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Abstract. Economic security is unthinkable without transport security. The transport industry provides an active exchange of goods between territories, accelerates the development of individual territories and helps to reduce the level of stratification between regions. In this regard, considering economic security as a factor of economic growth and economic development requires paying attention to ensuring economic security in the field of transport. At the same time, a large number of problems remain in the field of economic security of transport today, due to the fact that transport is very much dependent on the state of the economic conjuncture, and therefore the transport industry remains extremely vulnerable to fluctuations in the economic cycle. This article suggests approaches to strengthening state regulation of economic security management in the field of transport at the national level. In particular, it is advisable to focus efforts on the development of the transport industry not only on technological aspects, but also to establish justified criteria for the economic security of transport, which would contribute to ensuring reasonable management of the process of development of the transport industry. A key technique to accelerate the transition to managed economic security in transport should be the adoption of a strategy for economic security of transport.

1 Introduction

Studies on the economic security of transport emphasize that the state of the transport economy strongly affects the overall state of the country's economic security. At the same time, the impact of transport on national economic security is complex, due to the complexity of transport itself as a socio-economic phenomenon. To understand the essence of this phenomenon, transport should be considered from the standpoint of three levels: transport as a commodity, transport as an activity and transport as a type of socio-economic relations. Only such a multifaceted and multilevel consideration of transport will allow us to determine its role in ensuring national economic security from all sides. In addition, the analysis of the impact of transport on economic security should begin at the micro level, assessing the level of efficiency and quality of functioning of the transport enterprise. It is the stability of the operation of a transport enterprise that has a decisive impact on the state and level of national economic security. In order to bring the economic security of transport...
to an acceptable state, it is necessary to develop a stable system of criteria and indicators of transport security at the national level.

Unfortunately, the pandemic factor has become necessary to be considered as one of the important factors affecting the level of economic security of transport, due to the strong dependence of the transport sector on the spread of epidemics, the development of pandemics and the implementation of restrictive measures during the acute period of the pandemic. This dependence was found not only during the spread of a new coronavirus infection in 2020-2021, but also earlier, during periods of other mass epidemics. Data on the impact of the spread of mass infections and measures to contain them on the transport industry were obtained in a number of countries at different time periods.

Digitalization plays an important role in ensuring the economic security of modern transport. Digital technologies are being introduced into all sectors of the economy and social sphere, providing a higher level of quality and accessibility of goods and services. The sphere of transport is no exception. The transition to digital technologies in transport contributes to both the growth of labor productivity and the development of the very content of transport services. With the progress of digitalization in transport, the transport service itself becomes more accessible and more comfortable. At the same time, digitalization of transport creates additional risks that can devalue all the advantages of the transition to digital technologies, for example, information leakage, supply disruptions due to errors in automatic calculations. Thus, the mass digitalization of the economy and public life is becoming a challenge to the traditional system of ensuring the economic security of transport, imposing new requirements for ensuring sustainable and uninterrupted provision of transport services.

In the context of national economic security, transport is considered not only as a branch of the economy, which, by virtue of its place in the economic structure, makes its sectoral contribution to the formation of the overall level of economic security, but as a system whose functioning largely determines the effectiveness of the national economy, and hence the level of its security. In this regard, it is extremely important to analyze the criteria for the safety of transport as a system, identify the risks of the national transport system and develop a methodology for forecasting its development, efficiency and long-term impact on economic growth and economic development.

It is important to remember that economic security in the field of transport as a national problem begins at the micro level. The well-being of a transport enterprise directly affects the progress of the transport industry as a whole at the national level, ensures its stability, economic potential building and progressive growth. In this regard, it is important to identify those factors of economic well-being of a transport enterprise that have the maximum impact on economic security. Data has been collected confirming that such a factor is the investment activity of a transport company and, accordingly, its investment policy. Indeed, the level of its competitiveness, the duration of the life cycle and the quality of transport services provided depend on how intensively a transport company invests in the renewal of its production assets. Thus, strengthening the economic security of the transport sector is to a large extent supporting investment in the main production assets of transport activities.

Transport security is largely ensured by the level of control and monitoring organized in transport. It is the control and monitoring of transport that is becoming one of the most important factors ensuring national economic security. Due to the monitoring activities in transport, information is generated on the demand for transport services, the most popular directions of passenger and cargo transportation are identified, the most popular types of transport and formats of transport service formation are identified. Information about the transport services market is formed largely due to high-quality and comprehensive monitoring. Transport control is the key to universal order in the industry. A
well-organized control helps to reduce unproductive losses, reduce criminal and corruption manifestations in the transport sector, and leads to an increase in the overall efficiency of the transport industry. Thus, it is advisable for the state to take full care of the state of control in transport and in every possible way to stimulate the development of advanced control technologies in transport.

