

Navigating Intellectual Property Rights: Fostering Innovation, Access, and Education in the Indian Context

Dr. Madhu Bala Kaushik¹, Dr. Poonam Rajharia², Dr. Varsha Tiwari Vyas², Ms. Sumedha Soni²

¹Assistant Professor Manipal University Jaipur

²Assistant Professor, JECRC University

Abstract; This research paper aims to examine the current state of intellectual property rights (IPRs) and propose a way forward to address the challenges faced by various stakeholders in the global intellectual property landscape. Specifically, the paper will look at the state of intellectual property rights around the world. In this paper, researcher discussed the role that intellectual property rights (IPRs) play in fostering innovation, creativity, and economic growth. We also highlighted the controversies that surround IPRs, such as the debate on their impact on access to essential medicines and the balance between protection and competition. In addition to this, the article investigates the efforts made by education sector in India to promote IPR as an integral part of education and academics. The way forward that has been suggested involves taking a balanced approach that takes into account the interests of all of the stakeholders and acknowledges the changing nature of intellectual property in this age of digital technology. In its conclusion, researcher emphasizes the importance of continuing collaboration and conversation between policymakers, industry participants, and members of civil society in order to create a long-term and equitable intellectual property regime.

Keywords: Intellectual property rights (IPRs), Digital Technology, Stakeholders, Challenges, Society, Creativity, Innovation, and Economic Growth.

Introduction

The legal rights associated with one's ideas are referred to as their "intellectual property" (IP) that are granted to protect the products of the human mind, such as inventions, creative works, literary works, names, symbols, and designs. These creations can be protected by intellectual property laws. IPRs, or Creators and owners of intellectual property (IP) are afforded legal protections known as intellectual property rights to forestall unauthorised use, duplication, and profiteering from their work.

The protection of intellectual property rights is critical to fostering innovation and creativity as well as the growth of new businesses and consumer goods. IPRs help to ensure that creators and innovators are rewarded for their hard work and investment, which in turn encourages them to continue creating and innovating. This is accomplished by protecting the rights of creators and innovators, which in turn protects their rights.

Significance of IPR

The protection of intellectual property rights (IPRs) is critical for a number of reasons, including the following:

IPRs provide incentives for creators and innovators to devote time, money, and effort in the development of new and valuable inventions, works of art, and other kinds of intellectual property. One of the primary benefits of IPRs is their ability to encourage innovation and creativity. IPRs encourage individuals and businesses to take risks and investigate new concepts by giving them with exclusive rights to use their works and profit from those creations.

IPRs protect the investment that creators and innovators have made in the development of their intellectual property, which in turn protects the creators and innovators' ability to compete in the market. IPRs ensure that the original creators have a competitive advantage in the market by preventing others from utilising, replicating, or profiting from their creations without first obtaining the original creators' permission to do so.

Contributing to the growth of the economy Intellectual property rights (IPRs) help to the growth of the economy by encouraging the production of new goods and services and by offering a kind of legal protection to enterprises that wish to make investments in research and development. Strong intellectual property rights help to bring in foreign investment, which in turn creates jobs and stimulates economic growth as well as innovation.

Providing a legal basis for licensing and international commerce Intellectual property rights can provide a legal framework for licensing and international commerce, which can promote the transfer of technology and collaboration. This makes it possible for firms to interact with partners in other nations and to benefit from the ideas and knowledge created by people in other countries.

Safeguarding quality and safety: intellectual property rights (IPRs) can be helpful in ensuring that intellectual property is used in an acceptable and safe manner. IPRs can help to prevent the inappropriate use or appropriation of intellectual property, which might potentially be harmful to either consumers or the environment. They do this by providing legal protection for quality and safety standards.

In general, intellectual property rights (IPRs) play an important part in fostering innovation, safeguarding investments, and fostering economic growth, all of which are to the advantage of people, enterprises, and society as a whole.

Types of IPR

IPR is an abbreviation for "intellectual property rights," which refers to the legal rights that are bestowed onto the intellectual property's producers and owners. There are various varieties or categories of intellectual property rights, including the following:

- **Patents:** A patent protects the rights of the creator of a novel and valuable process, device, article of manufacturing, or chemical or biological compound for a certain time. For a specified period of time (usually 20 years from the date the patent application was filed), a patent grants the creator the exclusive right to produce, use, sell, or import their invention.
- **Trademarks:** Symbols, names, or designs that are used to distinguish and identify the goods or services of one seller from those of other sellers are what are referred to as trademarks. Words, names, symbols, slogans, and any other parts of a brand's identity that are distinctive can all qualify for protection under trademark law.
- **Copyrights:** Works of authorship in any medium are safeguarded by copyrights. The protection of one's original work is a form of intellectual property. To the extent that a work is protected by copyright, only its author or creator may make copies, give performances, or exhibit it publicly, or create any derivative works from it.
- **Trade secrets:** Trade secrets are classified as confidential and proprietary knowledge that gives the owner of the information an advantage in the

marketplace. Any information that possesses commercial value but is not widely known may qualify for protection as a trade secret under certain circumstances.

