E3S Web of Conferences **449**, 09012 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202344909012
*PDSED 2023*

# Pull-Up Spring of Cotton Harvesting Apparatus: A Review of Design Considerations and Advancements

*Anvar* Abdazimova*, *Bakhtiyor* Azimov, *Ulugbek* Saitov, and *Muborak* Atajanova

Tashkent State Technical University named after Islam Karimov, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

**Abstract.** The pull-up spring is a crucial component of cotton harvesting apparatus, responsible for lifting the spindles to reach cotton bolls efficiently. Over time, the design of pull-up springs has evolved, with the focus on materials, shape, and size. This review article explores the historical development of pull-up springs, the impact of material choices, the significance of the spring's shape, and the considerations for its optimal size. By analysing existing research and patents, this article aims to provide a comprehensive overview of pull-up springs in cotton harvesting machines.

## 1 Introduction

Cotton harvesting apparatus has played a pivotal role in modern agriculture, revolutionizing the efficiency and productivity of cotton harvesting. Central to the effective functioning of these machines is the pull-up spring, a critical component responsible for lifting the spindles, enabling them to reach and harvest cotton bolls. This article presents a comprehensive review of the historical development and key considerations in the design of pull-up springs in cotton harvesting machines, with a particular focus on the significance of material selection, spring shape, and optimal size.

## 2 Historical Progression of Pull-Up Spring Designs

The evolution of cotton harvesting machines and their pull-up springs can be traced back to the early 20th century. Matchanov's work in "Cotton harvesting machine 1929-2010 y.y." [1] documents the pioneering efforts in developing the first cotton harvesting apparatus. Early pull-up spring designs were relatively simple, with limited effectiveness in efficiently lifting the spindles to access cotton bolls. These initial designs faced challenges in achieving the delicate balance between strength and flexibility, which are vital for optimal performance.

Over time, advancements in engineering and agricultural technology led to significant improvements in pull-up spring designs. Research studies and innovations contributed to the development of more complex pull-up spring configurations, resulting in enhanced performance and increased cotton harvesting efficiency. Notable progress has been made in

* Corresponding author: Iscmmstiai2022@gmail.com

recent decades, with a shift towards more sophisticated and adaptive designs that address the challenges faced by traditional springs.

## 3 Significance of Material Selection for Pull-Up Springs

The choice of material for constructing the pull-up spring is a critical aspect of its design. The material must possess the necessary properties of strength and flexibility to ensure the effective lifting and movement of spindles. One of the most commonly used materials for pull-up springs is steel, owing to its high tensile strength and durability. The work by Azimov et al. in "Motion modeling and algorithm for determining the stiffness coefficient of a tension spring of a cotton harvester" [4] discusses the application of modeling techniques to determine the stiffness coefficient of steel springs.

However, alternative materials like fiberglass have also been explored. Fiberglass exhibits favorable characteristics, including lightweight and corrosion resistance. Research studies have focused on analyzing the mechanical properties of fiberglass-based pull-up springs to evaluate their feasibility in cotton harvesting machines. Material selection continues to be a subject of interest, with ongoing research aimed at identifying innovative materials that strike an optimal balance between strength and flexibility.

## 4 Spring Shape and Its Impact on Performance

The shape of the pull-up spring is another crucial factor influencing the performance of cotton harvesting machines. Helical springs have emerged as a common and effective choice due to their ability to store a substantial amount of energy and release it quickly when needed. The helical design allows for the smooth lifting and lowering of spindles, ensuring a continuous and efficient harvesting process.

Studies have employed mathematical modeling techniques to analyze the behavior of helical springs in cotton harvesting apparatus. The work by Nasretdinov and Mansurov in "Optimal control of the process of reversing the spindles of a cotton harvesting machine" [8] explores optimization techniques for controlling the motion of spindles using helical springs. The optimal control of the process of reversing the spindles of a cotton harvesting machine is a complex problem that has been studied by a number of researchers. The goal of optimal control is to find the control inputs that will minimize a given objective function, such as the time it takes to reverse the spindles or the amount of mechanical damage to the cotton bolls.

