Risk factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation

Artyom Uzhegov[1*]

[1] Institute of Economics, Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Chelyabinsk branch), 454091, Svobody str., 155/1, Chelyabinsk, Russia

Abstract. In this article, the author continues research in the field of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation. This study expands the author's methodology for diagnosing the technological development of industrial regions. The purpose of the study is to diagnose material, labor and investment factors in the development of traditional and high-tech sectors of the economy of industrial regions. Based on the diagnostics carried out, the identification of risk factors for the technological development of industrial regions is identified. For the purpose of diagnosing and assessing the factors of technological development, the author has developed a research methodology in relation to the industrial regions of the Russian Federation, including: 1) Development of a system of indicators characterizing the factors of technological development of the industrial regions of the Russian Federation. Grouping of factors of technological development of industrial regions into three groups: material, labor and investment. Dividing the factors of technological development into two sectors of the economy: traditional and high-tech. 2) Analysis of the values of statistical indicators of factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation. 3) Point-rating assessment of factors, which is carried out on a scale from -2 to 2. 4) Analysis of factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation with values below the average for the Russian Federation for 2018-2022. 5) Grouping of industrial regions into risk zones, which was carried out on the basis of an analysis of scientific literature and diagnostics of factors of technological development. 6) Development of directions for neutralizing risk factors identified during the diagnostic process in the traditional and high-tech sectors of the economy. Research tools include: economic analysis, factor analysis, statistical analysis, comparative analysis, scoring.

1 Introduction

Technological development is an important task for any country, as it directly affects economic growth and the well-being of the population. It is necessary to take into account that technological, as well as economic development, is not distributed evenly throughout the country [1]. Regions may have different levels of technological development, which can lead

*Corresponding author: uzhegov.ao@uiec.ru

to inequalities in economic development and social conditions. Therefore, research on the technological development of regions plays an important role in understanding the problems and opportunities of each specific region [2]. They make it possible to identify the features of technological development and, on the basis of this, develop strategies for the development of the regional economy [3]. The scientific problem posed in the study is the limitation of relevant approaches to diagnosing the factors of technological development of regions. The relevance of the study lies in the need for an adequate scientific assessment (diagnosis) of the level of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation in the traditional and high-tech sectors of the economy. Purpose of the study: diagnostics of material, labor and investment factors in the development of traditional and high-tech sectors of the economy of industrial regions. Based on the diagnostics carried out, the identification of risk factors for the technological development of industrial regions is identified. Object of study: industrial regions of the Russian Federation. Subject of research: factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation in the traditional and high-tech sectors of the economy.

2 Literature Review

In economics, factors are usually understood as the resources needed to create goods and services. The concept of factors of production is the basis of economic theory and describes the resources needed to produce goods and services. The following main factors of production are distinguished: a) land (natural resources) – includes all natural resources, such as land, water, minerals, forests and other natural resources. Land provides space for production facilities and natural resource extraction; b) labor (human resources) – includes the physical and mental labor of people engaged in the production of goods and services. Labor is a key factor of production because it includes the skills, knowledge, and skills needed to complete tasks; c) capital (investment resources) – represents physical and financial resources used for production. Physical capital includes buildings, machinery, equipment and tools, while financial capital represents money, investments and other financial assets. The production process involves combining these factors of production to create goods and services.

Factors in a broad sense are the causes or driving forces that determine the nature or individual features of a process.

Approaches to the analysis of various factors are widely covered in Russian literature: labor aspects, including intellectual and human factors, are considered in the works of N. A. Kuznetsova, L. V. Zinich [4], E. A. Timofeeva [5], A. V. Vasilyeva [6]. Land factors and analysis of fixed assets are studied in the works of V. M. Voronina, O. P. Mikhailova [7], I. V. Naumov, N. L. Nikulina [8], E. L. Plisetsky, E. E. Plisetsky [9]. Factors of capital and investment are studied in detail in the works of M. V. Radchenko, V. R. Rashidova, N. V. Timoshenko [10], G. P. Litvintseva, A. A. Goldobina [11], S. D. Arslanov [12].

The opinions of domestic and foreign scientists regarding the factors that influence the technological development of regions are different.

M. A. Moskvitina notes that the definition of the concept «factor» indicates their diversity, complexity and hierarchical structure, often interconnected, which complicates the study of factors in the development of the region and makes it ambiguous. The author defines the factors of regional development as conditions, causes and significant circumstances (prerequisites) that drive the process of development of the socio-economic system of the region and determine its character or certain features at a certain point in time [13].

Y. N. Minaev in his study proposes to classify factors into «hard» and «soft». The former can be measured quantitatively and include productive resources such as land, labor and capital, as well as factors associated with the production and marketing of products, such as

the proximity of cooperation partners, infrastructure, population and consumption patterns, and factors established by the state, such as taxes, business system, etc. The author notes that "soft" factors cannot be unambiguously measured quantitatively or their assessment is difficult. These include the stability of the political situation, the qualifications of workers, the quality of the education system and professional training, as well as the presence of universities, technology centers and research organizations in the region [14].