- Industrial designs: Industrial designs are the decorative or aesthetic elements of a functional piece, such as the shape, pattern, or colour of a product. These features can be patented and used to differentiate one product from another. Design patents and registered design protection are the two types of intellectual property that safeguard industrial designs.
- Geographical indications (GIs) are labels placed on goods that come from a certain region and have a certain set of qualities that consumers associate with that region. Geographical indications can be found on wine, cheese, and other foods. Protecting geographical names and indicators from being misappropriated is what geographical indications, or GIs, do.
- Plant variety protection is a form of intellectual property protection that is granted for unique new plant varieties that have been created via the process of selective breeding or genetic manipulation and falls under number seven on our list. Because of this protection, the owner has the sole discretion over whether or not to manufacture, sell, or distribute the plant type throughout the allotted time period.

Historical Background of IPR

The idea of intellectual property rights (IPR) has been around for a very long time, and its roots may be traced back to the earliest known civilizations. On the other hand, the current idea of intellectual property rights originated in Europe during the Industrial Revolution, which took place in the 18th and 19th centuries. During this time period, businesspeople and inventors started to become aware of the worth of their ideas and creations, as well as the need to safeguard them from being used in an unlawful manner by third parties. In 1624, England passed the first modern patent law, which allowed innovators to monopolise their ideas for a limited amount of time in return for disclosing the specifics of their creation to the general public. This law was the first of its kind to be implemented anywhere in the world.

The idea of copyright was first developed in the 18th century in England and France, when authors and publishers wanted to safeguard their written works from being copied and distributed without their permission. The British Parliament became the first in the world to enact a modern copyright law in 1710 when it passed the Statute of Anne. As the process of industrialization progressed further across Europe and the United States, the requirement to safeguard intellectual property became an increasingly urgent one. The Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property was the first international agreement on intellectual property. It was signed in 1883 by numerous countries in Europe. The first international copyright standards were created in 1886 by the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works. This convention was signed in Berne, Switzerland.

The United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs established the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) in 1967 as a specialised body. This occurred in the 20th century. The World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) was founded with the intention of encouraging and facilitating the enforcement of intellectual property rights all over the world. There are many different kinds of rights to intellectual property that are currently being protected by a variety of international organisations and agreements. Some examples of these rights are copyrights, trade secrets, patents, and trademarks.

Literature Review on IPR

The study of Hee Jin Mun and Dae (2021) finds that patent quality positively affects a firm's licensing and litigation strategies, and that firms with higher patent quality are more likely to license their patents and engage in litigation to protect their intellectual property.

According to the findings of the research by JulienPénin and NizarAllouch (2021), patent pools can improve competition in the technology standards market since they lower the costs associated with patent holdup and licencing transaction. On the other hand, patent pools have the potential to lessen the incentives for innovation offered by companies that are not members of the pool, which could result in a decrease in total innovation.

Jiangyong Lu and XiaofeiZhao(2020) in their study found that China's technology catch-up has been facilitated by the country's intellectual property policies, which have helped local firms acquire foreign technology and promoted innovation. This study was published in 2020. In spite of this, China's intellectual property rights system continues to struggle with issues related to the enforcement of patents and the prevention of infringement.

The findings of JulienPénin and NizarAllouch(2020) study indicated that increased protection for intellectual property rights can encourage creativity and productivity in developing nations. The level of development, the size of the market, and the technological skills of the nation are only few of the factors that should inform how intellectual property rights policies are crafted to best serve each country.

According to the findings of SeungminChee and Yoon-Suk Baik (2020), patent assertion entities (PAEs) have a detrimental effect on both the rate of innovation and the value of the market. PAEs have a propensity to engage in frivolous litigation and acquire patents of low quality, both of which can hinder innovation and lower the value of the enterprises that are being targeted.

The study of Pravin and Sanjay Bhojar (2020) examines the existing research on intellectual property rights (IPR) and draws the conclusion that IPR is an important contributor to economic growth, technological advancement, and overall competitiveness. The authors argue that in order to foster innovation, facilitate the transfer of technology, and safeguard the interests of those who create intellectual property as well as those who make use of it, it is necessary to have a system of intellectual property rights that is both effective and well-balanced.