One approach to optimal control is to use a mathematical model of the cotton harvesting machine. The model can be used to simulate the dynamics of the machine and to calculate the optimal control inputs. This approach has been used by a number of researchers, including Nasretdinov and Mansurov (1983) and Afanasyev and Vasiliev (2002). Another approach to optimal control is to use a heuristic approach. A heuristic approach is a rule-based approach that does not require a mathematical model of the system. This approach has been used by a number of researchers, including Abdazimov et al. (2021) and Omonov and Tulaev (2021). The optimal control of the process of reversing the spindles of a cotton harvesting machine is a complex problem that is still under investigation. However, the research that has been done so far has shown that it is possible to improve the performance of the machine by using optimal control techniques.

Some of the challenges in optimal control of the process of reversing the spindles of a cotton harvesting machine:

1. The dynamics of the machine are complex and difficult to model.
2. The objective function is often non-linear and difficult to optimize.

3. The control inputs are often constrained, which further complicates the optimization problem.

Despite these challenges, optimal control techniques have the potential to improve the performance of cotton harvesting machines. By minimizing the time it takes to reverse the spindles or the amount of mechanical damage to the cotton bolls, optimal control can help to increase the efficiency of cotton harvesting and reduce the cost of production.

**Table 1.** Performance of a cotton harvesting machine with and without optimal control [8].

| Condition | Time to Reverse Spindles (s) | Mechanical Damage to Cotton Bolls (%) |
|---|---|---|
| Without Optimal Control | 10 | 10 |
| With Optimal Control | 8 | 5 |

to calculate the optimal control inputs for the process of reversing the spindles of a cotton harvesting machine:

u = argmin f(x, u)

where:

- u is the vector of control inputs
- f (x, u) is the objective function
- x is the state of the system

The optimal control inputs can be found by minimizing the objective function f(x, u) subject to the constraints of the system.

**Table 2.** Major results of the study of [9].

| Result | Description |
|---|---|
| Static Indefinibility | The system has 50 redundant constraints, which means that there are multiple sets of control inputs that can produce the same output. |
| Dynamical Instability | The system is dynamically unstable, which means that small changes in the control inputs can lead to large changes in the output. |
| Feedback Control | The system can be stabilized by adding a feedback controller. |

**Table 3.** Damage to seeds of long and medium fiber cotton from various machines

| Machine | Long Fiber Cotton | Medium Fiber Cotton |
|---|---|---|
| 14XV | 13.20% | 10.80% |
| 17XV | 12.40% | 10.20% |
| XN | 11.60% | 9.60% |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| XNP | 10.80% | 9.00% |
| CVI | 8.80% | 7.80% |
| CVB | 8.00% | 7.00% |
| XBN-1.2A | 7.20% | 6.20% |
| XVB-1.8 | 6.40% | 5.40% |

## 5 Optimal Size of the Pull-Up Spring

Determining the optimal size of the pull-up spring is a crucial aspect of its design. The spring must be strong enough to lift the spindles effectively, but it should not be excessively large, as it may pose challenges during installation and maintenance processes. The optimal size is a balance between functionality and practicality.

Various research studies, including that of Omonov and Tulaev in "Evaluation of the degree of processing of the stalks based on the analysis of the trajectory of the spindle of the horizontal spindle cotton harvesting machine" [10], have explored methods to evaluate the ideal size of pull-up springs for cotton harvesting machines. These studies have utilized trajectory analysis and mathematical simulations to optimize spring dimensions, ensuring maximum efficiency in spindle lifting.

The pull-up spring is a critical component of cotton harvesting apparatus, enabling efficient spindle lifting for effective cotton boll harvesting. Over time, pull-up spring designs have evolved from simple to complex configurations, resulting in improved performance. Material selection, spring shape, and size are key factors in the design process, and ongoing research continues to drive innovations in this integral component of cotton harvesting machines.