The study by Y. G. Tyurina, E. A. Lavrenko, N. I. Seliverstova and others notes that the economic and political situation in the country, as well as the presence of internal potential resources, such as financial, labor, information, organizational and cultural and others are the determining factors influencing the scientific and technological development of the region [15].

Foreign scientists such as A. Barrichello, E.G.d. Santos, and R. S. Morano, also highlight various factors of innovation activity that influence the innovation environment, such as clusters, research institutes, company research and development (R&D) expenditures, university-industry collaboration in R&D, government procurement of advanced technology products, availability of scientists and highly qualified engineers [16].

The study by R. Salahodjaev, E. Gorlova and A. Shoirov notes that the number of works devoted to explaining innovation activity in developing countries is limited. The authors highlight the banking sector and innovation infrastructure as one of the main factors influencing the innovation activity of developing economies [17].

Bringing together the approaches of various authors to the study of factors influencing the development of regions, we can draw the following conclusions:

– description of the variety of factors influencing the development of the region is often fragmentary due to the fact that there are always other factors that are not taken into account in the research;

– the choice of factors varies from study to study and depends on the object, subject, goals and objectives of a particular study;

– a large number of factors complicate the assessment of their influence on the development of the region, therefore a systematic and integrated approach to their study is required, including a clear grouping of technological development factors for the purposes of the study.

3 Data and methods

The author has developed a methodology for diagnosing and assessing the factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation. The author's research methodology included the following components and analysis tools:

1. Development of a system of indicators characterizing the factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation. Grouping of factors of technological development of industrial regions into three groups: material, labor and investment. Dividing the factors of technological development into two sectors of the economy: traditional and high-tech.
2. Analysis of the values of statistical indicators of factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation.
3. Point-rating assessment of factors, which is carried out on a scale from -2 to 2. The indicator is assigned: a) 0 points – if its value is equal to the average value for the Russian Federation; b) 1 point – if its value is higher than the average for the Russian Federation, but not more than 2 times; c) 2 points – if its value is more than 2 times higher than the average for the Russian Federation; d) -1 point – if its value is below the average for the Russian Federation, but not more than 2 times; e) -2 points – if its value is more than 2 times lower than the average for the Russian Federation. The scoring of factors is based on the average

value for the Russian Federation. This is because the average value reflects the average level of development of the selected indicator in the country. In addition, using the average value for the Russian Federation allows for a comparative analysis of the level of technological development in different regions of the country and identifying deviations from the average value. The total assessment of the factors of technological development of industrial regions is assessed as the sum of points for the proposed factors (the maximum possible number of points scored for each sector is 12). An assessment was carried out for each factor of technological development of industrial regions for 2022, as well as a comprehensive assessment for 3 groups of factors for the period from 2018 to 2022.

4. Analysis of factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation with values below the average for the Russian Federation for 2018-2022.
5. Grouping of industrial regions into risk zones, which was carried out on the basis of an analysis of scientific literature and diagnostics of factors of technological development.
6. Development of directions for neutralizing risk factors identified during the diagnostic process in the traditional and high-tech sectors of the economy.

When diagnosing the technological development of industrial regions, it is necessary to take into account many factors. As part of the study, factors were classified into three main groups: material, labor and investment. Further, factors of technological development were analyzed in relation to two sectors of the economy: traditional and high-tech. As part of the study, to diagnose the factors of technological development, each of the presented sectors was studied within the framework of their inherent types of economic activity. The traditional sector of the economy is mainly represented by indicators by type of economic activity – «manufacturing», the high-tech sector is represented by a set of indicators by type of economic activity – «professional, scientific and technical activities» and «activities in the field of information and communications».

Table 1 presents the designations of indicators used to diagnose factors of technological development of industrial regions.

Table 1. Indicators used to diagnose factors of technological development of industrial regions (in percentage).

Groups of factors	Traditional sector of the economy	High-tech sector of the economy
1. Material factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation (M – Material factors)	F1 Share of fixed assets by type of economic activity «Manufacturing» at the end of the year at full accounting value for a full range of organizations (as a percentage of the total volume of fixed assets)	F7 Share of fixed assets by type of economic activity «Professional activities scientific and technical; Activities in the field of information and communications» at the end of the year at full accounting value for the full range of organizations (as a percentage of the total volume of fixed assets)
	F2 Degree of serviceability of fixed assets by type of economic activity «Manufacturing» at the end of the year for a full range of organizations (in percent)	F8 Degree of serviceability of fixed assets by type of economic activity «Professional, scientific and technical activities» at the end of the year for a full range of organizations (in percent)
2. Labor factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation (L – Labor factors)	F3 Average annual number of employees by type of economic activity «Manufacturing» (as a percentage of the total number of employees)	F9 Average annual number of employees by type of economic activity «Professional, scientific and technical activities; Activities in the field of information and communications» (as a percentage of total employment)
	F4 Number of personnel engaged in research and development (as a percentage of the total number of employees)	F10 Number of researchers with academic degrees (as a percentage of the total number of personnel engaged in research and development)