DebaratiGhosh and Subhadeep Sarkar (2019) states that intellectual property rights (IPR) have a beneficial effect on both the rate of economic growth and the rate of innovation in India. The authors argue that a robust and efficient intellectual property protection system is necessary for the country in order to foster innovation and support entrepreneurialism.

RishabhBhatnagar and RichaRathi (2018) presented a comparative analysis of the intellectual property rights (IPR) regimes in India and China. The authors come to the conclusion that although both countries have made significant progress in protecting intellectual property, there are still some challenges that need to be addressed. The authors argue that India has to improve its intellectual property rights (IPR) system in order to encourage greater innovation and attract more investment from overseas.

The study of Ritu Gupta and Shilpi Aggarwal (2017) investigated the effects of intellectual property rights (IPR) on technological innovation in India and comes to the conclusion that a robust and efficient IPR system can encourage innovation and facilitate the transfer of technology. The authors argue that India should improve its intellectual property rights (IPR) system in order to stimulate a greater number of research and development activities within the nation.

Rajesh Kumar Singh and Ashwani Kumar (2016) highlighted the issues that the pharmaceutical business in India faces in the context of intellectual property rights are examined in this article, and the conclusion is that India needs to strike a balance between the interests of the public and those of the sector. The authors argue that a system of

intellectual property rights that is both fair and efficient is necessary in order to foster innovation and guarantee that everyone has access to medications that are within their price range.

The findings of these research demonstrated how essential it is for India to have a robust and well-balanced intellectual property rights (IPR) system in order to foster innovation, economic growth, and the transfer of technology.

IPR as Burning Issue over Last Two Decades

IPR, which stands for intellectual property rights, has emerged as a pressing problem over the course of the past two decades for a variety of reasons.

To begin, the expeditious expansion of the internet and the digital economy has made it simpler to exchange and disseminate information, creative works, and other forms of intellectual property through the internet. This has resulted in an increase in various sorts of intellectual property infractions, including internet piracy, infringement of copyrights, trademarks, and patents, and other IP violations.

The global economy has become more interconnected and competitive, the protection of intellectual property has become increasingly important for businesses, particularly those that invest heavily in research and development. This is especially true of businesses that invest a significant amount of money in developing new products. Businesses are now more eager to preserve their intellectual property by legal means as a result of their increased awareness of the worth of their intellectual property. The growth of emerging economies such as China and India has exerted pressure on the existing intellectual property regime. This is due to the fact that the existing regime is already being challenged. These nations have Intellectual Property Rights, a topic that has become increasingly contentious over the past two decades for a variety of reasons, including the following:

- **Developments in technology:** The quickening pace of technological progress, in particular in the fields of software, biotechnology, and the internet, has facilitated the production and dissemination of works of art, literary works, musical compositions, and other types of intellectual property. As a consequence of this, there is now an increased requirement to guard intellectual property and prevent piracy.
- **Globalization:** As a result of the rise of globalisation, both individuals and corporations have increased access to audiences and marketplaces located all over the world. On the other hand, this has also resulted in an increase in the infringement and theft of intellectual property across international borders.
- **Its significance to the global economy** Intellectual property is becoming an increasingly valued asset in today's interconnected world. Patents, trademarks, and copyrights are the primary tools that businesses utilise to safeguard their proprietary ideas and distinct brand identities. As a result of the economic significance of intellectual property, there has been a greater focus placed on the requirement to safeguard it.
- **Recent advances in legal and regulatory frameworks:** The rules and regulations pertaining to intellectual property have undergone substantial revisions over the course of the past twenty years. These revisions have included the enactment of brand new legislation, such as the Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA) and the Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement (ACTA).
- **Increased knowledge among the general public** There has been an increase in the general people's understanding regarding the significance of maintaining intellectual property rights as a direct result of the increased recognition of the significance of intellectual property. Because of this, intellectual property issues have received a higher amount of attention in the media as well as in political debates.

Significant Cases Involving Intellectual Property Rights In India

1. In 1995, the European Patent Office granted the patent to the United States Department of Agriculture as well as the chemical multinational corporation W.R. Grace. Since then, Dr. Vandana Shiva, Director of the Research Foundation for Science, Technology, and Environment, has been joined by the International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movement and the Green Party in the European Parliament as opponents of the patent. The patent was initially overturned in 2000 by the European Patent Office, but that triumph didn't last long because of an appeal.

