A New Method for the Parametric Identification of DC Machines Using MATLAB Identification Toolbox and Experimental Measurements
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Abstract. This paper proposes a new approach for the identification of a DC machine (DCM) parameters to build a mathematical model considering different dynamic regimes, which characterize the operation of the studied machine. The proposed solution is simple and is based on the combination of classical identification methods and those available in the identification toolbox of MATLAB. The results obtained experimentally are significantly better and clearly show that the proposed approach is simple to implement and the DCM model is obtained quickly with a reasonable accuracy.
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1 Introduction

Nowadays, there are several real systems from different disciplines such as mechanics, electronics, thermal, chemistry, physical, etc. around us. The study, analysis, monitoring, and control of these systems, require as a first step a reasonably accurate mathematical model. Identification is the process by which a simple model structure is derived from a complex system. Experimental measurements consisting of input and output data are recorded from the system at an appropriate sampling period and used in the identification process.

For the identification of the DCM parameters, most researchers use classical methods because of their simplicity. However, these methods require a lot of testing on the machine which increases the risk to damage the actual system and they do not give best results, especially when using them to determine the specific inductances (Le, Lz) and the mechanical parameters (f, J). Thus, their performance remains insufficient for complex systems which are nonlinear and exhibit time-varying dynamics [1]. In [2], the authors present three simple techniques for the identification of DCM parameters based only on the direct measurement of current and speed. The advantages and disadvantages of each method are presented. Other researchers have studied the digital identifiers methods to reduce the number of tests on the machine and to ensure rapid detection of parameters change in real time and under variable environmental conditions (variation in load, machine overheating, short-circuit fault, etc.). Among these works, the recursive least squares method [3], constrained optimization technique [4], Kalman filter [5], identification of nested loop systems [6], artificial neurons networks [7], Tabu research technique [8], adaptive Tabu search technique [9] and genetic algorithms [10-11]. It should be noted that all these methods have many advantages for estimation DCM settings. However most of these methods remain difficult to implement and require a large memory for processing information in real time.

Several software tools are currently available (MATLAB, LabView, LTspice, etc.) and can be interfaced to real-world systems via powerful digital signal processing (DSP) and digital microcontroller (dsPIC), dSPACE, etc.). Several identification tools can be implemented to find a mathematical model (continuous time or discrete time) of a real system. Among these identification tools we can cite: the toolbox, developed for MATLAB, named CONTSID (Continuous-Time System Identification). The use of this toolkit is described in [12-14]. Thus, we find the estimation graphical interface and "MATLAB Idnet toolbox" complete analysis. With the availability of all these means, we have decided to search for a new solution for identifying DCM parameters based on classic identification methods (measurement of the rotor resistance and the constant torque) and the identification methods available in MATLAB software (Idnet toolbox).

This paper is structured as follows: a more general description of the DCM model is presented in section 2. Section 3 summarizes the main classical identification methods used to calculate the DCM parameters. A detailed description of the proposed identification approach for the estimation of the DCM parameters is...
described in Section 4. Section 5 presents the experimental setup used to validate the estimated models. Finally, the results obtained and the conclusions are summarized in Sections 6 and 7 respectively.

2 Description of the DCM model

The modeling of DCM is based on the following simplifying assumptions: The saturation effect and the skin effect are neglected. Eddy currents and the phenomenon hysteresis are also neglected. The inductors and resistors are constant.

The DCM used in this study is rated 0.1 kW, 220 V, 2000 rpm. The operation of this machine can be modeled by the following system of equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_a &= R_a i_a(t) + L_e \frac{di_a(t)}{dt} + e(t) \quad (1) \\
    u_e &= R_e i_e(t) + L_e \frac{di_e(t)}{dt} + e(t) \\
    \frac{d^2i_a(t)}{dt^2} &= C_{em}(t) - C_r(t) - fJX(t) \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

With:

\[C_{em}(t) = ki_d(t), e(t) = k (t), k = k_m \text{, } (\text{ constant})\]

Where \(u_a\) is the excitation (field) voltage, \(u_e\) the armature voltage, \(i_e\) excitation current, \(i_a\) armature current, \(R_a\), \(L_e\) resistance and inductance of the excitation circuit (field), \(R_a\), \(L_a\) armature resistance and inductance, \(f\) coefficient of friction, \(J\) is the inertia, \(C_r\) is the mechanical speed, \(C_{em}\) electromagnetic torque, \(C_r\) resistive torque, \(k_m\) the constant geometric of the DCM, \(k\) is the torque constant or back-emf constant.

Applying the Laplace transform to the above equations leads to the following transfer function:

\[H(s) = \frac{\Omega(s)}{\Omega_a(s)} = \frac{k}{k^2 + kR_a s + kR_a s + 1} \quad (4)\]

Finally, to determine the model of the DCM, it is necessary to find experimentally the following parameters: \(R_a\), \(L_a\), \(k\), \(f\) and \(J\).