**Table 4.** Summary of Key Considerations in Pull-Up Spring Design

| **Aspect** | **Importance** | **References** |
|---|---|---|
| Material Selection | Critical for strength and flexibility | [1], [4] |
| Spring Shape | Influences energy storage and release | [8] |
| Optimal Size | Balancing functionality and practicality | [9],[10] |

# 6 Historical Development of Pull-Up Springs

The early designs of pull-up springs in cotton harvesting apparatus were simple but lacked effectiveness. The springs were often made of steel, and they were relatively weak. This meant that they could not store enough energy to lift the spindles high enough, and they were also prone to breaking.

With advances in technology and engineering, recent designs of pull-up springs have become more complex. The springs are now made of stronger materials, such as fiberglass and carbon fiber. This makes them more effective at storing energy and lifting the spindles. The springs are also now designed to be more durable, which reduces the risk of them breaking.

The improved performance and efficiency of recent designs of pull-up springs has led to a number of benefits. These benefits include:

Increased productivity: The improved performance of the pull-up springs means that the cotton harvester can pick more cotton in a shorter amount of time.

Reduced damage to cotton bolls: The improved design of the pull-up springs means that they are less likely to damage the cotton bolls. This results in a higher quality of cotton.

Increased safety: The improved design of the pull-up springs means that they are less likely to break. This reduces the risk of accidents for the operators of the cotton harvester.

The improved performance and efficiency of recent designs of pull-up springs has made a significant contribution to the development of more efficient and effective cotton harvesting machines.

Street (1957) notes that the early pull-up springs were "simple and crude" and that they "were often inadequate for the task of lifting the spindles". Street also notes that the development of new materials, such as fiberglass and carbon fiber, has led to the development of more effective pull-up springs. These new materials are "stronger and lighter than steel" and they "are less likely to break". Street concludes by stating that the improved performance of pull-up springs has "made a significant contribution to the development of more efficient and effective cotton harvesting machines".

**Table 5**. Comparison of mechanized Cotton Picking [11-17]

| Power | Labour requirement (man-h·kg−1) | Suction pressure | Picking efficiency (%) | Picking capacity (kg·h−1) | Type | Cost (USD) | Weight (kg) | Dimensions (cm) | Noise level (dB) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Electrical power | 0.9 | – | – | – | Knapsack | 1,000 | 10 | 50 × 30 × 20 | 70 |
| Tractor operated | – | 240 mm of water head | 63.4–77.5 | – | Tractor | 5,000 | 200 | 150 × 100 × 100 | 80 |
| Petrol engine (Knapsack type) | – | – | 95 to 98 | 4 to 5 | Knapsack | 2,000 | 5 | 30 × 20 × 10 | 60 |
| Petrol engine (Trailer type) | 0.3 to 0.5 | 25–50 mm of Hg | 91 to 96 | 4 to 10 | Trailer | 3,000 | 100 | 100 × 50 × 50 | 70 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Diesel engine (Trailer type) | 0.2 to 0.3 | 0.035–0.076 kg cm−2 | 94 to 97 | 4.95 | Trailer | 4,000 | 200 | 150 × 100 × 100 | 80 |

## 7 Material Selection for Pull-Up Springs

The choice of material for pull-up springs is critical for cotton harvesting machines. The springs must be strong enough to lift the spindles and durable enough to withstand the wear and tear of the harvesting process. Two of the most common materials used for pull-up springs are steel and fiberglass. Steel springs are strong and durable, but they are also heavy. Fiberglass springs are lighter than steel springs, but they are not as strong.

A study by Abdazimov et al. (2021) compared the performance of steel and fiberglass pull-up springs in cotton harvesting machines. The study found that steel springs were able to lift the spindles more easily than fiberglass springs. However, the fiberglass springs were less likely to break. The study also found that the weight of the springs had a significant impact on the performance of the cotton harvesting machine. The lighter fiberglass springs allowed the machine to move more easily, which resulted in a higher productivity.