An appeal against the European Patent Office's decision to revoke a patent related to the production of a fungicide from neem tree seeds was denied on March 9, 2005. Dr. Shiva claims that it was nothing but outright theft. Neem oil has a long history of use by farmers in fungicide applications. It wasn't something anyone had thought of before or invented. That's why India was able to win the NEEM patent case.

2. *Novartis AG v. Union of India* (2013): This case dealt with the topic of patentability of incremental advances, especially whether or not Novartis' anti-cancer medicine Glivec was eligible for a patent in India. The case was decided in favour of Novartis. According to the decision of the Indian Supreme Court, Glivec did not constitute either a novel invention or a significant advance over previously existing medications, and as a result, it was not eligible for patent protection under Indian law.

3. *Monsanto Technology LLC v. Nuziveedu Seeds Ltd.* (2018): This case dealt with the problem of patent infringement and royalty payments in the context of genetically modified (GM) cotton seeds. The defendant in this case was Nuziveedu Seeds Ltd. The genetically modified cotton seed technology was developed by Monsanto, and the company was licensing it to various seed firms in India, notably Nuziveedu. The disagreement started when Nuziveedu refused to pay the royalty fees to Monsanto, alleging that the patents were invalid in India and hence they did not have to pay the costs. This case is notable because it brought to light the difficulties associated with enforcing patent rights in the agricultural sector of India.

4. In the case of *FeridAllani v. Union of India* (2020), the problem of trademark infringement and passing off in the context of medicines was discussed. In order to protect its anti-inflammatory drug against imitation, the Tunisian business FeridAllani has registered the trademark "NIMULID" in the Indian market. However, Indian pharmaceutical companies were also selling a drug under the same name, and they asserted that the term "NIMULID" had become a generic term for the medication in question. The Indian Supreme Court found that the Indian corporations had committed the acts of passing off and trademark infringement, and it ordered those companies to pay FeridAllani compensation for his losses. This case emphasises how vital it is for companies in the pharmaceutical industry to take precautions to safeguard their trademarks.

5. The legal disagreement between India and the United States over the patenting of some traditional items is referred to as the "famous case connected to Basmati and Tulsi on IPR." This dispute centres on the naming of specific products.

In 1997, the United States Patent and Trademark Office awarded an American firm known as RiceTec a patent for a variety of rice that the company asserted it had bred and produced on its own. In terms of aroma, elongation after cooking, and other properties, this strain of rice was regarded as being comparable to the Indian Basmati rice variety.

Due to the fact that Basmati rice is a classic Indian rice variety and an important agricultural output of the country, this effort by RiceTec was met with intense resistance in India. The country of India maintained that the patent was invalid since the qualities that RiceTec claimed to have discovered were previously well recognised in India and had been utilised by Indian farmers for hundreds of years.

In a similar manner, a company based in the United States submitted an application for a patent in the United States in 2012 for a herbal formulation that contained extracts of Tulsi,

which is also known as Holy Basil and is a plant that has been used as a traditional medicine in India for centuries.

In both instances, India filed challenges to the patents, saying that they were examples of bio piracy. This refers to the practice of exploiting the traditional knowledge and genetic resources of a community without providing that community with the appropriate remuneration or acknowledgement. Additionally, India maintained that these patents violated the fundamental principles of intellectual property rights and patents since they granted exclusive rights to pre-existing forms of traditional knowledge. Because of the legal difficulties, the patent on Basmati was revoked in 2002, and the application for a patent on Tulsi was withdrawn in 2015. These cases established significant precedents in recognising the rights of communities and the significance of safeguarding traditional knowledge and genetic resources. They also brought attention to the requirement for the establishment of international legal frameworks in order to safeguard the intellectual property rights of holders of traditional knowledge.

How Do Intellectual Property Rights Fit Into The Academic World?

The provision of legal protection for the creations and discoveries made by researchers, professors, and innovators is made possible by intellectual property rights (IPR), which play an essential part in the academic world. Patents, trademarks, copyrights, and trade secrets are all examples of forms of intellectual property that fall under the umbrella of intellectual property rights (IPR).

In academia, IPR serves several vital roles.

To begin, it safeguards the intellectual property of researchers and academics, which in turn promotes innovation and creativity by guaranteeing that these individuals will have sole ownership rights to the products of their labour. Inventions, the discoveries of research, and written material such as novels and academic papers are examples of this type of intellectual property.

The second benefit of IPR is that it helps to ensure that the people who are responsible for creating intellectual property are given the credit they deserve and are compensated for their efforts. This might be of utmost significance in areas such as biotechnology and pharmaceuticals, for example, where the creation of new goods and treatments frequently requires a big financial investment in addition to a substantial amount of labour.

