Electrodynamic withstand and effects of short-circuit currents on high-voltage electrical equipment
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Abstract. The analysis of simplified expressions allows us to estimate the electrodynamic effects of short-circuit currents on the main elements of a power electrical station and substation, which include busbars, high voltage circuit-breakers, disconnectors, electrical machines and transformers. Examples of calculations of magnetic field induction, mechanical forces and pressures that determine the electrodynamic withstand of electrical equipment are given. Simplified qualitative calculations allow us to assess the impact of key parameters on the selection of appropriate elements and the possible range of their changes during the design, operation and modernization at the preliminary design stage.

1 Introduction

The mechanical effects of short-circuit currents (SC) determine the resistance of electrical equipment to electrodynamic influences. The power electrical circuit of electric power station and substation contains electrical machines (EM) in the form of a generator or motor, a busbar, high voltage circuit-breakers (CB) and a disconnector, a power transformer and an overhead transmission line or cable line. Transient processes in these elements are quite widely described in the literature, for example, in [1, 2] for EM, in [3,4] for power transformer, and the calculated modes of switching on and short-circuit are given in [5,6].

Currently, there is a modernization and improvement of electrical equipment to improve energy efficiency through the use of new designs, technologies and materials [6 - 8].

Despite the availability of digital programs for calculating overcurrents and mechanical effects, for example, EMTP, ETAP, REST, ELAX - 2D, ELINDST 2.0, etc., there is a need to conduct high-quality calculations of the rigidity and stability of transformer windings during short-circuit: calculation of the magnetic field, strength of winding conductors during bending by axial and radial forces, axial vibrations of transformer windings, allowable electric field strengths of oil barrier insulation, value of insulation safety factors.

Block diagram of the connections of power elements at electric power station or substation shows on fig. 1, where they are indicated: EM - electric machine, busbar, CB - high voltage circuit-breaker and D - disconnector, T - power transformer, L - overhead transmission (cable) line.

2 BUSBAR

When calculating the strength of execution and termination on busbar insulators (W), in accordance with Ampère's law, the magnetic induction B is determined by the formula

\[ B = \mu_0 I / 2\pi d \]  

where \( \mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \) H/m, \( I \), \( d \) are respectively the amplitude of the current and the distance between the busbars, \( l \) is the length of the busbar.

In the case of the opposite direction of current \( I \) in two parallel busbar trunkings of length \( l \), repulsive forces \( F \) arise, which are equal to

\[ F = 2 \times 10^6 \times I^2 \times l / d \]  

Figure 2a shows the arrangement of 2 parallel busbars, and fig. 2b shows the vectors of currents \( I \), magnetic inductions \( B \), and repulsive forces \( F \).
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Example 1. Given the values of the short-circuit current equal to $I=105$ A, $d=0.5$ m, $l=1$ m, in accordance with (2) the value $F=4000$ N or 400 kg of force that the switchgear busbars must withstand at a length of 1 m.

Example 2. For a test circuit with a tubular busbar for a 1200 MW turbo generator of Nuclear Power Station with a short-circuit current of 300 kA at a distance of $d=5$ m, the repulsive force is 3600 N over a length of 1 m.

When exposed to a sinusoid of short-circuit current with amplitude $I_0$, dissipation factor $\sigma$, mains frequency $\omega$, attenuation coefficient $\alpha$ in formula (2), the value of $I_2$ should be taken equal to

$$I_2 = I_0 e^{-\alpha t} \left( \cos(\omega t) - e^{-\alpha t} \right)/2$$

As a result, the short-circuit current leads to the appearance of three components of mechanical effects: a constant force and two variable forces with frequencies that are multiples of $\omega$ and $2\omega$. When switching on the EM and power transformer, due to the asymmetric turn-on current, the current contains frequencies that are multiples of 1, 3, 5 harmonics.

3 Circuit-breaker (disconnector).

The equivalent circuit of the circuit breaker and disconnector (CB and D, respectively) is shown in Fig. 3.a. Due to the flow of current $I$ in opposite directions of the horizontal branches, repulsive forces $F$ arise between them. The same force causes the knives CB and D to separate from the traverse. Figure 3 shows the directions of the forces of interaction $F$ in the circuit breaker and disconnector along the gap $x$ of length $d$, the radius of the conductors and connections is $r$.

