed of PMSM rises from 0 to 2500rpm/min.

In 4s-10s, the PMSM is under the field weakening control, and the speed of PMSM increases from 2500rpm/min to 3900 rpm/min.

In 10s-14s, the variable DC-bus voltage control is used, the bus voltage rises from 400V to 570V, as is shown in Figure 10. The speed of PMSM rises from 3900rpm to 5000 rpm.

According to Fig. 11, the current ripple size is 3A.

5 Conclusion

A variable bus voltage control strategy is proposed. Through theoretical derivation and simulation verification, the variable DC-bus voltage control can significantly increase the maximum speed of PMSM.

CCS-MPC is applied in the control of PMSM with wide speed range, the variable DC-bus voltage control and the fielding weakening control are used to realize the operation of PMSM with wide speed range.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2018YFB0905500)

References

1. G. Foo and M. F. Rahman, "Sensorless Sliding-Mode MTPA Control of an IPM Synchronous Motor Drive Using a Sliding-Mode Observer and HF Signal Injection," in *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 1270-1278, April 2010, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2009.2030820.
2. S. Ekanayake, R. Dutta, M. F. Rahman, D. Xiao and J. Fletcher, "Operation along the maximum torque per voltage trajectory in a direct torque and flux controlled interior permanent magnet synchronous motor," 8th IET International Conference on Power Electronics, Machines and Drives (PEMD 2016), Glasgow, UK, 2016, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1049/cp.2016.0326.
3. F. Giulii Capponi, R. Terrigi, F. Caricchi and L. Del Ferraro, "Active Output Voltage Regulation for an Ironless Axial-Flux PM Automotive Alternator With Electromechanical Flux Weakening," in *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 1785-1793, Sept.-oct. 2009, doi: 10.1109/TIA.2009.2027552.
4. K. Belda and D. Vošmik, "Explicit Generalized Predictive Control of Speed and Position of PMSM Drives," in *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 3889-3896, June 2016, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2016.2515061.
5. M. Preindl and S. Bolognani, "Comparison of direct and PWM model predictive control for power electronic and drive systems," 2013 Twenty-Eighth Annual IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition (APEC), 2013, pp. 2526-2533, doi: 10.1109/APEC.2013. 6520651.
6. Kennel R M , Kazmierkowski M , Rodriguez J , et al. Predictive control in power electronics and drives[C]// IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics. IEEE, 2008.
7. Kouro S , Cortes P , Vargas R , et al. Model Predictive Control—A Simple and Powerful Method to Control Power Converters[J]. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 2009, 56(6):1826-1838.
8. Errouissi R , Al-Durra A , Muyeen S M , et al. Continuous-time model predictive control of a permanent magnet synchronous motor drive with disturbance decoupling[J]. *Iet Electric Power Applications*, 2017, 11(5):697-706.
9. Geyer T . A comparison of control and modulation schemes for medium-voltage drives: Emerging predictive control concepts versus Field Oriented Control[C]// 2010 IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition. IEEE, 2010.
10. Scoltock J , Geyer T , Ma Da Wala U . A comparison of Predictive Current Control schemes for MV induction motor drives[C]// IECON 2011 - 37th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society. IEEE, 2012.