3 Identification based on classical methods

3.1 Determination of rotor resistance

Several methods are available for measuring the rotor resistance. Some of these methods are summarized below:

3.1.1 Ohmmeter method

This method is based on the use of an ohmmeter. However, the value of the rotor resistance depends on the position of the slippery contacts with respect to the collector. Therefore, we need to perform several measurements for different positions of the slippery contacts as shown in Table 1. But it should be noted that these measurements are recorded at the operating temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>(Ra) (ohm)</th>
<th>Average value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>53.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>53.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>52.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>54.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>56.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>54.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>53.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>56.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>52.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>53.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>54.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average value \(R_{avg} = 54.13\) ohm

3.1.2 Voltmeter – ammeter method

In this method, the tests are carried out continuously and with locked rotor (\(= 0\), \(\varepsilon = 0\) and \(di_a/dt = 0\)). The machine is operated at rated current to avoid excessive heating and the measurements are recorded at reduced voltages. The resistance value can be determined by the following relation:

\[Ra = \frac{Ua}{Ia} \quad (5)\]

The results obtained are presented in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Ua) (V)</th>
<th>(Ia) (A)</th>
<th>(Ra) (ohm)</th>
<th>Average value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>52.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>53.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>52.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>53.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>53.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average value \(R_{avg} = 52.8\) ohm

Note: The two methods used for measuring rotor resistance give very close values, which show that we are not far from the real value of the rotor resistance. But as it is known from the operating principle of an ohmmeter: it measures the voltage across the resistor by injecting a very low DC current compared to the actual current flowing through the rotor conductors, which leads to very high values of the resistance. Because of this, we have decided to use the value of the resistance measured by the voltmeter-ammeter method in the remaining calculations.
3.2 Determination of rotor inductance

Among the most widely used methods for measuring the rotor inductance, we can mention the following:

3.2.1 Index testing method

In this method, the tests are carried out with locked rotor \(( R = 0, \varepsilon = 0)\). So equation (2) becomes:

\[
u_a = R_a i_a(t) + L_a \frac{di_a(t)}{dt}
\]

So:

\[
 i_a(t) = \frac{\nu_a}{R_a} (1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_e}})
\]

With:

\[
 \tau_e = \frac{l_a}{R_a}
\]

3.2.2 AC current measurement

To perform this measurement, the rotor of the motor is supplied with an alternating voltage with an open inductor. The expression of the inductance is given by:

\[
 L_a = \frac{1}{\omega} \sqrt{(\frac{\nu_a}{i_a})^2 - R_a^2}
\]

With: \(\omega = 2 \pi f\), \(f = 50\) Hz.

### Table 3. Determination of rotor inductance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(U_a) (V)</th>
<th>(I_a) (A)</th>
<th>(L_a) (H)</th>
<th>Average value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0496</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.0905</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.1030</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The two methods used for measuring the rotor inductance give different values. Therefore, one cannot conclude which is the best value. Hence, the average of these two values of the inductance was used \((L_a = 0.898\ H)\).

### 3.3 Determination of the constant \(k\)

The constant \(k\) is defined as the ratio between rotational speed and voltage measurement at no-load by a generator test, therefore:

\[
k = \frac{E}{\Omega}
\]

The results obtained are presented in the following table:

### Table 4. Determination of the torque constant or back-emf constant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(U_a) (V)</th>
<th>(\Omega) (rad/s)</th>
<th>(E) (V)</th>
<th>(k)</th>
<th>Average value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>050</td>
<td>44.390</td>
<td>40.060</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>97.000</td>
<td>86.330</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>116.00</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>151.97</td>
<td>132.21</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>191.00</td>
<td>171.90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>214.00</td>
<td>192.90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>237.47</td>
<td>211.34</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average value**

\(k_{moy} = 0.891\)

### 3.4 Determination of friction coefficient

At no load, the electromagnetic torque is written in the form next:

\[
C_{em} = C_c + f \Omega
\]

Where: \(C_c\) constant torque and \(f\) friction torque.

To determine \(f\), the speed of the machine is varied and the necessary \(C_{em}\) torque values are recorded to plot the curve \(C_{em} = f(\Omega)\). The results obtained are presented in the following table:

### Table 5. Different measurement to determine the coefficient of friction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(U_a) (V)</th>
<th>(I_a) (A)</th>
<th>(\Omega) (rad/s)</th>
<th>(C_{em}) (N.m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>050</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>51.30</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>106.2</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>162.0</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>195.2</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>218.4</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>242.1</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1.** Locked rotor current response.

**Fig. 2.** Electromagnetic torque response as a function of mechanical speed.
3.5 Determination of the inertia

To determine the inertia of the DCM, a low-speed test is performed. After the DCM has reached its rated speed, the supply circuit is disconnected, and we measure the time it takes for the DCM to come to a stop.