Example 3. Given the values of the short-circuit current equal to $I=105$ A, $d=0.3$ m, $r=0.01$ m, $l=1$ m, in accordance with (4) $F=2 \times 10^{-7} \ln \left( \frac{0.3}{0.01} - 1 \right) \cdot I = 6870$ N, which is more than 1.5 times the impact force of Example 1 for parallel busbars.

4 Single-layer winding of an electrical machine.

The layout of conductors in a single-layer winding of electric machine is shown in fig. 4, where $l$ is the length of the conductor, $x$ is the current length of the EM slot, $A$ is the linear current density ($A/m$).

On fig. 4b shows the case when the direction of induction $B$ is perpendicular to the plane $lx$.

Linear current density $A$ is

$$A = \sum \frac{1}{x}, A/m$$

The force of interaction of the magnetic field on conductors with current is

$$F = 10^{-6} BA lx, N$$

The specific pressure $p$ per unit area $lx$ is equal to

$$p = 10^{-6} BA N/m^2$$
The effect of force on the windings of EM and power transformer from the magnetic field should be considered using the example of a power transformer.

5 Windings of the power transformer

The distribution of the magnetic field of induction $B$ in the channel between the cylindrical windings of the power transformer is shown in fig. 5a. Ampère's law to the elementary circuit $Adx$ in fig. 5a has the form of a circular integral

$$\int Hdx=Bdx=4\pi Adx,$$

where linear current density $A=\sum I/x (\text{A/m})$.

Neglecting the terms to the other three sides of the closed contour $Adx$, one can obtain

$$B_0 = 4\pi A$$

(9)

The distribution of induction $B$ over the thickness of the winding is shown as a trapezoid in the lower part of fig. 5a.

The magnetic flux $B$, crossing the conductors in the axial direction, acts on the tension (expansion) of the winding outward (due to the opposite signs of the current and the linear current density $A$).

The average induction due to the trapezoidal distribution of $B$ is $B_0/2$. The radial pressure (force) $pr$ from the axial component $Bo$ is directed outward of the winding and is equal to

$$pr= 10^{-7} 4\pi A a^2,$$

(10)

where $A_a = \sqrt{2} A$.

When a short-circuit current flows through the transformer, the inner winding is subjected to a compressive force due to the direction of forces inward towards the magnetic core, and the outer winding experiences a tensile stress due to outward forces [5].

Typically, tensile stress does not pose a risk of damage to the outer winding [2, 5]. The compressive stress of the radial forces causes damage to the internal winding - a wave of radial deformations (hoop buckling) (Fig. 6) [6 - 8].

6 Mathematical model of winding radial buckling

Next, a mathematical model is given for the radial deformation (example in the fig. 6) of one turn of a transformer winding in the form of an equation, which is more accurate than the previously presented models. Due to the use of trigonometric functions when describing the shape and volume of deformation and smaller error, this model more realistically reflects the processes occurring in the windings of power transformers in the case of short circuit.

Assumptions adopted in the preparation of the model:

1. The maximum size of the bulge of transformer winding is taken equal to the size of the concavity: $a$;
2. The convexity range is assumed to be equal to the concavity range: $\Delta \Phi /2$;
3. As an equation describing the concave-convex deformation of the current-conducting coil turn, the function $\varepsilon (\phi)$, described in polar coordinates, is chosen (Fig. 7):

$$\varepsilon (\phi) = a \cdot \sin (2 \cdot \pi \cdot \phi / \Phi), \text{with } 0 \leq \phi < \Phi, \text{ (11)}$$

where $a$ - maximum deformation, the maximum deviation of the distorted section from the ideal state; $\Delta \Phi$ - deformation range, the central angle within which the deformation is observed; $\phi$ - is the function argument, the angle that is set clockwise from the vertical semiaxis.

The equation of radial deformation:

$$e(\phi) = a \sin (2 \pi \phi / \Phi), \text{with } 0 \leq \phi < \Phi. \text{ (12)}$$

The equation describing the shape of the turn of coil:

$$r(\phi) = R + e(\phi) = R + a \sin (2 \pi \phi / \Phi), \text{ with } 0 \leq \phi < \Phi; \text{ (13)}$$

$$R$$ - winding radius, with $\Phi \leq \phi < 2 \pi$. 

