STUDY OF AIR FLOW IN A SOLAR COLLECTOR EQUIPPED WITH TWO INCLINED OBSTACLES
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Abstract. In the present work we have studied the case of a conventional solar air collector and try to see how is it possible to improve it-s efficiency, by changing Reynolds number. Given the complexity of the problem we used the FLUENT calculation code. We made the mathematical model, then we gave a validation of our result by the results of Dimartini, we gave fields of speed, turbulence and a Nusselt profile and factor of friction as a function of the Reynolds Number. The results show that variation of Reynolds number has an influence on the performance of the solar collector, which is why we have used several values in order to observe the most suitable one.

1 Introduction

Several researches have been done in the field of solar collectors all with the aim of improving the performance of these

JALIL and AYAAL [1], studied the case with a simple solar collector, by varying the quantity of air admitted by the multiplication of the inputs from 1 to 3, they obtained that the case with 3 inputs was the most suitable in order to obtain the highest value of the outlet temperature, for an inlet speed of 1.5 m / s and a flow of 900 W the outlet temperature could expect 50 ° C with three inputs

Rodono and Volpes [2] have studied the behaviour of a flat solar collector during a period of 24 hours and have brought correlations making a relation between The radiant heat captured by a solar collector divided by three fraction, that transmitted by conduction, the other collected by the flow and the last reflected by the glazing. The purpose of these equations is to estimate the efficiency of the sensor.

Rodono and Volpes [3] also made a numerical simulation by finite difference method of a vertical solar collector like the one used for heating buildings, the heat transfer is done by free convection, the input data are the internal temperatures and external and solar power absorbed, however, this model remains simple and does not take into account the main climatic variables governing heat transfer processes, namely the temperature of the outside air.

Oudjedi et al [4] made an unsteady parametric study of a flat air solar collector intended for drying, they varied these parameters and found that, the variation of the air inlet temperature did not a great influence on that of its output, the latter decreases with the increase in the inlet speed, the efficiency increases with the increase in the inlet speed, and more the height of the channel increases more the efficiency decreases.

Aivars et al [5] made an experimental study by constructing a sensor of dimensions 0.1x0.5x1.0 meters long in order to observe the influence of the nature of the materials used on the behavior of the sensor, they have found that The solar collector with a steelshin plate absorber in the middle is 2 times more effective as a collector with a steeltplate absorber at the bottom.

Korobka et al [6] Have studied the influence of the value of the radiation on the output of the flat solar collector intended for drying the fruit, they found that for a radiation E = 377 W / m2 allows the output reached 70.7 % while for E = 1000 W / m2 on the contrary small η = 54.6%.

Mzad et al [7] wanted to determine the Optimization of the inclination and the orientation of the solar air collector with double glazing the interval of the angles of inclination is between 15 °, 35 ° and also greater than 70 ° They have found that for inclinations greater than 45 ° the intensity of the power delivered by the sensor decreases the intensity of the power delivered by the sensor. While for tilt angles of 30 ° to 45 ° are even acceptable for lower azimuthal angles. This effectiveness also depends on the months of the year, during summer and better than during winter

Dimartini [8] is among the first to have made a dynamicstudy by introducing two baffles in a straight rectangular shape, one fixed on the absorber and the other on the insulator, the studyshowedthat the turbulence in this case was totally different , which gave the opportunity to a wide range of studies in thisfield by
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playing on the shape of the obstacles (geometry, location dimension and numbers).

In this study we are going to study the case of a solar collector provided with two obstacles in the form inclined at 45° by varying the speed of air entry and therefore the Reynolds number and try to see the influence of this variation on sensor performance.

2 Analysis and modelling

2.1 Geometry

Our study consists in taking a geometry that is similar to that of Dimartini [8], the difference is that for us the two baffles are placed on the lower part with identical dimensions and inclination angle as shown in figure 1, we vary the air inlet velocity to observe different Reynolds number and see its influence on the flow.