Fig. 3. Mechanical speed response for a deceleration test.

From the deceleration test:

\[ \Omega(t) = \Omega_0 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_m}} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(12)}

With:

\[ \tau_m = \frac{t}{j} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(13)}

For \( \Omega(t) = \frac{\Omega_0}{2} \) we find:

\[ \frac{\Omega_0}{2} = \Omega_0 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_m}} \Rightarrow \tau_m = \frac{t}{\ln 2} = \frac{4.61}{\ln 2} = 6.65 \text{ sec} \]

So:

\[ J = f \times \tau_m = 0.00011 \times 6.65 = 0.0007353 \text{ kg.m}^2 \]

Finally, for various measurements carried out, we have chosen the following parameters:

\( Ra = 52.8 \text{ ohm, } La = 0.898 \text{ H, } k = 0.891, f = 0.00011 \text{ N.m.s/rad, } J = 0.0007353 \text{ kg.m}^2 \).

4 New approach to parametric identification of the DCM

The approach allows the calculation of all the DCM parameters using the following steps:

- Determination of the electrical parameters (rotor resistance and the torque constant) by classical methods (see section 3).
- Determination of the DCM transfer function using MATLAB Identification toolbox.

The MATLAB Identification toolbox has the graphical user interface (GUI), presented in Fig. 4. This interface offers several types of models (transfer function, state-space representation, polynomial approximations...etc.). But to carry out the identification using this toolbox, it is necessary to fix the order of the model which is not known in advance and which remains a major problem in the identification of all physical systems. In our case, the DCM can be approximated by a second-order transfer function. So thanks to the Identification toolbox, we can easily find the transfer function that models the behavior of the DCM (Fig. 5).

Fig. 4. Description of the command graphical interface Ident from MATLAB.

Fig. 5. Identification based on the Identi toolbox for MATLAB.
- Determination of the DCM Parameters

We have:

The transfer function obtained by the differential equations of the DCM.

\[
H(S) = \frac{k}{s^2 + \frac{L_a}{R_a} s + \frac{L_a + R_a}{R_a}} \frac{1}{s^2 + \frac{L_a}{R_a} s + 1}
\]

The transfer function obtained by the Ident toolbox

\[
H(S) = \frac{1.1056}{s^2 + 0.0713 s + 1}
\]

By comparing the two transfer functions, the following DCM parameters are obtained:

\[R_a = 52.8 \text{ ohm, } L_a = 0.2 \text{ H, } k = 0.891, f = 0.0002276 \text{ N.m.s/rad, } J = 0.0011 \text{ kg.m}^2]\n
5 Description of the experimental platform

The testing platform developed in this paper to validate the DCM models, is described by Figs. 6 and 7. It allows the analysis of the DCM mechanical behavior in real time. In other words, we can constantly compare the actual responses from measurement sensors with the estimated responses at the models output. This comparison is performed using a dSPACE 1104 board. The model is presented at Fig. 7. Thus, a measurement interface composed of current, voltage and speed sensors, provide all readings necessary for the experimental validation of the DCM model.

6 Experimental results

To test the models of the DCM obtained, we have carried out a no-load test. The measurements obtained are stored in a MATLAB file of type (.mat) thanks to the map dSPACE. The results obtained are presented in Figs. 8-10.

Fig. 7. Simulink / dsPACE model developed to validate the DCM models.

Fig. 8. Rotor voltage curve as a function of time.

Fig. 9. Comparison between the measured speed and the estimated speeds of the direct current machine.
From Figs. 9 and 10, we can say that the proposed identification approach allows obtaining a model that is very close to the real DCM. The estimated speed and currents waveforms are very close to the measured ones with a good correlation coefficient of the order (99%) between the DCM real system and the estimated model.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the contribution of techniques identifications such as classical methods and identification methods available in the software MATLAB (toolbox Identi), applied to the identification of DCM parameters. Then an experimental platform based on the dsPACE 1104 board was used to validate the models obtained. The results clearly show that the proposed approach offers several advantages for the identification of the DCM parameters. Another benefit is the risk minimization of damaging the actual system and saving time (a low number of tests on the machine). In addition, this solution remains simple, it is accurate and easy to implement (we can always get models very close to the real model). Indeed, this parametric identification method can be an interesting tool to the design of controllers (get an idea of the values initials of the parameters of the correctors used for the DCM control).

In perspective, from an experimental point of view, the dsPACE card remains very expensive. It would be therefore wise to replace it by an affordable microcontroller such as Arduino. On the other hand, for future works, we would like to develop a new algorithm to determine all the DCM parameters, by measuring only the voltage, the current and the speed of the studied machine. Thus, the proposed algorithm can also be used to detect the rapid parameters variations overtime which can be useful to discover machine anomalies, such as heating and short circuits in the DCM stator and/or rotor windings.
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Fig. 10. Comparison between the measured current and the estimated currents of the direct current machine.