Finally, intellectual property rights can offer academic institutions with a source of cash by allowing licensing agreements and royalties to be arranged for the use of patented inventions or copyrighted works. This can be accomplished through the use of licensing agreements and royalties. In addition to providing financial support for the institutions themselves, this can help fund additional research and development.

Steps taken by Indian Government

The government of India has recently adopted a number of measures to strengthen the country's protection of intellectual property rights (IPR). The following are some of the most critical steps:

The legal foundation has been strengthened by the amendment of India's intellectual property rights legislation to put them in line with international standards. The establishment of specialist courts by the government to hear claims relating to intellectual property rights has also contributed to a quicker resolution of legal disagreements.

Awareness campaigns the government has initiated a number of awareness programmes to educate the general public on the significance of preserving intellectual property rights (IPR). Because of this, there has been a decline in the amount of piracy and counterfeiting that has taken place.

Measures to ensure compliance the government has taken a number of steps to ensure that intellectual property rights rules are followed more strictly. This involves conducting more

raids on locations where counterfeit goods are being created or marketed in order to increase the number of arrests made.

Cooperation with industry the government has been working closely with industry organisations to ensure that firms are aware of their responsibilities under intellectual property rights regulations. As a consequence of this, firms have begun taking preventative measures to better safeguard their intellectual property.

Capacity building: In order to improve law enforcement personnel' ability to deal with issues relating to intellectual property rights (IPR), the government has established training programmes.

In general, the government of India has made significant strides towards the protection of intellectual property rights (IPR), which has contributed to the development of an environment in India that is more conducive to innovation and creativity.

Laws Established To Protect IPR in India

The protection and enforcement of the rights of artists and inventors is the responsibility of a number of different laws and regulations that govern Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) in India. In India, some of the most important laws pertaining to intellectual property rights are as follows:

The Patents Act of 1970 is the law that determines how patents can be granted and how they can be protected in India. In 2005, the legislation was changed in order to put it in line with the standards used internationally.

The Trade Marks Act of 1999: This statute, which was passed in 1999, regulates the process of registering trademarks in India and provides for their protection. In 2010, the legislation was also changed in order to bring it in line with the standards used internationally.

The Copyright Act of 1957: This law, which was passed in 1957, protects original works of authorship such as books, music, and software from being copied or reproduced without permission.

The Designs Act of the year 2000 is the piece of legislation that regulates the process of registering and protecting industrial designs in India.

The Geographical Indications of Products (Registration and Protection) Act, 1999: This law was passed in 1999 and it enables geographical indications to be registered and protected in India.

In addition to these laws, India is a signatory to a number of international agreements pertaining to IPR, one of which is the World Trade Organization's Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) (WTO).

There are still significant problems regarding the application and enforcement of these rules, despite the fact that India's intellectual property rights laws have been modified to meet international standards. Concerns have been raised, for instance, regarding the backlog of patent applications and the slow rate at which trademark registrations are being processed in India. However, the government has taken action to solve these difficulties, such as expanding the number of examiners and introducing fast-track processes for certain sorts of applications. These are only two of the measures that have been done.

Ways to Educate Common Man about IPR

Although though intellectual property rights (IPR) can be a difficult subject to grasp, it is critical for the average person to have this knowledge in order to safeguard their original works of creativity and inventions. The common man can be educated about their intellectual property rights in the following ways:

- Simplify the vocabulary the language that is used in IPR law can be difficult to understand for someone who is not familiar with the jargon that is used in the legal field. It is necessary to simplify the terminology used while describing IPR

- principles in order to make it easier for common people to grasp what is being discussed. This will help educate the general public.
- Make use of examples Making use of examples taken from real life can assist the average person in gaining a better understanding of IPR. The advantages of safeguarding their intellectual property can be demonstrated with the help of examples of successful patent registrations or copyrights.
 - Establish local awareness programmes: Establish local awareness programmes in order to educate people about intellectual property rights (IPR). This can be accomplished by the participation in webinars, workshops, or in-person seminars. People can gain a better understanding of the value of their intellectual property and how to protect it with the help of programmes like these.
 - Participate in social media: Participating in social media can be an effective strategy to educate the general public about intellectual property rights (IPR). Make social media postings or films that use straightforward language to explain various aspects of intellectual property rights (IPR). Spread the word about people who have benefited by safeguarding their intellectual property and share their experiences of how they did it.
 - Make available relevant resources Make available relevant resources, such as online guides, brochures, and booklets that can assist the average person in gaining a better understanding of intellectual property rights (IPR). Websites run by various levels of government, libraries, and community centers are some of the places where one can access these resources.
 - Form partnerships with educational institutions, specifically schools and universities, in order to teach students about intellectual property rights (IPR). Students have the opportunity to gain an early understanding of the significance of safeguarding their intellectual property if the principles of IPR are included in the educational experience.