3. Investment factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation (I – Investment factors)	F5 Share of investment by type of economic activity «Manufacturing» (as a percentage of the total cost of investment in fixed capital)	F11 Share of investment by type of economic activity «Professional, scientific and technical activities; Activities in the field of information and communications» (as a percentage of the total value of investments in fixed assets)
	F6 Share of investment in fixed capital by type of fixed assets – machinery, equipment, vehicles (as a percentage of total investment)	F12 Share of investment in fixed capital by type of fixed assets – intellectual property (as a percentage of total investment)

Source: developed by the author

Table 1 is a system of indicators that reflect the factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation. Analysis of these indicators allows us to assess the level of technological development of regions and their potential for further growth.

4 Results

1. Diagnostics of factors of technological development of industrial regions in the traditional sector of the economy.

Based on the proposed system of indicators for diagnosing factors of technological development, the values of the calculated indicators for 2022 are presented (Table 2).

Table 2. Indicators of technological development of industrial regions by groups of factors, in the traditional sector of the economy, in percentage, 2022.

Regions	Traditional sector of the economy					
	M		L		I	
	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6
Russian Federation	6.9	52.6	14.0	0.9	15.3	36.4
Vladimir region	13.4	53.6	23.9	0.7	16.6	25.5
Kaluga region	20.6	53.2	23.6	1.6	49.4	44.4
Lipetsk region	21.5	51.8	18.0	0.1	48.5	43.3
Tula region	20.0	60.2	22.5	0.7	47.1	40.1
Vologda Region	18.1	47.4	19.9	0.1	40.4	45.8
Novgorod region	11.8	50.0	19.7	0.4	29.0	43.7
Sverdlovsk region	11.2	52.0	20.3	1.1	21.6	41.6
Chelyabinsk region	16.6	52.7	22.1	0.9	51.9	52.3
Krasnoyarsk region	8.9	55.4	13.1	0.6	31.2	36.3
Omsk region	21.5	64.0	14.5	0.5	56.9	36.6

Note: Values for the Russian Federation are highlighted in light gray; values above the average for the Russian Federation are not filled; values in dark gray are below the average for the Russian Federation. Source: compiled by the author based on data from Regions of Russia. Main characteristics of the constituent entities of the Russian Federation, 2022. URL: https://rosstat.gov.ru/storage/mediabank/Region_Pokaz_2022.pdf.

The data in Table 2 allowed us to draw a number of conclusions:

According to indicators F1 – the share of fixed assets by type of economic activity «Manufacturing» and F5 – the share of investment by type of economic activity «Manufacturing» (as a percentage of the total cost of investment in fixed capital) – all industrial regions have a value higher than the average in the Russian Federation. High indicators of the share of fixed assets and investments in manufacturing in industrial regions indicate their orientation towards the development of the traditional sector of the economy.

For indicator F3, a value below the average was recorded in the Krasnoyarsk region (by 0.9 percentage points). It should be noted that the minimum values achieved by the

Krasnoyarsk region in indicators related to «manufacturing industries» are largely due to the fact that the region specializes not only in the manufacturing industry, but also in the mining industry. The Krasnoyarsk region is one of the leading regions in Russia in the mining industry. The region is rich in various natural resources such as coal, oil, gas, non-ferrous metal ores and other minerals [18]. According to the F6 indicator, values lower than the average for the Russian Federation were recorded in the Vladimir region (by 10.9 p.p.) and the Krasnoyarsk region (by 0.1 p.p.). As for the F2 indicator, values lower than the average for the Russian Federation were recorded in four industrial regions – Lipetsk (by 0.8 p.p.), Vologda (by 5.2 p.p.), Novgorod (by 2.6 p.p.) and Sverdlovsk (by 0.6 p.p.) regions. According to the F4 indicator, only two regions demonstrate values higher than the average for the Russian Federation – Kaluga (the value is higher by 0.7 p.p.) and the Sverdlovsk region (the value is higher by 0.2 p.p.).

Values F2 and F4 outline problem areas of industrial regions, which consist in low values of: a) the degree of suitability of fixed production assets for the type of economic activity «Manufacturing»; b) the number of personnel engaged in research and development (as a percentage of the total number of employees). Low values of the degree of serviceability of fixed assets indicate a high degree of depreciation of fixed production assets, which means that production assets, such as buildings, equipment, vehicles and other material resources, do not provide the required level of productivity and production efficiency. This may be caused by outdated equipment, insufficient investment in the modernization and renewal of fixed assets, as well as insufficient maintenance. A high degree of depreciation of fixed assets prevents increased productivity, improved product quality and the competitiveness of industrial enterprises. Insufficient research and development personnel is also a serious problem in industrialized regions. The low number of specialists involved in research and development limits the region's innovative potential, slows down the development of new technologies, and also reduces the competitiveness of enterprises in the market. The lack of a sufficient number of highly qualified specialists poses serious challenges for industrial regions, which can hinder their economic and innovative development.