Fig. 6. Examples of loss of radial stability

Fig. 7. Top view of the cylindrical winding of a power transformer with a concave-convex deformation after short-circuit with radial buckling.
The expression for the radial concave-convex deformation (11), for reasons of changing the position of the middle of the gap between the windings, we have for radial force:

\[ F_r = \frac{\mu_0 I_{max} \omega}{2h} \left( \frac{D_{12} + \alpha \sin(2\phi/\Phi)}{2g_{\text{max}}} \right) \]  

(14)

where \( \mu_0 \) - is magnetic conductivity;
\( I_{max} \) - short circuit current amplitude;
\( \omega \) - the number of turns of the winding through which short-circuit current flows;
\( \rho_1 \) - the coefficient of reduction of the ideal leakage field to the real (Rogowski coefficient);
\( D_{12} \) - the distance of the tank wall to the middle of the gap between the windings;
\( h \) - winding height.
\( \Phi \) - deformation range, the central angle within which the deformation is observed;
\( \phi \) - is the function argument, the angle that is set clockwise from the vertical semiaxis.

The expression (14) for the radial force acting on the transformer windings in the event of a radial hoop buckling in case of a short circuit has greater accuracy than other expressions.

This expression (14) for the radial force more accurately reflects the processes occurring in the windings of power transformers in case of a short circuit has greater accuracy than other expressions.

7 Axial deformations and axial forces

An example of the axial deformation of the LV winding of 250MVA/220 kV transformer, i.e. the movement of the winding in the axial (vertical) direction under the action of electrodynamic forces during the flow of short-circuit currents (Fig. 8) [31-33].

Axial forces tend to bend the turns of the coils towards each other, which leads to the effect of tensile forces on the insulation layers between the turns of the inner and outer windings [5, 8]. Axial forces also exert pressure on the insulation of the winding concentrator. The change in short-circuit impedance in the HV-LV mode was \( \Delta Z_k = +20\% \) [7, 8].

Own axial forces \( F_b \) (in newton) acting on the windings tend to reduce the height of the windings, therefore, the derivative of the magnetic field energy must be taken along the height of the winding \( h \), i.e.

\[ F_{b(2)} = \frac{\delta W_d}{\delta h} = \frac{1}{2} I_{max}^2 \frac{\delta l_k}{\delta h} = \frac{\mu_0 (I_{max} \omega)^2 \rho_1 \pi D_{12} \delta}{2h^2} \]  

(15)

where \( F_{b(2)} \) - own axial force acting on both windings.

If we consider the axial deformation as a change in the height of the winding, then the axial deformation formula will look like:

\[ \Delta = h_{HV} - h_{LV} \]  

(16)

It follows that:

\[ h_{HV} = h_{LV} + \Delta \]  

(17)

Calculation of internal axial forces acting on the windings of the transformer is presented in fig. 9.
Where $\delta / = \delta_{12} + \frac{b_1 + b_2}{3}$, this is the maximum width of the main leakage channel.

8 Elliptical deformation of winding.

Here we study the case where one or more winding segments have been slightly deformed from the ideal circular form to an elliptic form [by 11] (Fig. 10).

![Fig. 10. Elliptical deformation.](image)

To the first order of approximation in the small parameter $\varepsilon / R$, we obtain the following radial deformation $\delta (r, \varphi)$ of the elliptic winding compared to the unperturbed circular winding:

$$\delta (r, \varphi) = \delta (\varphi) = r - R = \varepsilon \cos (2 \varphi)$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where $R$ - the radius of the undeformed winding, $r$ - the curve describing the elliptical deformation, $\varepsilon = R - r(90^\circ)$.