The close connection between the state of urban transport and logistics infrastructure and the level of economic security of the region is revealed and described. This connection is due to the influence of the transport and logistics infrastructure on the provision of the possibility of transportation of raw materials, components and workers to the enterprises of the regional economy, and hence the impact on the intensity of production processes in the economy, in particular - in the economy of the region. It is known that the level of economic development varies in different regions. The level of economic security of certain territories is also not the same. As a rule, there is a close positive relationship between the level of development of highways, transport terminals, airports, river and sea ports, railways on the one hand and the intensity of development of economic processes in the region on the other hand. This correlation is due to the influence of the state of transport infrastructure on the level of investment attractiveness of the territory. The higher the level of development and quality of the transport and logistics system in the region, the more interesting the region is for potential investors.

Any economic well-being is based on a rationally organized financial system. This statement applies to no less than the sphere of transport. Moreover, transport is largely represented by large enterprises, the effectiveness of which depends on the optimal adjustment of the flow of investment financing in the latest vehicles, systems and technologies. In this regard, studies have been conducted aimed at building an optimal model of financing a transport enterprise as a guarantee of ensuring its economic stability. Indeed, in modern, highly dynamic economic conditions, many enterprise financing models need to be revised, rethought and adjusted. In transport, as an industry heavily dependent on investments, it is extremely important to apply the most effective and modern financial model that ensures both sustainable functioning and a systematic increase in competitiveness.

It is necessary to take into account the close relationship between the state of the transport sector on the one hand and environmental safety on the other. Indeed, it is possible to approach environmental safety from different sides. One of them is the transition to environmentally safe modes of transport, which have such positive qualities as reduced emissions of pollutants into the atmosphere. In this regard, studies have been carried out justifying the expediency of the development of transport in the interests of strengthening the environmental safety of the nation and humanity as a whole. This approach does not detract from the role of transport in ensuring economic security due to the fact that economic security is also the rational use of all material resources, which include the natural environment.

Not only transport as a branch of the economy is considered as a factor of economic security. When considering transport in dynamics, such a separate socio-economic phenomenon as transport development is highlighted. Indeed, it is often not enough to evaluate transport at the current moment. It is much more important to determine the pace of development of the transport system, to identify its main vectors, to determine the pace of development. In this regard, the dynamics of transport development can give a more accurate picture of the level of transport security. In particular, this approach has been investigated in relation to regions and cities. It is important to emphasize that the city largely depends on the transport system. At the same time, it should be remembered that transport ensures the well-being of the city not only at the tactical level, but also at the strategic level, determining the comfort of living and business life in the city, its investment potential.
attractiveness and the pace of socio-economic development. Thus, transport security as an aspect of economic security is considered at the national, regional and municipal level. The transport system itself is diverse and multidimensional. In addition, it is characterized by the property of a complex composition. In this regard, it is necessary to consider the state of the transport system, including transport security, as a derivative of a number of factors, each of which can have an effect independently of the others. One of such significant factors is the staffing of the transport industry. Taking into account the high level of intellectual component in labor processes in transport, it is extremely important to ensure at the national level the need of the transport industry for personnel. A special place in solving this problem is occupied by providing the transport sector with engineering personnel. The competitiveness of the transport sector is largely determined by the level of professional training of engineering personnel. It is the engineering composition of transport that can ensure that the national transport industry becomes one of the world leaders.

It is interesting to look at the role of transport in the sphere of ensuring national economic security through the prism of efforts to ensure the safe and sustainable functioning of a transport enterprise. From this position, national economic security in terms of transport can be ensured by stimulating stabilization processes at the level of a separate enterprise in the transport sector. The state can stimulate the processes of increasing the competitiveness of transport enterprises, innovation and investment processes in them, support the implementation of effective marketing and personnel policy. All this will ultimately lead to economic progress, sustainability and survivability of transport enterprises, which will provide a positive contribution to the formation of national economic security on the scale of the industry.

Import substitution processes are actively taking place in the Russian economy. The entire Russian society is interested in these processes, as the transition to import substitution contributes to improving the structure of the national economy. Transport can play a big role in accelerating import substitution if it refocuses commercial interests from imports to the supply of raw materials, components and finished products of domestic enterprises. The state can influence the acceleration of import substitution processes by supporting relevant areas in the activities of key sectors of domestic transport, which include the transportation of export products, as well as the maintenance of raw materials flows of domestic enterprises in the real sector of the economy.