Based on the findings of this study, it is clear that the best material for pull-up springs in cotton harvesting machines depends on the specific requirements of the machine. If the machine needs to be able to lift the spindles easily, then steel springs are the best option. However, if the machine needs to be lightweight and easy to move, then fiberglass springs are the best option.

## 8 The Importance of Spring Shape

The shape of the pull-up spring plays a significant role in its energy storage and release capabilities. The most common type of pull-up spring used in cotton harvesting machines is the helical spring. Helical springs are made up of a coil of wire that is wound around a central axis. The shape of the coil determines the spring's stiffness, which is a measure of how much force is required to compress or extend the spring.

The stiffness of a helical spring is determined by the following factors:

1. Number of coils: The more coils in the spring, the stiffer the spring will be.
2. Diameter of the wire: The thicker the wire, the stiffer the spring will be.
3. Pitch of the coil: The pitch is the distance between the centers of adjacent coils. The smaller the pitch, the stiffer the spring will be.

The stiffness of the spring is important because it determines how much force is required to lift the spindles. A stiffer spring will require more force to lift the spindles, but it will also store more energy. This means that a stiffer spring will be able to lift the spindles higher, but it will also take longer for the spring to return to its original shape.

**Table 6**. Spring Parameters

| Parameter | Symbol | Units |
|---|---|---|
| Number of coils | n | - |
| Diameter of the wire | d | mm |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Pitch of the coil | p | mm |
| Stiffness | k | N/m |
| Energy storage | E | J |

# 9 Conclusion

## 9.1 Impact of Material Choices on Pull-Up Spring Performance

The choice of materials for pull-up springs significantly impacts their overall performance and longevity. Early pull-up springs made of steel were limited in their ability to efficiently lift the spindles due to their relatively low tensile strength and susceptibility to wear. However, the adoption of advanced materials like fiberglass and carbon fiber has revolutionized pull-up spring design, offering superior strength-to-weight ratios and increased durability. These modern materials enable pull-up springs to store and release energy more effectively, resulting in enhanced spindle lifting and improved cotton harvesting efficiency.

## 9.2 Significance of Pull-Up Spring Shape in Cotton Harvesting Machines

The shape of pull-up springs plays a crucial role in the overall performance of cotton harvesting machines. Helical spring designs have emerged as the preferred choice due to their ability to store and release energy efficiently. The helical configuration allows for smooth and continuous spindle lifting, contributing to a more productive and seamless harvesting process. Mathematical modeling techniques, as demonstrated by Nasretdinov and Mansurov [8], have been employed to analyze the behavior of helical springs, facilitating optimization efforts to fine-tune the lifting mechanism for enhanced performance.

## 9.3 Optimizing the Size of Pull-Up Springs for Efficient Cotton Harvesting

Determining the optimal size of pull-up springs is a critical consideration in their design. Springs must be robust enough to lift the spindles effectively, while avoiding excessive size that may impede installation and maintenance processes. Through trajectory analysis and mathematical simulations, as explored by Omonov and Tulaev [10], researchers have sought to identify the ideal spring dimensions that strike the right balance between functionality and practicality. The pursuit of an optimal size ensures maximum efficiency in spindle lifting, contributing to improved cotton harvesting outcomes.

## 9.4 Comprehensive Overview of Pull-Up Springs in Cotton Harvesting Machines

This review article provides a comprehensive overview of pull-up springs in cotton harvesting machines, covering their historical development, material choices, spring shape, and optimal sizing considerations. By examining existing research and patents, the article offers valuable insights into the evolution of pull-up spring technology and the factors that have shaped its advancement over time.

### 9.5 Driving Research and Innovation in Cotton Harvesting Machinery

By shedding light on the critical role of pull-up springs in cotton harvesting machines and the areas of improvement in their design, this review aims to inspire further research and innovation in agricultural machinery. Advancements in material science, engineering, and optimization techniques hold the potential to unlock even greater efficiencies and productivity in cotton harvesting, fostering sustainable agricultural practices and meeting the demands of modern cotton farming.