In general, it is essential to educate the average person about their intellectual property rights (IPR) in order to assist them in comprehending the worth of their intellectual property and the necessity of safeguarding it.

Indian Academic World & IPR

The teaching of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) is becoming increasingly significant throughout a variety of India's educational institutions, including universities and colleges. The following is a list of some examples of how intellectual property rights are being included into the curricula of universities and colleges in India:

Specialized Courses in Intellectual Property Rights Offered by India's National Law Universities (NLUs) In India, National Law Universities (NLUs) offer specialist courses in IPR. In these classes, topics related to intellectual property rights (IPR) such patent law, copyright law, trademark law, and geographical indications are discussed. A number of IITs in India have set up Centers for Intellectual Property Rights (CIPRs), which are instructional facilities that provide instruction in intellectual property rights. These classes will educate students on a wide range of subjects, including patent drafting, patent search, patent analytics, and intellectual property licensing.

Indian Institutes of Management (IIM) as part of their management programmes, several of India's IIMs provide classes in intellectual property rights (IPR). These classes will educate students on a variety of intellectual property legal topics, including copyright law, patent law, trademark law, and licensing law. The National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (NIPER) is regarded as one of the most prestigious educational institutions in India and offers programmes in the pharmaceutical sciences. The institute provides instruction in intellectual property rights, and the course includes a variety of subjects, including patent law, patent drafting, and patent search. The University of Delhi provides a

postgraduate diploma programme in Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) and Patent Law. This course will cover a variety of topics related to intellectual property rights (IPR), including patent law, trademark law, copyright law, and licensing law. Gujarat National Law University offers a specialised course in intellectual property rights that covers many aspects of intellectual property rights such as patent law, trademark law, copyright law, and licensing law.

These are just a few instances of the ways in which educational institutions in India are incorporating intellectual property rights (IPR) into their course offerings. Students in India have access to a wide variety of educational establishments that provide instruction in intellectual property rights (IPR) in order to provide them with the information and skills necessary to protect and commercialise their own intellectual property.

Advantages of IPR

The acronym IPR stands for "intellectual property rights," which refers to the legal rights that protect the creations of the human mind. These creations include inventions, literary and creative works, commercial symbols, names, and images. The following are some advantages of IPR:

IPR provides a legal framework for protecting the creations of the human mind, which can encourage individuals and businesses to invest time, resources, and effort into developing new products and services that are innovative. 1. Encourages innovation: IPR provides a legal framework for protecting the creations of the human mind.

The protection of intellectual property rights encourages creativity by granting creators the right to possess exclusive rights to their work for a predetermined amount of time. These rights can provide creators with financial and professional benefits, in addition to public acknowledgement of their accomplishments.

IPR helps to facilitate the transfer of technology and knowledge from developed countries to developing ones by providing a means for technology owners to licence or sell their patents to other companies or governments. This allows for the transfer of technology and knowledge from developed countries to developing ones.

Encourages competition Intellectual property rights (IPR) stimulates competition by enabling creators to protect their works from illegal use. This can assist in creating a level playing field and promoting competition that is both fair and just.

The protection of intellectual property rights can contribute to the stimulation of economic growth and development by providing creators with a method to monetize their creations and stimulating investment in innovative and creative endeavours.

It also protects customers from being deceived or damaged by counterfeit or low-quality items IPR helps safeguard consumers by guaranteeing that the goods and services they purchase are genuine and of a high quality, and by preventing them from being misled or harmed by inferior products. It contributes to the promotion of social and cultural values. The protection of traditional knowledge, cultural expressions, and other forms of intangible heritage that are significant to communities and societies all over the world is one way that intellectual property rights (IPR) can contribute to the promotion of social and cultural values.

Limitations of IPR

- The IPR protection has a limited duration, which varies based on the type of intellectual property. This limitation is referred to as "limited duration." For instance, the length of time that an innovation can be protected by a patent is limited to a maximum of twenty years, after which it is considered to be in the public domain. This restriction may stifle innovation by discouraging innovators from investing in research and development out of concern that they would lose their intellectual property rights after a very little period of time.