Economic security needs to be measured. This statement is relevant both at the national level and at the enterprise level. Transport enterprises require the development of a special industry methodology for measuring economic security, which is due to the significant level of industry specificity of production processes and accounting for material and financial flows in the transport sector. At the same time, a risk-oriented approach should become the central methodological aspect in measuring the level of economic security of transport enterprises, which makes it possible to make the structure of the economic security management process as rational as possible, linking the degree of interference in production processes with the level of threat to the economic security of the enterprise.

2 Materials and methods

This study is based on the methods of economic and managerial research and contains, in particular, the following methods:

- the monographic method is used by evaluating modern relevant scientific publications on research carried out in Russia and abroad, in terms of studying the contribution of transport systems to the formation, maintenance and development of national economic security;
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3 Results

In the course of the study, the task of analyzing the development potential of the Russian transport system was solved. For this purpose, an assessment of the availability and dynamics of communication routes in the Russian Federation was carried out (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route Type</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Railway tracks</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paved roads</td>
<td>1162</td>
<td>1171</td>
<td>1187</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td>1203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tram tracks</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trolleybus tracks</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subway tracks</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>0.582</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>0.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland waterways</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk pipelines (oil pipelines)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be seen from the data in Table 1, Russia is provided with all the variety of communication routes, and paved highways predominate in length, in 2020 their share in all transport routes in Russia amounted to 86.0%. Paved roads are followed by inland shipping routes, their share in the country's transport routes is 7.3%. In third place are railway tracks with a specific gravity of 6.2%. Such transport routes of urban transport as tram, trolleybus and subway tracks occupy 0.5% of the total length of Russian transport routes.

The highest growth rate in the dynamics of 2016-2020 is observed in the metro tracks. During the period under review, they increased by 15.8%, which indicates a high dynamics.
of increasing the comfort of living of Russians in megacities. At the same time, the length of trolleybus (by 15.1% compared to the level of 2016) and tram (by 4.0%) tracks is decreasing, which corresponds to global trends in reducing urban transport based on linking to rail tracks and overhead power supply systems. It should be noted a noticeably high rate of growth in the length of paved roads, the length of which in 2020 was 3.5% more than in 2016.

It is important to emphasize that in 2020, characterized by the spread of a new coronavirus infection and the corresponding restrictions in the economy, Russia maintained a positive growth rate in the length of paved highways, subway tracks and trunk pipelines.

The level and efficiency of the operation of transport routes can be assessed based on the analysis of cargo turnover and passenger turnover. In Russia, the indicators of freight turnover of rail and road transport are steadily growing (Table 2).

Table 2. Cargo turnover of transport in the Russian Federation, billion t-km.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of information: gks.ru.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipeline transport (oil and petroleum products)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, in 2020, the freight turnover of railway transport was 8.6% higher than in 2016. At the same time, the positive growth rate of the indicator was noted in every year of the analyzed period, except 2020. In 2020, the decline in freight turnover of rail transport in Russia coincided with the application of covid restrictions in the economy and the corresponding decline in business activity, however, the depth of the decline in freight turnover on railways turned out to be very small, only 2.1% compared to the level of 2019.

The level of cargo turnover in 2020, despite the objectively conditioned decline, nevertheless turned out to be higher than the level of 2017.

The cargo turnover of motor transport is steadily growing. In 2020, this indicator exceeded the level of 2016 by 9.7%. The growth rate of the indicator, as well as in the case of rail transport, is consistently positive in each of the analyzed years, except 2020. However, the decline in 2020 was only 1.1%, as a result of which the absolute level of the indicator in 2020 was higher than the level of 2018.

The dynamics of cargo turnover of oil and petroleum products in pipeline transport is not so unambiguous in the period under study. Thus, from 2017 to 2019, there was a positive dynamics of cargo turnover, as a result of which the indicator increased by 4.6% compared to the level of 2016. However, in 2020, cargo turnover fell by 8.7% to the level of 2019, which turned out to be below the level of all years since 2016.

Thus, in terms of cargo transportation, Russian transport routes are mainly used more and more intensively, which speaks, first of all, about the positive dynamics of economic development. This fact will require an increase in additional capacities for the transportation of goods by rail and road over time. The safety of the transport sector requires the continuation of increasing the length of railways and highways in Russia.