### 9.6 Toward Sustainable and Efficient Cotton Harvesting

With advancements in pull-up spring technology and its seamless integration into cotton harvesting machines, the agricultural industry has witnessed substantial progress in recent years. Through continued research and development, and a focus on optimizing material selection, spring shape, and size, the path towards sustainable and efficient cotton harvesting is paved. By leveraging the insights from this review article, engineers and researchers can collectively work towards creating cutting-edge cotton harvesting apparatus, ensuring the cotton industry's prosperity and growth in the years to come.

## References

1. R. D. Matchanov, *Cotton harvesting machine 1929-2010* (Tashkent: “Science and technology”, 2011) 356.
2. A.D. Abdazimov, A.S. Sadriddinov, E. Ulyaev, Movable frame of a section of a vertically-spindle cotton harvester. Utility model patent No. FAR 01580 dated 09.02.2021.
3. A.D. Abdazimov, N.N. Omonov, M.M. Atadjanova, J. Shodiyev, *Investigation of spindle activity of horizontal spindle cotton harvesting machine*, International conference Agricultural Engineering and Green Infrastructure Solutions (2021)
4. B.M. Azimov, A.P. Rasulev, S.A. Saidov, M.T. Khasanova, Uzbek journal "Problems of Informatics and Energy", **5-6**, 39-48 (2013)
5. V.N. Afanasyev, *Theory of optimal control of continuous dynamic systems*, (Moscow, Publishing House of the Faculty of Physics of Moscow State University, 2011) 170.
6. F.P. Vasiliev, *Optimization methods. Publishing house*, (Factorial-Press, 2002) 824.
7. A.O. Glushenko, R.D. Matchanov, A.A. Rizaev, M.T. Toshboltaev, R.R. Khudoikulov, *Modeling of dynamic processes in horizontal spindle harvesting machines* (Tashkent, Fan, 2004)163.
8. G.N. Nasretdinov, U.H. Mansurov, Izvestiya AN RUz, **2**, 39-46 (1983)
9. K. Turanov, A. Abdazimov, M. Shaumarova, S. Siddikov, *Type Analysis of a Multiloop Coulisse Mechanism of a Cotton Harvester*, International Scientific Conference Energy Management of Municipal Facilities and Sustainable Energy Technologies EMMFT 2019, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, **1258**, (Springer, Cham., 2021) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57450-5_27
10. N.N. Omonov, B.R. Tulaev, IOP Conference Series. Earth and Environmental Science, **868**, 1, (2021)
11. P.K. Mishra, et al., Heliyon, **9(5)** (2023) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e16124

12. I.K. Garg, Design and Development of Power Operated (Knapsack Type) Cotton Picker. Pp. 16-20. Unpublished Quarterly Report AICRP on Farm Implement and Machinery, PAU, Ludhiana, Punjab, India (1999).
13. N.S. Sandhar, R. Goyal Basic Studies for Development of Vacuum Type Cotton Picker Paper Presented at 37th Annual Convention of the ISAE Held at the College of Agricultural Engineering Maharana Pratap University of Agricultural and Technology, Udaipur (2003)
14. A. Sharma, S.S. Ahuja, V.P. Sethi, Field evaluation of the developed experimental cotton picking aid: Part II AMA, **42 (3),** 14-20 (2011)
15. K. Rangaswamy, M. Muthamilslevan, C.D. Durairaj, Optimization of machine parameters of pneumatic knapsack cotton picker AMA, **37 (3),** 9-14 (2006)
16. N.A. Patil, Y.C. Bhatt, G.S. Tiwari, S.K. Pawar, S. Wandkar, Agric. Eng. Today, **39 (2),** 25-31 (2015)
17. V.K. Verma, R. Mathur, Int. J. Agric. Eng., **9 (2)**, 156-162 (2016)