Following the algorithm of the presented methodology, the author conducted a point-rating assessment of the factors of technological development of industrial regions in the traditional sector of the economy; the results are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Score-rating assessment by factors of technological development of industrial regions in the traditional sector, points, 2022.

Regions	Assessment in the traditional sector of the economy by groups of factors						Amount (comprehensive assessment) / **rating**
	M		L		I		
	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	
Vladimir region	1	1	1	-1	1	-1	2 / **8**
Kaluga region	2	1	1	1	2	1	8 / **1-2**
Lipetsk region	2	-1	1	-2	2	1	3 / **6-7**
Tula region	2	1	1	-1	2	1	6 / **3-4**
Vologda Region	2	-1	1	-2	2	1	3 / **6-7**
Novgorod region	1	-1	1	-2	1	1	1 / **9-10**
Sverdlovsk region	1	-1	1	1	1	1	4 / **5**
Chelyabinsk region	2	1	1	1	2	1	8 / **1-2**
Krasnoyarsk region	1	1	-1	-1	2	-1	1 / **9-10**
Omsk region	2	1	1	-1	2	1	6 / **3-4**

Note: Negative scores are highlighted in color, light gray -1 point, dark gray -2 points.
Source: compiled by the author

Analysis of the data in Table 3 showed that according to the sum of points, industrial regions were distributed as follows: a) regions that took places from 1 to 2 – Kaluga and Chelyabinsk regions (8 points), places from 3 to 4 – Tula and Omsk regions (6 points) formed a group with point values from 6 to 12; b) Regions that took 5th place – Sverdlovsk region (4 points), places from 6 to 7 – Vologda and Lipetsk regions (3 points), 8th place – Vladimir region (2 points), places from 9 to 10 – Novgorod region and Krasnoyarsk region (1 point) formed a group with point values from 0 to 6. Note that the minimum number of total points scored was recorded in the Novgorod region and the Krasnoyarsk region (1 point each).

Analysis of factors of technological development in dynamics is an important point in diagnosing factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation. Understanding trends and changes in various technological areas over time allows us to identify key factors that influence the development of industrial regions. This approach allows for monitoring – observation of changes in factors of technological development of regions, and also contributes to the timely identification of problems and making adjustments to the strategies for the development of technological innovations in industrial regions.

Numbers of indicators for groups of factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation with values below the average for the Russian Federation (risk factors) in the traditional sector for 2018-2022 are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Numbers of indicators by groups of factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation with values below the average for the Russian Federation (risk factors), in the traditional sector of the economy.

Regions	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Vladimir region	4	2, 4	2, 4	4	4, 6
Kaluga region	-	-	-	2	-
Lipetsk region	2, 4	2, **4**	2, **4**	**4**	2, **4**
Tula region	4	4	4	4	4
Vologda Region	**4**	**4**	2, **4**	2, **4**	2, **4**
Novgorod region	4, 6	4, 6	4	2, **4**	2, **4**
Sverdlovsk region	-	-	-	-	2
Chelyabinsk region	2, 4	2, 4	2, 4	2, 4	-
Krasnoyarsk region	3, 4	3, 4	3, 4	3, 4	3, 4, 6
Omsk region	2, 4	2, 4	**4**	4	4

Note: the numbers of indicators whose value is 2 times lower than the average for the Russian Federation are highlighted in bold.
Source: compiled by the author

When analyzing the data presented in Table 4, we note that the smallest number of factors with a mark below the average for the Russian Federation for the period from 2018 to 2022 was recorded in the Kaluga and Sverdlovsk regions. This indicates that the factors influencing the technological development of these regions do not have a negative impact on the development of the technology sector in these regions. On the other hand, in other industrial regions, technological development factors are observed below the average for the Russian Federation. Analyzing the period from 2018 to 2022, F4 technological development, the values of which are more than 2 times lower than the average for the Russian Federation, was recorded in the Lipetsk and Vologda regions for the period from 2018 to 2022, in the Novgorod region for the period from 2021 to 2022 and in the Omsk region in 2020. In general, the most common factors of technological development among industrial regions, the values of which are lower than the average for the Russian Federation, are F2 and F4. Thus, these factors are considered as risk factors influencing the technological development

of industrial regions.

In accordance with the author's methodology for diagnosing the factors of technological development of industrial regions and the analysis carried out, a grouping of industrial regions by risk zones is presented (Table 5).

The author's scale for grouping industrial regions into technological development risk zones is based on a point assessment, where the maximum value is 12 points and the minimum is 12 points and involves division into four zones depending on the points received.

1. Critical risk zone (from -12 to -6 points): Regions in this zone have a high level of technological development risk; serious measures are required to neutralize risk factors.
2. High-risk zone (-6 to 0 points): Regions in this zone have an increased level of risk from technological development and require additional efforts to neutralize risk factors.
3. Acceptable risk zone (0 to 6 points): Regions in this zone have a moderate level of technological development risk, but constant monitoring and timely identification of risk factors are required.
4. Zone with minimal risk (6 to 12 points): Regions in this zone have a low level of risk for technological development, which indicates high indicator values relative to the average for the Russian Federation.