2.2 Mathematical Model

The studied problem is governed by the equations of conservation including the conservation of mass, momentum and energy.

2.2.1 Conservation of mass

\[ \frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho u u) = 0 \]  

Where : \( u \) Fluid velocity in y-direction( m/s), \( \rho \) Density (kg/m³)

2.2.2 Conservation of momentum

\[ \rho \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \]  

where: \( \rho \) - Density (kg/m³), \( u \) Fluid velocity in x-direction( m/s) \( v \) Fluid velocity in y-direction( m/s)

2.2.3 Conservation of fluid energy

\[ \rho u \frac{\partial \bar{u}^2}{\partial t} + \rho u \frac{\partial \bar{u}^2}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \mu \left( \frac{\partial \bar{u}^2}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}^2}{\partial y} \right) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \mu \frac{\partial \bar{u}^2}{\partial y} \right) + P_k - \epsilon \]  

where: \( \rho \) - Density (kg/m³), \( u \) Fluid velocity in x-direction( m/s) \( v \) Fluid velocity in y-direction( m/s) \( \mu \) The molecular viscosity (Pa.s) \( \mu_t \) The turbulent (or eddy) viscosity (Pa.s) \( P_k \) The static pressure (pa).

2.2.4 Turbulent kinetic energy \( k \)

\[ \rho u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \rho v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \mu + \mu_t \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \mu + \mu_t \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \left( C_{k1} f_1 P_k - \rho C_{k2} f_2 \right) \]  

where: \( \rho \) - Density (kg/m³), \( u \) Fluid velocity in x-direction( m/s) \( v \) Fluid velocity in y-direction( m/s) \( \mu \) The molecular viscosity (Pa.s) \( \mu_t \) The turbulent (or eddy) viscosity (Pa.s) \( k \) Turbulent kinetic energy, (m²/s²) \( \sigma_k \) Turbulent Prandtl number for K-equation \( \epsilon \) Specific dissipation rate, m²/s³

2.2.5 Dissipation energy \( \epsilon \)

\[ \rho u \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t} + \rho v \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \mu + \mu_t \right) \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \mu + \mu_t \right) \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial y} + \left( C_{\epsilon1} f_1 P_k - \rho C_{\epsilon2} f_2 \right) \]  

\[ P_k \] Represents the term of kinetic energy production

\[ P_k = \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \]  

where: \( u \) Fluid velocity in x-direction( m/s) \( v \) Fluid velocity in y-direction( m/s) \( \mu \) The turbulent (or eddy) viscosity (Pa.s) \( \mu_t \) The molecular viscosity (Pa.s) \( \mu_t \)

2.2.6 Turbulent viscosity: is calculated by:

\[ \mu_t = f_\mu \rho \mu \frac{\epsilon}{k} \]  

Fig. 1. Geometry of study
Where: \(f_c\), Constant-\(C_p\), Constant-\(k\) The turbulent kinetic energy \((\text{m}^2/\text{s}^2)\). \(\epsilon\) The turbulent eddy dissipation \((\text{m}^2/\text{s}^3)\).

The empirical constants of the standard K-\(\varepsilon\) model are:

\[C_p = 0.09, C_{e1} = C_{e2} = 1.44, \sigma_k = 1.0,\]
\[\sigma_\epsilon = 1.3, \alpha = 0.9, f_1 = f_2 = f_\mu = 1\]

**2.2.7 The friction factor is given by:**
\[f = (0.790 \ln \text{Re}-1.64)^{-2}\]

\[3000 \leq \text{Re} \leq 5 \times 10^6\]

**2.2.8 Reynolds Number:**
\[\text{Re} = \frac{\rho U D_h}{\mu}\]

**2.2.9 Hydraulic diameter**
\[D_h = 2HW/(H+W)\]

Where: \(H\) Channel height, (m) and \(W\) Channel Width, (m)