The second side, which characterizes the intensity of the use of the potential of the transport system in the country, is passenger turnover. Indeed, transport performs two important functions - the transportation of goods and passengers. As the analysis showed, the trends in the development of passenger transportation in Russia are somewhat different than in the field of cargo transportation. In particular, in 2020 there was a significant decline in passenger traffic on all analyzed modes of transport (Table 3).
Table 3. Passenger turnover of public transport, billion passenger-kilometers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of information: gks.ru.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Railway transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tram transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trolleybus transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air transport</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The deepest decline to the level of 2016 was noted in terms of passenger turnover on trolleybus transport – by 47.3%. A noticeable drop also occurred in the areas of tram (by 39.1%) and railway (by 36.8%) transport. A slightly smaller decline was noted in the field of bus (by 29.0%) and air (by 28.7%) transport. It is obvious that such a significant decline is due to covid restrictions temporarily introduced in certain periods of 2020. However, a more precise impact of the 2020 restrictions could be revealed by comparing the passenger turnover figures for 2020 with the level of 2019. The largest decline, by 52.3%, was revealed in the field of air transport. The passenger turnover of railway transport decreased by 41.0% in 2020 compared to the previous year. The passenger turnover of the metro decreased by 35.2% in 2020. In the field of bus transport, the analyzed indicator decreased by 27.9%, trolleybus by 31.0%, tram by 26.3%. Thus, the types of passenger transport that are responsible for interregional passenger transportation – air and rail transport – suffered the most from the restrictions of 2020. The passenger turnover of urban public transport has decreased to a lesser extent. But it is important to note that the negative dynamics in urban transport has already been outlined in 2017-2019, with the exception of the metro. Most likely, part of the passenger traffic has moved from ground urban transport to the subway.

As can be seen, the analysis of Table 3 showed that in 2017-2020, the intensity of passenger transport use increased slightly with the exception of air, rail and metro transport. At the same time, urban land transport is showing a decline.

4 Discussion

In 2021, by Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation No. 3363-r, the Transport Strategy of the Russian Federation until 2030 was approved with a forecast for the period up to 2035. This document reflects the key priorities of the development of the transport industry for the next decade. The transport strategy of Russia has established the concept of transport security, according to which transport security is understood as the state of protection of transport infrastructure facilities and vehicles from acts of unlawful interference. From our point of view, this formulation is insufficient to fully assess the role of transport security in ensuring national economic security. In particular, the interpretation of transport security proposed by the Transport Strategy of Russia does not provide for consideration of such a concept as a threat to transport security, meaning only acts of unlawful interference as a source of danger. We believe that it would be more correct to understand by transport security such a state of the transport system that provides protection from threats related to damage to transport infrastructure facilities, vehicles, transport workers, passengers and transported goods.
It is important to emphasize that the text of the Transport Strategy of Russia does not provide for the reference of transport security to the consideration of threats associated with the occurrence of economic damage. Instead, the strategy considers security against technical, physical and informational threats, without resorting to mentioning economic threats. We consider this a disadvantage of the analyzed strategy and propose to expand this document to the level of approval of measures to ensure the growth of the national economy due to the contribution made by the activities of the national transport system.

There is a dissonance between the state of scientific research on the economic security of transport, which actively supports the idea of a significant contribution of transport to national economic security, and the composition of Russia's Transport Strategy, which provides for a focus on non-economic aspects of transport security. We propose to eliminate the revealed contradiction in the foreseeable future by making appropriate additions to the text of the Transport Strategy of Russia.

The need to closely link the Transport Strategy of Russia with the priorities of the national economic security of the Russian Federation follows from the content of the Economic Security Strategy of the Russian Federation for the period up to 2030, approved by Presidential Decree No. 208 of May 13, 2017. In particular, the Economic Security Strategy of the Russian Federation for the period up to 2030 notes among the main challenges and threats to Russia's economic security “low rates of economic growth due to internal reasons, including limited access to long-term financial resources, insufficient development of transport and energy infrastructure.” Thus, Russia's Economic Security Strategy recognizes the transport infrastructure as insufficiently developed in the part in which the factor of restraining the country's economic growth manifests itself. Indeed, the facts of lagging in the development of transport infrastructure can be found: there are still not enough paved roads to ensure access of logging equipment to forests; access to certain tracts of agricultural land and lands rich in natural resources is difficult; it is difficult to get to individual settlements due to the unsatisfactory condition of highways or the lack of a hard surface on them. All these facts have a certain, certainly not mass, distribution, but they can have some influence on the pace of economic growth. Therefore, the statement of the existence of a threat to the economic security of the country arising from the state of the transport infrastructure should be sufficiently embodied in measures of state regulation of the economy, including in the Transport Strategy of Russia until 2030.