Table 5. Grouping of industrial regions by risk zones in the traditional sector of the economy.

Years	Critical risk zone	High risk zone	Acceptable risk zone	Minimum risk zone
	Range of total points scored			
	from -12 to -6	from -6 to 0	from 0 to 6	from 6 to 12
2022	-	-	***Novgorod region*** (1 point); ***Krasnoyarsk region*** (1 point); ***Vladimir region*** (2 points); ***Lipetsk region*** (3 points); ***Vologda region*** (3 points); ***Sverdlovsk region*** (4 points)	***Tula region*** (6 points); ***Omsk region*** (6 points); ***Kaluga region*** (8 points); ***Chelyabinsk region*** (8 points)
2018	-	-	***Lipetsk region*** (3 points); ***Vologda region*** (4 points); ***Novgorod region*** (2 points); ***Chelyabinsk region*** (4 points); ***Krasnoyarsk region*** (2 points); ***Omsk region*** (4 points)	***Vladimir region*** *(6 points)*; ***Kaluga region*** (8 points); ***Tula region*** (6 points); ***Sverdlovsk region*** (6 points)

Source: compiled by the author

When analyzing the data in Table 5, it was noted that within this grouping four risk zones were identified: a) a zone with minimal risk; b) acceptable risk zone; c) high-risk area; d) critical risk zone. During the entire study period, industrial regions were in the zone of acceptable risk and the zone of minimal risk. It was noted that in 2022, compared to 2018, the Vladimir and Sverdlovsk regions moved from a zone with minimal risk to an acceptable risk zone, while the Chelyabinsk and Omsk regions improved their positions in 2022, moving into a zone with minimal risk. The most vulnerable industrial regions in 2022 are the Novgorod region and the Krasnoyarsk region, which are the closest of all regions to the border with the high-risk zone.

2. Diagnostics of factors of technological development of industrial regions in the high-tech sector.

Based on the proposed system of indicators for diagnosing factors of technological

development, the values of statistical indicators for 2022 are reflected in Table 6.

Table 6. Indicators of technological development of industrial regions by groups of factors, in the high-tech sector of the economy, 2022.

Regions	High-tech sector of the economy					
	M		L		I	
	F7	F8	F9	F10	F11	F12
Russian Federation	3.1	59.3	6.2	14.2	10.1	4.7
Vladimir region	2.8	53.6	4.9	7.9	3.6	2.3
Kaluga region	2.2	68.2	5.7	7.2	3.3	2.4
Lipetsk region	1.6	56.7	3.7	37.9	3.6	0.6
Tula region	1.3	79.1	4.3	4.8	5.7	2.7
Vologda Region	3.5	54.7	4.7	16.4	1.9	2.3
Novgorod region	1.5	53.9	3.9	2.6	5.2	0.4
Sverdlovsk region	2.8	57.4	5.7	11.7	7.2	2.1
Chelyabinsk region	2.9	56.8	4.8	7.6	3.6	1.9
Krasnoyarsk region	3.7	73.9	5.8	11.0	15.6	5.9
Omsk region	2.6	43.4	5.6	7.2	2.8	1.0

Note: Values for the Russian Federation are highlighted in light gray; values above the average for the Russian Federation are not filled; values in dark gray are below the average for the Russian Federation. Source: compiled by the author based on data from Regions of Russia. Main characteristics of the constituent entities of the Russian Federation, 2022. URL: https://rosstat.gov.ru/storage/mediabank/Region_Pokaz_2022.pdf. (date of access: 04/01/2023).

Analysis of the data presented in Table 6 outlines the problem areas of industrial regions, which consist in low values of most indicators. Five out of ten industrial regions demonstrate values of indicators of the presented factors lower than the average for the Russian Federation (Vladimir, Novgorod, Sverdlovsk, Chelyabinsk and Omsk regions). The low value of these indicators indicates a general low level of development of industrial regions in the field of professional, scientific and technical activities, as well as in the field of information and communications.

In a number of regions, the values of technological development factors are higher than the average for the Russian Federation: In the Kaluga region – F8 (by 8.9 p.p.), Lipetsk region – F10 (by 23.7 p.p.), Tula region – F8 (by 19.8 p.p.), Vologda region F7 (by 0.4 p.p.) and F10 (by 2.2 p.p.). As for the Krasnoyarsk region, the region is distinguished by the largest number of indicators with values above the average for the Russian Federation – F7, F8, F11 and F12. Thus, industrial regions that have high indicator values in traditional industries demonstrate low indicator values in the high-tech sector of the economy. Firstly, low values of tangible F7 and F8 indicate a high degree of depreciation of fixed production assets, insufficient access to modern technologies, all of which limits the opportunities for the development of high-tech industries. Secondly, low values of F9 and F10 also have a negative impact on the development of the high-tech sector in industrial regions. The insufficient number of highly qualified specialists, the lack of a personnel training system and the necessary competencies for high-tech industries can become obstacles to the development of innovations and new technologies. Third, investment factors play an important role in the development of the high-tech sector. In industrial regions, there is a low indicator of the volume of investment in professional, scientific and technical activities, activities in the field of information and communications, as well as a low share of investment in fixed capital by type of fixed assets – intellectual property. This slows down the pace of technological development and reduces the potential of industrial regions in the national economy.