**2.2.10 Nusselt Number**
\[N_u = 0.023 \text{Re}^{4/5} \text{Pr}^{0.4}\]

\[\text{Re} \geq 10000\]

Where \(\text{Pr}\) Prandtl Number

**2.3 Boundary Condition**

The boundary conditions are identical for the three models and are as follows:

- air velocity inlet: \(U_0\) = From 4 to 10 m/s.
- air temperature inlet \(T_e = 300^\circ\text{K}\).
- The turbulent kinetic energy inlet \(k = 0.005 \times U_0^2\).
- dissipation energy inlet \(\epsilon = 0.1 \times k^2\).
- Temperature absorber: \(T_{abs} = 380 \text{ K}\).
- The temperature of the insulation and the baffles: \(T_{iso} = 340 \text{ K}\).
- Pression outlet: \(P_0 = \text{Patm}\).

**Validation of results**

For the validation part we took the result of DIMARTINI [6] by comparing the velocity profiles for different vertical section.

Figures 2 shows that our results are very close to those of DIMARTINI [8], which means that our model is validated.

**3 Results and discussion**

In what follows we will present the results of our study, in the first part we will observe the velocity field, and in the second part we will observe the turbulence.

**3.1 Velocity fields**

In what follows we will represent the velocity contours for different Reynolds value.

Fig. 2. Velocity profil for position X=0.285 m \(U=7.8\text{m/s}\)

Fig. 3. Velocity Contour for \(U\) inlet= 4 m/s (Reynolds =59346)

Fig. 4. Velocity Contour for \(U\) inlet= 5 m/s (Reynolds = 74 182)
From the analysis of the speed contours (figure 3 to 10), we are able to observe three zones:

Zone A: recirculation zone, we observe that in this zone the value of the speed obtained is multiplied compared to that of the inlet by almost 100%, i.e. double for all cases.

Zone B and C: we observe that these are dead zones whose velocity values are almost zero or 0.392 m/s for the first case (Figure 3) then this value grows with the increase in the Reynolds number with a percentage between 10% and 20%.

### 3.2 Turbulent kinetic energy

In what follows we will represent the contour of the kinetic turbulence energy $K$ for different Reynolds value.
Figures 11 to 17 show us that the kinetic turbulence $K$ increases with the increase of the Reynolds number we can observe four zones

Zone D: where the turbulence is less important with a fixed value, this zone is located between the entrance and the first chicane.

Zone E: between the two baffles

Zone F: at the end of the second chicane

Zone G: after the second chicane

For all cases the classification of the value of kinetic turbulence $K$ in ascending order is, D, E, G and the most important F

3.3 The turbulent eddy dissipation

In what follows we will represent the contours of the epsilon dissipation turbulence for different Reynolds value
Following our analysis we can see that the more we increase the input speed, the more the dissipation turbulence increases (see figure 18 to 24), between the first and the last case in figures 18 and 24 the value of the dissipation energy is of $6.4 \times 10^{-4}$ m$^2$/s$^3$ and $250 \times 10^{-4}$ m$^2$/s$^3$ respectively, i.e. 40 times.

### 3.4 NUSSELT number and friction Factor

in what follows, and to better see the influence of the Reynolds number on the behavior of the solar collector we will plot the profiles of the nusselt number as well as that of the friction factor (figures 25 and 26)
Figures 25 and 26 show us that the more the Reynolds number increases, the more the value of the Nusselt number increases, a maximum of 274 for a Reynolds number of 148,641 and therefore a large heat transfer against the greater value of the factor friction and 0.0202 which corresponds to the Reynolds number of 131.

4 Conclusions

In the present study, we have studied one of the many methods of improving the performance of a flat solar collector with baffles which is based on the variation of the Reynolds number when the Reynolds number is increased, the heat transfer also increases. Nevertheless, this study must be accompanied by a technico-economic study in order to determine the real and useful energy needs in order to avoid any loss of energy.
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