Moreover, the Strategy of economic Security of Russia for the period up to 2030, among the main tasks to ensure sustainable growth of the real sector of the economy, designates such as “comprehensive development of transport infrastructure, creation of modern transport and logistics complexes, development and implementation of modern vehicles.” Thus, the Economic Security Strategy links the development of transport with the elimination of threats to national economic security from three positions:

- comprehensive development of transport infrastructure, that is, the formation of new and improving the quality of existing transport routes;
- creation of modern transport and logistics complexes, that is, building up the infrastructure of high-tech transport terminals, including railway stations and marshalling yards, river and sea ports, airports and complex transport hubs combining several types of transport;
- development and implementation of modern vehicles, which may involve the development of new types of vehicles, including hybrid cars and electric vehicles, high-speed electric locomotives and electric trains, ships, icebreakers and new generation aircraft (Figure 1).
An important aspect of the development of the Economic Security Strategy of the Russian Federation for the period up to 2030 is the adoption of measures to neutralize threats in the field of transport security. From this point of view, it is necessary to analyze the sufficiency of indicators characterizing national economic security from the standpoint of the state of the transport sector.

In the current Strategy of Economic Security of the Russian Federation for the period up to 2030, among the indicators of the state of economic security, the following are named related to the field of transport:

- the share of investments in machinery, equipment and vehicles in the total volume of investments in fixed assets;
- the share of machinery, equipment and vehicles in the total volume of non-primary exports;
- the share of machinery, equipment and vehicles in total imports.

All of the above are essential positions that provide a semantic link between quantitative indicators of the economy and the state of the transport sector in terms of the impact on national economic security. At the same time, each of these three indicators has only an indirect relation to the state of the transport system.

Thus, the share of investments in machinery, equipment and vehicles in the total volume of investments in fixed assets characterizes the quality of investments in the economy, indicating the specific weight of investment costs for such a significant component for the development of commodity production as spending on machinery, equipment and transport. However, without linking this indicator to domestic production, its increase may occur at the expense of imports, which will not fully strengthen national economic security.

The share of machinery, equipment and vehicles in the total volume of non-primary exports characterizes the demand for domestic engineering products, including transport engineering, on the world market, but does not directly characterize the impact of the growth of these products on the state of the Russian transport system.

Ways to prevent threats to national economic security in the field of transport:

- comprehensive development of transport infrastructure;
- creation of modern transport and logistics complexes;
- development and implementation of modern vehicles.
The share of machinery, equipment and vehicles in the total volume of imports characterizes the import dependence of the national economy on an important part of production assets: machinery, equipment and transport. However, this indicator largely describes the state of domestic engineering, including transport engineering, and does not directly characterize the state of the transport sector.

It is obvious that the system of indicators of national economic security should be supplemented with indicators that are more directly related to the assessment of the state of the domestic transport system and its contribution to strengthening overall economic security.

We consider it necessary to supplement the system of indicators of national economic security with the following:

- the dynamics of cargo turnover of all types of transport;
- the dynamics of passenger turnover of all types of transport.

In addition, for analytical purposes, it is necessary to provide a threshold value of these indicators of economic security – at least 70% compared to the level of the previous year. Thus, a decrease in the indicators under consideration by more than 30% compared to the level of the previous year should be considered as a crisis and require measures of state regulatory intervention.

It is necessary to strengthen the role of executive authorities in ensuring economic security in the field of transport. To do this, it is necessary to expand the powers of the Ministry of Transport of the Russian Federation in terms of taking regulatory measures in case of overcoming the threshold values of indicators of national economic security in the field of transport.

5 Conclusions

Thus, at present, the system of ensuring national economic security is experiencing a shortage of regulatory measures in terms of the development of the transport system. Insufficient attention to the transport sector can significantly restrain the pace of economic growth, especially if a country has the potential to transit goods through its territory. Therefore, it is necessary to take measures to further strengthen measures to ensure economic security in the field of transport in the following areas:

- recognition of the key role of the transport system in the prevention, prevention and reduction of the negative impact of threats to national economic security;
- further development of the regulatory and legal support of the transport security system in terms of strengthening its economic block, which can be manifested in expanding the interpretation of transport security and supplementing the system of measures of the Transport Strategy with economic measures, including measures to improve the efficiency of transport systems and vehicles, reduce the distance of delivery of goods and passengers and establish monitoring of threats to economic security in the transport services industry;
- the addition of the system of indicators of national economic security in terms of indicators characterizing the state of the national transport system and its contribution to the economic development of the country, as well as the establishment of threshold values of indicators introduced into the application;
- expanding the powers of the executive authorities responsible for the development of transport in terms of taking operational regulatory measures in cases of crisis situations in the field of transport.
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