Next, a score-rating assessment of the factors of technological development of industrial regions in the high-tech sector of the economy was carried out; the results are presented in Table 7.

Table 7. Score-rating assessment by factors of technological development of industrial regions in the high-tech sector, points, 2022.

Regions	Assessment in the high-tech sector of the economy by groups of factors						Amount (comprehensive assessment) / **rating**
	M		L		I		
	F7	F8	F9	F10	F11	F12	
Vladimir region	-1	-1	-1	-1	-2	-2	-8 / **7-9**
Kaluga region	-1	1	-1	-1	-2	-1	-5 / **3-4**
Lipetsk region	-1	-1	-1	2	-2	-2	-5 / **3-4**
Tula region	-2	1	-1	-2	-1	-1	-6 / **5**
Vologda Region	1	-1	-1	1	-2	-2	-4 / **2**
Novgorod region	-2	-1	-1	-2	-1	-2	-9 / **10**
Sverdlovsk region	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-2	-7 / **6**
Chelyabinsk region	-1	-1	-1	-1	-2	-2	-8 / **7-9**
Krasnoyarsk region	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	2 / **1**
Omsk region	-1	-1	-1	-1	-2	-2	-8 / **7-9**

Note: Negative scores are highlighted in color, light gray -1 point, dark gray -2 points.
Source: compiled by the author

Analysis of the data in Table 7 showed that according to the sum of points, industrial regions were distributed as follows: a) The region that took 1st place – Krasnoyarsk region (2 points) formed a group with point values from 0 to 12; b) Regions that took 2nd place – Vologda region (-4 points), places from 3 to 4 – Kaluga and Lipetsk regions (-5 points) formed a group with point values from -6 to 0; c) Regions that took 5th place – Tula region (-6 points), 6th place – Sverdlovsk region (-7 points), places 7 to 9 – Vladimir, Chelyabinsk and Omsk regions (-8 points), 10th place – Novgorod region (-9 points) formed a group with point values from -12 to -6. Note that the minimum number of total points scored was recorded in the Novgorod region (-9 points).

Numbers of indicators for groups of factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation with values below the average for the Russian Federation (risk factors), in the high-tech sector for 2018-2022 are presented in Table 8.

Table 8. Numbers of indicators by groups of factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation with values below the average for the Russian Federation (risk factors), in the high-tech sector of the economy.

Regions	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Vladimir region	9, **10**	7, 9, 10, 12	7, 9, 10, 11, **12**	7, 8, 9, **10**, 11, **12**	7, 8, 9, 10, **11, 12**
Kaluga region	7, 9, **10**, 11, **12**	7, 9, 10, **11, 12**	7, 9, 10, **11, 12**	7, 9, 10, **11**, 12	7, 9, 10, **11**, 12
Lipetsk region	**7,** 9, **11, 12**	7, 9, **11, 12**	7, 8, 9, **11, 12**	**7**, 8, 9, **11, 12**	7, 8, 9, **11, 12**
Tula region	7, 9, **10**	7, 9, **10**, 11, 12	7, 9, **10**, 11	**7**, 9, **10**, 11, 12	**7**, 9, **10**, 11, 12
Vologda Region	9, **11**, 12	9, **11,** 12	9, **11, 12**	7, 8, 9, **11**	8, 9, **11, 12**
Novgorod region	7, 8, 9, **10, 11, 12**	7, 8, 9, **10, 11, 12**	**7**, 8, 9, **10, 11, 12**	**7**, **8**, 9, **10, 11, 12**	**7**, 8, 9, **10**, 11, **12**
Sverdlovsk region	7, **8**, 9, 10, 12	7, **8**, 9, 10, 11, 12	**8**, 9, 10, 11, **12**	7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12	7, 8, 9, 10, 11, **12**
Chelyabinsk region	7, 9, 10, 11, 12	7, 9, **10**, 11, **12**	9, **10**, 11, **12**	9, 10, 11, **12**	7, 8, 9, 10, **11, 12**
Krasnoyarsk region	7, 9, 10, 11	9, 10, 11	7, 9, 10, 11	7, 9, 10	9, 10

Omsk region	7, 9, 10, **11, 12**	7, 9, 10, **11, 12**	7, 9, 10, **11, 12**	7, 8, 9, **10, 11, 12**	7, 8, 9, 10, **11, 12**

Note Numbers of indicators whose value is 2 times lower than the average for the Russian Federation are highlighted in bold.
Source: compiled by the author

When analyzing the data presented in Table 8, we note that the smallest number of factors with a mark below the average for the Russian Federation for the period from 2018 to 2022 was recorded in the Krasnoyarsk region and the Vologda region. In general, the most common factors of technological development, among industrial regions whose values are more than 2 times lower than the average for the Russian Federation, are F8, F10, F11 and F12. Thus, these factors are considered as risk factors influencing the technological development of industrial regions.