For small values of the ratio $\varepsilon / R$, the condition of maintaining the length of the coil before and after deformation is satisfied:

$$l = 2\pi R (1 + \varepsilon^2 / (4R^2)) \approx 2\pi R$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Substituting the equation of elliptical deformation into the force formula for radial deformation, we get:

$$F_r = (\mu_0 (\iota_{\text{max}} \omega)^2 \rho_1 \pi (D_{12} + \varepsilon \cos (2\varphi))) / 2h$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

The whole refinement of the formula for radial forces is reduced to the fact that the distance $D_{12}$ changes, which is the middle between the primary and secondary windings. Initially, we assume that only one of the windings is deformed, and then the formula correctly reflects the processes occurring in the transformer winding. In the literature about the second winding in the elliptical deformation is not mentioned.

The second assumption with elliptical deformation is that we mean that the ellipse is as the y axis perpendicular to the tank wall, but this may not be the case and will have to enter another angle into the equation. But this is a topic for further research.

9 Residual deformations with twisting of the winding and lodging of the turns on the edge.

Example 4. Setting for a powerful transformer by (4) with a normal linear current density $A = 75000 \text{ A/m}$ and a short-circuit current equal to 20 $\text{Inom.}$ (nominal current), the radial force is equal to $p_r = 10^{-7} \times 4\pi \times (75000 \times 20) = 28260 \text{ N/m}^2$.

Example 5. For a power transformer with a power of 30,000 kVA, we take the following values (4):

- $a = 1.25 \text{ m}$, $b = 0.04 \text{ m}$, $c = 1.3 \text{ m}$, $d = 0.1 \text{ m}$, $A = 75000 \text{ A/m}$, $I_k = 20 \times \text{Inom.}$, value of $\ln(a/b \times c/d)$ is 0.875. Then the axial force will be $p_a = 4 \times 10^{-7} \times (75000 \times 20) \times 0.875 = 8000 \text{ N/m}^2$ or 8 kg/cm$^2$.

As a result, the axial force is 4 times lower than the radial force. Axial forces from winding layers add up to the middle of the winding and can reach hundreds of tons. As long as the ratios $a/b$ and $c/d$ are almost equal, the axial forces remain acceptable. If the ends of one winding protrude relative to each other, then logarithm $\ln$ of these ratios increases sharply, and with it the axial force increases sharply.

It is also possible the occurrence of tangential winding twisting forces under the action of axial forces, which are much greater in the LV winding than in the HV. At a 500 kV substation, the autotransformer 250 MVA/500 kV/110 kV was disconnected from the action of gas protection. There were deformations of the HV winding with twisting and lodging of the turns on the edge under the action of tangential forces (Fig. 11) [6-8].

![Fig. 11. Residual deformations of the HV winding of 250 MVA/500 kV/110 kV autotransformer with twisting of the winding and lodging of the turns on the edge.](image)

10 220 kV SF$_6$ power transformer.

220 kV SF$_6$ power transformer, produced by Russian Federation manufacturer, with a capacity of 63 MVA is a group of three single-phase 220 kV transformers with SF$_6$ insulation and cooling for normal operation, outdoor installation and at an underground substation is showed at fig. 12.

The design of the main units is determined: the core, the LV, HV and regulating windings, the LV, HV and regulating taps, the active part, the transformer tank and the on-load tap-changer.

The following calculations were carried out: electromagnetic calculation, insulation calculation, calculation of the electrodynamic withstand of
windings in case of short-circuit, gas-dynamic calculation of the cooling system, thermal calculation of the windings and magnetic system, calculation of the transformer tank and the tap changer tank for mechanical strength [9].

![Image](image.png)

Fig. 12. 63 MVA/220 kV SF6 power transformer, produced by Russian Federation manufacturer.

Critical conductor subsidence forces, maximum axial forces and compressive stresses in spacers, average areas of insulation elements over which axial forces are distributed, and coefficients of rigidity of secant concentrers were calculated using the ELDINST-2.0 program [9-12].

11 Conclusions.

1. Calculations of the mechanical impact of short-circuit current on high voltage circuit-breakers, disconnectors, electrical machines and power transformers, calculations of magnetic field induction, mechanical forces are given.

2. Received and investigated mathematical models: radial, elliptical, axial deformation of power transformer windings.

3. Calculations of the electrodynamic stability of the windings of SF6 gas-insulated transformer 63 MVA/220 kV, produced by Russian Federation manufacturer, are given.
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