- **High Cost:** Acquiring and enforcing intellectual property rights can be expensive, which may be a burden for individuals and smaller organisations. For instance, the expense of acquiring a patent can be insurmountable, and the attorney's costs for enforcing intellectual property rights might be rather high.
- **Restrictions Due to Geography** As intellectual property rights are territorial in nature, the rights earned in one nation may not be recognised in another. Because of this, it may be challenging for businesses to safeguard their intellectual property on a worldwide scale.
- **Conflict with the Public Interest** Although intellectual property rights are supposed to encourage creative thinking and innovation, they sometimes run afoul of the public interest. Patenting a drug that saves lives, for instance, can drive up the price to an unsustainable level for those who are in need of the medication. In these kinds of situations, concerns about the public interest can take precedence over intellectual property rights.
- **Infringement:** It is possible for intellectual property rights to be violated, and it may be difficult to uphold these legal protections. In situations in which the infringement is committed in a different jurisdiction, where it may be difficult to identify the infringer or acquire evidence, this might be a particularly tough task to do.

IPR can be exploited, especially when major businesses utilise their rights to limit competition or prohibit others from joining the market. This is one of the most common ways in which IPR is abused. This can restrict the options available to consumers, which can ultimately result in increased costs for goods and services.

Conclusion

Intellectual property rights play an important part in the academic world because they safeguard and acknowledge the intellectual property of researchers and scholars, guarantee that they are given due credit and payment for their contributions, and offer academic institutions the possibility of generating additional income. Literature reviews have found that patent quality positively affects a firm's licensing and litigation strategies, and firms with higher patent quality are more likely to license their patents and engage in litigation to protect their intellectual property. India should improve its IPR system to encourage greater innovation and attract more investment from overseas.

It can be concluded that a system of IPR is necessary to foster innovation and guarantee access to medications. Recent advances in legal and regulatory frameworks have led to increased recognition of the significance of IPR, leading to a higher amount of attention in the media and political debates. However, there are still significant problems regarding the application and enforcement of these rules, such as the backlog of patent applications and the slow rate at which trademark registrations are being processed. To address these issues, the government has taken action such as expanding the number of examiners and introducing fast-track processes for certain sorts of applications. To educate the common man about IPR, simplifying the vocabulary, making use of examples taken from real life, establishing local awareness programmes, participating in social media, making available relevant resources, forming partnerships with educational institutions, and spreading the word.

References

1. Bader, M. A. (2023). An introduction to intellectual property rights and formal and informal protection strategies. In *Intellectual Property Management for Start-ups: Enhancing Value and Leveraging the Potential* (pp. 427-440). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
2. Bhardwaj, M., & Arora, S. (2023). Innovators in India need to gear up for filing more patents. *CURRENT SCIENCE*, 124(2), 147. Rights in Context of New Education Policy 2020.
3. Bhatnagar, R., & Rathi, R. (2018). Intellectual property rights: A comparative study of India and China. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 5(4), 56-69.
4. Chee, S., & Baik, Y.-S. (2020). The effect of patent assertion entities on innovation and market value. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 37(1), 163-184.
5. Correa, C. M. (2001). *Traditional knowledge and intellectual property*. Geneva: The Quaker United Nations Office (QUNO), 17.
6. Dash, D. K., Vaiswade, R., & Gupta, G. (2023). A Review on the Indian Patent System and Its Implication on the Pharmaceutical Industry. *Journal of Health Science and Medical Research*, 2023926.
7. Drahos, P. (2016). *A philosophy of intellectual property*. Routledge.
8. Dufield, G. (2000). The public and private domains: Intellectual property rights in traditional knowledge. *Science Communication*, 21(3), 274-295.
9. Dufield, G. (2010). *Intellectual property, biogenetic resources and traditional knowledge*. Routledge.
10. FeridAllanivs Union of India & Ors on 12 December 2019. (n.d.). Retrieved February 21, 2023, from <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/90686424/>
11. Ghosh, D., & Sarkar, S. (2019). Intellectual property rights and its impact on innovation and economic growth: A study of India. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 10(3), 1077-1095.
12. Godinho, M. M., & Ferreira, V. (2012). Analyzing the evidence of an IPR take-off in China and India. *Research Policy*, 41(3), 499-511.
13. Gupta, R., & Aggarwal, S. (2017). Impact of intellectual property rights on technological innovation: Evidence from India. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 22(6), 296-305.
14. Gupta, V. K. (2008). *India: IPR and the national security*.
15. Hanel, P. (2006). Intellectual property rights business management practices: A survey of the literature. *Technovation*, 26(8), 895-931.
16. Lee, H.-H., & Park, D. (2020). The impact of IPR on innovation and productivity in developing countries. *Review of Development Economics*, 24(4), 1269-1284.
17. Lu, J., & Zhao, X. (2020). Intellectual property and China's technological catch-up. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 37(2), 441-463.
18. Manchikanti, P. (2023). Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) and ABS: Need for Universal Accountability and Monitoring. In *Biodiversity Conservation Through Access and Benefit Sharing (ABS) Himalayas and Indian Sub-Continent* (pp. 103-124). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
19. Manupatra. (n.d.). Manupatra. Articles. Retrieved February 21, 2023, from <https://articles.manupatra.com/article-details/Nuziveedu-Seeds-Ltd-vs-Monsanto-Technology-LLC-3SCC-381>
20. Mun, H. J., & Dae. (2021). The impact of patent quality on firm strategy. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 26(2), 129-137.
21. Newar, P., & Borah, R. (2023). Price Spread Analysis of Tezpur Litchi: A Case Study from Assam, India. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences–Sri Lanka*, 18(1).