In accordance with the author's methodology for diagnosing the factors of technological development of industrial regions and the analysis carried out, a grouping of industrial regions by risk zones is presented (Table 9).

Table 9. Grouping of industrial regions by risk zones in the high-tech sector of the economy.

Years	Critical risk zone	High risk zone	Acceptable risk zone	Minimum risk zone
	Range of total points scored			
	from -12 to -6	from -6 to 0	from 0 to 6	from 6 to 12
2022	***Novgorod region*** (-9 points); ***Vladimir region*** (-8 points); ***Chelyabinsk region*** (-8 points); ***Omsk region*** (-8 points); ***Sverdlovsk region*** (-7 points); ***Tula region*** (-6 points)	***Kaluga region*** (-5 points); ***Lipetsk region*** (-5 points); ***Vologda region*** (-4 points)	***Krasnoyarsk region*** (2 points)	-
2018	***Kaluga region*** (-6 points); ***Novgorod region*** (-9 points); ***Omsk region*** (-6 points)	***Lipetsk region*** (-4 points); ***Tula region*** (-1 point); ***Vologda region*** (-1 point); ***Sverdlovsk region*** (-5 points); ***Chelyabinsk region*** (-4 points); ***Krasnoyarsk region*** (-3 points)	***Vladimir Region*** (1 point)	-

Source: compiled by the author

When analyzing the data in Table 9, it was noted that within this grouping four risk zones were identified: a) a zone with minimal risk; b) acceptable risk zone; c) high-risk area; d) critical risk zone. During the entire study period, industrial regions were in zones of acceptable, increased and critical risks. It was noted that in 2022, compared to 2018, the Krasnoyarsk region moved from a high-risk zone to an acceptable risk zone, while the Kaluga region changed its position in 2022, moving into a high-risk zone. The remaining industrial regions show a negative trend; in 2022, compared to 2018, the Vladimir, Sverdlovsk, Tula and Chelyabinsk regions are located in the critical risk zone. The most vulnerable industrial regions in 2022 are those regions located in the critical risk zone.

Following the developed algorithm for diagnosing factors of technological development of industrial regions, mechanisms for neutralizing dysfunctions and risk factors in the process of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation were presented (Table 10).

Table 10. Directions for neutralizing risk factors identified during the diagnostic process in the traditional and high-tech sectors of the economy.

Identified risk factors	Directions for neutralizing risk factors	
	Traditional sector of the economy	High-tech sector of the economy
Material and material risk factors identified in the process of analyzing factors of technological development of industrial regions	***F1:*** - analysis of the current state of fixed assets and determination of the required level for the effective functioning of production; - development of a program for the modernization and expansion of fixed assets, taking into account the specifics of the industry and market requirements. ***F2:*** - carrying out a technical examination of fixed assets in order to determine the degree of wear and identify the most critical components and equipment; - developing a plan for replacing outdated equipment and conducting regular maintenance to prevent accidents and reduce the risk of downtime in production; - organization of a monitoring and control system for the condition of fixed assets for prompt identification of faults and timely response to them.	***F7:*** - conducting an analysis of the current state of fixed assets in these industries in order to identify the reasons for the low share and develop a plan to increase them; - carrying out activities to attract investors to the high-tech sector, for example, through tax breaks, subsidies or other incentives; - support and stimulation of research and development in the field of high technologies, creation of specialized research centers on the basis of industrial high-tech enterprises. ***F8:*** - implementation of programs for the modernization and renewal of technical equipment in the high-tech sector using modern technologies and equipment to reduce wear and increase productivity; - conducting training programs for personnel on the proper operation and maintenance of equipment in order to reduce wear and extend the service life of fixed assets.
Labor risk factors identified in the process of analyzing factors of technological development of industrial regions	***F3:*** - conducting an information campaign about the benefits of working in this industry to attract new workers; - development of professional retraining and advanced training programs for specialists in order to increase the availability of professional education in this field. ***F4:*** - introduction of incentives and benefits for companies investing in research and development, in order to increase interest in R&D; - establishing partnerships between scientific institutions, universities and business structures for joint work on innovative projects; - creation and development of state support programs for scientific research and development aimed at developing key sectors of the economy.	***F9:*** - development of educational programs with the support of high-tech and IT companies aimed at training specialists for these industries and improving the skills of existing specialists; - carrying out activities to increase the attractiveness of work in the high-tech sector of the economy, including training and internship programs for students and young professionals; - conducting information and education campaigns about career opportunities in the high-tech sector of the economy. ***F10:*** - development of the scientific education system and support of scientific schools to attract and retain talented scientists (including the development of programs to support young scientists and encourage young people to choose a scientific career); - increasing funding for research and development to provide career opportunities for scientists in a particular region.
Investment risk factors identified in the process of analyzing factors of technological development of industrial regions	***F5:*** - providing tax incentives or subsidies for companies that invest in the traditional sector of the economy, in order to increase investor interest in this industry; - attracting investments for the purchase of new equipment and technologies, as well as for updating existing fixed assets. ***F6:*** - development of state programs to stimulate investment in machinery, equipment and vehicles; - establishing	***F11:*** - establishing partnerships with companies specializing in professional, scientific and technical activities, as well as in the field of information and communications; - development of own scientific and technical projects and innovations to attract the attention of investors. ***F12:*** - assessing the value of intellectual property objects and developing a strategy for their monetization; -

	preferential terms for lending when purchasing new equipment and vehicles.	conducting negotiations with potential investors or partners to attract financing for the development of intellectual property; - development of marketing strategies to promote intellectual property on the market; - conducting training events for employees on intellectual property rights and their importance for business.

Source: compiled by the author

Table 10 presents the directions for neutralizing risk factors in the traditional and high-tech sectors of the economy based on a study of diagnostics of factors of technological development of industrial regions of the Russian Federation.

5 Conclusion

As part of this study, key problems and threats associated with the technological development of individual regions of the country were identified. For each sector, specific measures were identified to reduce risk factors that contribute to more efficient economic development and technological development of industrial regions. In general, the industrial regions of the Russian Federation currently face the following challenges: 1) Stimulating demand for industrial products in the domestic market. 2) Creating conditions for increased investment in research and development work, including the development of new production technologies. 3) Creation of conditions for increasing the level of cooperation between Russian enterprises.

Common challenges create a number of strategic risks, among which the most significant are:

– unpredictable geopolitical risks;

– strengthening of international competition in the markets of high-tech products; insufficient funding; the unsatisfactory current financial position of a significant part of industrial companies;

– lack of management and production personnel adapted to the new realities of doing business in the context of digital transformation;

– high level of interregional differences in the development of infrastructure, human resources and the quality of government institutions;

– high degree of depreciation of fixed assets and the complexity of the procedure for transferring rights to the results of intellectual activity;

– administrative barriers in terms of gaps in the legislative and regulatory framework, the complexity of the procedure for developing and approving regulatory, legal, technical and technological documents.

Acknowledgement

The article was prepared in accordance with the research plan for the Federal State Budgetary Institution of Science of the Institute of Economics of the Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences for 2024-2026.

References

1. D. Pletnev, V. Barkhatov. E3S Web of Conferences, 258, 07044 (2021) DOI 10.1051/e3sconf/202125807044

2. A. Uzhegov. Bulletin of the Udmurt University. Series Economics and Law, 33(6), 995-1004 (2023) DOI 10.35634/2412-9593-2023-33-6-995-1004
3. A. Egorova, A. Uzhegov. E3S Web of Conferences, 258, 12005 (2021) DOI 10.1051/e3sconf/202125812005
4. N. Kuznetsova, L. Zinich. Labor Economics, 9(11), 1839-1854 (2022) DOI 10.18334/et.9.11.116645
5. E. Timofeeva. Geopolitics and ecogeodynamics of regions, 1, 156-168 (2019)
6. A. Vasilyeva. Statistics and Economics, 5, 31-46 (2019) DOI 10.21686/2500-3925-2019-5-31-46
7. V. Voronina, O. Mikhailova. The Eurasian Scientific Journal, 14(2): 11ECVN222. Available at: https://esj.today/PDF/11ECVN222.pdf.
8. I. Naumov, N. Nikulina. Economic and social changes: facts, trends, forecast, 4, 155-171 (2022) DOI 10.15838/esc.2022.4.82.10
9. E. Plisetsky, E. Plisetsky. Issues of state and municipal management, 3, 165-186 (2020)
10. M. Radchenko, V. Rashidova, N. Timoshenko. Bulletin of the Academy of Knowledge, 6(35), 376-383 (2019)
11. G. Litvintseva, A. Goldobina. Ideas and ideals, 4-2, 243-266 (2019) DOI 10.17212/2075-0862-2019-11.4.2-243-266
12. S. Arslanov. Regional problems of economic transformation, 7(117), 66-72 (2020) DOI 10.26726/1812-7096-2020-07-66-72
13. M. Moskvitina. Krasnoyarsk Science, 4. 296-312 (2020) DOI 10.12731/2070-7568-2020-4-296-312
14. Y. Minaev. Bulletin of Tomsk State University, 1(69), 333-338 (2008)
15. Y. Tyurina, E. Lavrenko, N. Soliverstova, M. Kolmykova, A. Samorukov. Russian Entrepreneurship, 5, 1485-1500 (2018) DOI 10.18334/rp.19.5.39107
16. A. Barrichello, E. Santos, R. Morano. Innovation & Management Review, 17(3), 307-320 (2020) DOI 10.1108/INMR-04-2019-0040
17. R. Salahodjaev, E. Gorlova, A. Shoira. Modern Economy, 4(4), 243-247 (2013)
18. A. Uzhegov. Problems of territory development, 27(6), 141-156 (2023) DOI 10.15838/ptd.2023.6.128.9