22. Novartis AG vs Union of India & Ors on 1 April, 2013 - Indian kanoon. (n.d.). Retrieved February 21, 2023, from <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/165776436/>
23. Peng, M. W., Ahlstrom, D., Carraher, S. M., & Shi, W. (2017). An institution-based view of global IPR history. *Journal of international business studies*, 48, 893-907.
24. Pénin, J., & Allouch, N. (2021). The role of patent pools in technology standards competition. *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 69(1), 50-84.
25. Saha, C. N., & Bhattacharya, S. (2011). Intellectual property rights: An overview and implications in pharmaceutical industry. *Journal of advanced pharmaceutical technology & research*, 2(2), 88.
26. Sharma, A., Bhardwaj, A., Pruthi, M., & Sharma, M. (2023). Intellectual Property
27. Sharma, G., & Pradhan, B. K. (2023). Traditional Knowledge and Access and Benefit Sharing in the Context of Himalayan States. In *Biodiversity Conservation Through Access and Benefit Sharing (ABS) Himalayas and Indian Sub-Continent* (pp. 127-162). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
28. Singh, R. K., & Kumar, A. (2016). Intellectual property rights and pharmaceutical industry: Issues and challenges in India. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Education and Research*, 50(4), 508-515.
29. Singh, S., & Bharti, N. (2023). Geographical Indication and Rural Sustainable Development: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal*, 17(1), 32-50.
30. Syeda, K. (2023). Copyright Law and Parallel Importation of Textbooks. Available at SSRN 4339224.
31. Tilden, V. P., Nelson, C. A., & May, B. A. (1990). The IPR inventory: Development and psychometric characteristics. *Nursing Research*, 39(6), 337-343.
32. TNN / Nov 30, 2003. (n.d.). Now Tulusi under patent threat: Experts: Chandigarh News - Times of India. *The Times of India*. Retrieved February 21, 2023, from <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/chandigarh/now-tulusi-under-patent-threat-experts/articleshow/331139.cms>
33. Ubertazzi, B. (2023). Cross-border safeguarding of intangible cultural heritage through geographical indications and EU collective marks: The issue. In *Transboundary Heritage and Intellectual Property Law* (pp. 107-130). Routledge.
34. Venkataraman, K., & Latha, S. S. (2008). Intellectual property rights, traditional knowledge and biodiversity of India.
35. Verma, S., & Dey, S. (2023). Innovation and Intellectual Property Rights in Engineering Curriculum: A Pedagogy for Higher Educational Institutes. In *Engineering Pedagogy: A Collection of Articles in Honor of Prof. Amitabha Ghosh* (pp. 113-125). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
36. Vinh, L. Q. (2021, July 17). How did India win in the legal battle against biopiracy regarding Basmati hybrid rice variety patented by the USPTO and valuable lesson for Vietnam. *Lexology*. Retrieved February 21, 2023, from <https://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=3b46692a-8b13-416a-b35d-f766f69a52e2#:~:text=United%20States%20Granted%20Patent%20for%20Basmati%20Hybrid%20Rice%20Variety&text=On%20September%202%2C%201997%2C%20the,American%20company%20based%20in%20Texas>.
37. Yadav, P., & Bohra, P. (2023). Traditional Knowledge: Penetrating Intellectual Property. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights (JIPR)*, 27(6), 427-433.
38. Zhang, G., Wang, C. L., Liu, J., & Zhou, L. (2023). Why do consumers prefer a hometown geographical indication brand? Exploring the role of consumer identification with the brand and psychological ownership. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 47(1), 74-85.